Public Works (1899) Department.

3006 W

G.O., No. 2691 W

DATED 11 Oct 1899.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Objectionable Items. Orders on the statement of — in the a/cs of 24 Regts remaining unremedied to end of May '99 V Circle

8

[P. W. 2 / 2,000—29-3-99.]

Indexed. 17/10

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

NOTES.

Reference to P. W. D. Code.

Chapter XIV, section ii, paras. 61 to 63 and 65.

1. The object of this Return is to place before Government an analysis of all shortcomings in matters of financial regularity in the operations of each month, with a view to their being remedied. It should be submitted to the local Government on the 1st day of the third month succeeding that to which the accounts relate, and should be drawn up separately for each fund and branch.

2. The statement will be posted from the Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items (Form No. 39) and Schedules of the Divisions concerned. The statement need not be delayed in order to embody the remarks of the Superintending Engineers if these do not reach the office in time. It is desirable, however, that the Superintending Engineer's opinion should be recorded, as far as may be practicable, and in order to ensure this being done, the Divisional Statement of Objectionable items should be checked immediately on receipt and sent to the Superintending Engineer for his remarks before the audit of the other accounts is proceeded with.

3. All Executive Officers who have failed to submit their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items with their Monthly Accounts, as well as those whose statements are *generally incomplete*, should be specially brought to the notice of Government under "General Remarks" at the end of the statement.

4. Items of irregular expenditure, which are left unincluded by Executive Engineers in their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items, should be distinctly noted as such, either in a separate supplementary statement or in the original statement in red ink.

5. Columns under all the heads should be filled up in each case, so as to bring out clearly where estimate or appropriation exists, and where it does not.

6. In the case of large projects such important features as the following should be stated with precision :—

A. Lump sum estimate.
B. Abstract detail estimate by sub-heads, and so on. { 1. Submitted to Chief Engineer. 2. Submitted to Supdg. Engineer. 3. Not yet submitted

7. A large work which is proceeded with on merely a lump sum sanction is still objectionable till an abstract and detail estimate by sub-heads is submitted and sanctioned for it by competent authority.

8. Special mention should be made of works being carried out in portions by authorities, beyond whose powers of sanction it would be to sanction the expenditure as a whole.

9. An important point to notice is the duration of a serious irregularity.

10. Voluminous masses of detail, when their practical significance could be given in a few lines, should, as a rule, be avoided. If, for instance, the state of matters as to sanction in one month does not materially differ from that of a preceding month, it will answer all practical purposes to say so, instead of sending another full report.

11. Too much stress can never be laid on the fact that this statement is not to take the place of Special reports, then and there, of works on which serious irregular expenditure is being largely incurred, either without estimate or appropriation.

12. The entries in the statements should be made by Circles and Divisions so as to enable the form for Divisional registers of objectionable expenditure to be done away with.

13. Serious delays in the submission of completion reports should also be reported in this statement.

14. In the column "Orders of Local Government" in the office copy of the statement, against those items which have been remedied, the number and date of the order or sanction removing the objection should be entered.

15. An extract from this statement of all the more serious irregularities should be submitted to the Accountant General, Public Works Department, and all these items which have to be specially brought to his notice should have marked against them in the column "Orders of Local Government," in the office copy, "Accountant General, Public Works Department," in red ink.

16. This statement, as also the extract referred to above, will be accompanied by an abstract of the objectionable items unremedied in Form No. 62B.

P. W. Cir. No. 7096

Rcd 30-8-99 6555

Rly

P. W. D. Form No. 62 A.

Madras PROVINCE.

V Circle

BRANCH— Buildings & Roads

FUND— All Funds

MONTH— May 1899. 6318 Original

Statement of Objectionable items in the accounts of Executive Engineers remaining unremedied to end of the month.

No.

Dated the 29th August 1899

Submitted to the Secretary to ~~the~~ Government

General Branch

in the Public Works Department.

Previous with Cir 6310/6555

Stm 8021 7379 25-05 W 29-8-99

2196 W 16-8-99.
138 W 17-1-99.
Spite

B Cont

Q 27/10/99

with orders

C G P—No. 279 P W—5 ~~12-95~~—2500

O.C.S. 529 27/10

Sent again 3/10/99

P. W. D. Form No. 62 A.
(Outer sheet.)

Madras

Statement of Objectionable items in the accounts of Executive

Item No.	Circle, Division and Description of work.	Month in the accounts of which objectionable expenditure first appeared.	Comparison with Estimate. Amount of estimate.	Expenditure up to date.	Excess over estimate.
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
	45 Civil works Provincial				
	Want of Estimate				
	P. W. Workshops.				
1	Purchase of 2 oil Engines	March 99 suppl.	-	6519	6519
	Excess over Estimate				
	P. W. Stores.				
2.	Erecting a Store shed for general Stores in the P.W Stores Madras	do	6600	7921	1321
3.	Tools & Plant - Repairs & Carriage	do	9000	10007	1007

PROVINCE.

P. W. D. Form No. 62A.
(Inner sheet.)

Engineers remaining unremedied to end of May 1899.

Comparison with Appropriation. Amount of appropriation.	Expenditure of the year.	Excess over appropriation.	Miscellaneous irregularities.	Expenditure during the month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer with final remarks by the Examiner.	Orders by Local Government or Administration.
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
						No: 2691 a dt 11-10-99
					1. Orders of government in Provincial Objection-able Items Statement for March 1899 Supplemental were "early steps should be taken to regularize the outlay" Sanction of Government to pass the excess outlay omitted.	1 & 2
					2. Orders of government in Provincial Objection-able Items Statement for March 99 suppl. were "Early steps should be taken to regularize the outlay" Sanction of Government to pass the excess outlay omitted.	
					3. Orders of Government in Provincial Objection-able Items Statements for March 1899 Supplemental were "Early steps should be taken to regularize the outlay" Sanction to pass the	

P. W. D. Form No. 62A.
(Inner sheet.)

Madras

Statement of Objectionable items in the accounts of Executive Engineers remaining unremedied to end of May 1899.

Item No.	Circle, Division and Description of Work.	Month in the accounts of which objectionable expenditure first appeared.	Comparison with Estimate. Amount of estimate.	Expenditure up to date.	Excess over estimate.	Comparison with Appropriation. Amount of appropriation.	Expenditure of the year.	Excess over appropriation.	Miscellaneous irregularities.	Expenditure during the month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer with final remarks by the Examiner.	Orders by Local Government or Administration.
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
	45 Civil works Provincial Excess over Estimate Pamban Stone.										Excess outlay awaited	
4.	Cutting 1000 heavy Granites into light ones	Sept: 98	4380								4 Orders of Government in Provincial objectionable Items Statement for March 1899 supplemental here "early steps should be taken to regularise the outlay Sanction to pass the excess outlay awaited	
	Passed by the Superintending Engineer in Divisional Objectionable Items Statement for September 1898 included in Divisional objectionable Items Statement for December 1898 under arrears.		371									
			4751	5172	421							
	Tanjore Division											
5.	Constructing Public offices at Tanjore	Aug: 98	125800	153989	28189					2640	5 Orders of Government in Provincial objectionable Items Statement for March 1899 supplemental here "The revised estimate is under consideration" Early removal of objection is desirable.	5 A revised estimate of Rs 195,100 has since been sanctioned vide R.O. No. 2196 dt 16th August 99.
	Executive Engineer Local Excess over Estimate Negapatam Division											
6.	Negapatam Harbour Providing hurdle groynes to protect the nose of the spit	March 99	3000	4713	1713					42	6. Orders of Government in Provincial objectionable Items statement for March 1899 here " A revised estimate has	6 Further explanations called for from the Supdg. Engineer is awaited

P. W. D. Form No. 62A.
(Inner sheet.)

P. W. D. Form No. 62A.
(Inner sheet.)

Madras

PROVINCE.

P. W. D. Form No. 62A.
(Inner sheet.)

Statement of Objectionable items in the accounts of Executive Engineers remaining unremedied to end of May 1899.

Item No.	Circle, Division and Description of Work.	Month in the accounts of which objectionable expenditure first appeared.	Comparison with estimate.			Comparison with appropriation.			Miscellaneous irregularities.	Expenditure during the month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer with final remarks by the Examiner.	Orders by Local Government or Administration.
			Amount of estimate.	Expenditure up to date.	Excess over estimate.	Amount of appropriation.	Expenditure of the year.	Excess over appropriation.				
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
	Miscellaneous Irregularities										Cases received and under consideration"	
	Delay in recovery of amounts expended in excess of Contribution										7 (a & b)	
	Repairs to Kallangudi Tank	June 98	-	-	-				7-10-8		Orders of Government in Provincial objectionable Items statement for March 1898 were "Early recovery is awaited" The Executive Engineer in the Miscellaneous advance Schedule for July 99 states as follows:-	7 (a) & (b) Early recovery is awaited.
(b)	Repairs to Kallacheri Tank	Do	-	-	-				11-9-7		(a) The Collector has again been reminded on the subject- (b) The Collector reports that this has been collected. Yearly recovery and adjustment in the case of item (b) awaited	
(c)	Want of sanction of government to the entertainment of a watchman for Tools & Plant (S. D. No. 18 Fen. Dr. Dr. 8 of May 1899)	May 99	-	-	-				4-- 4		(c) Sanction of government is awaited, with reference to G.O. No. 331 W dated 2nd February 1899	1 (c) Sanction of Govt is necessary. vide para 6 of G.O. No. 2329 W dt 5th September 99.

P. W. D. Form No. 62A.
(Inner sheet.)

Madras

PROVINCE.

P. W. D. Form No. 62A.
(Inner sheet.)

Statement of Objectionable items in the accounts of Executive Engineers remaining unremedied to end of May 1899.

Item No.	Circle, Division and Description of Work.	Month in the accounts of which objectionable expenditure first appeared.	Comparison with estimate. Amount of estimate.	Expenditure up to date.	Excess over estimate.	Comparison with appropriation. Amount of appropriation.	Expenditure of the year.	Excess over appropriation.	Miscellaneous irregularities.	Expenditure during the month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer with final remarks by the Examiner.	Orders by Local Government or Administration.
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
	Miscellaneous Irregularities (Cash)											
	Excess over sanctioned limit of reserve stock P. W. Stores.											
8	Sanctioned limit (G.O. No: 3260 W. dt/19-12-94) Balance at end of May 1899 Excess	March-99 suppl:				Reserve limit Balance at end of May-99 Excess			225000-- 260908-10-8 35908-10-8		8. Orders of Government in Provincial objectionable Items Statement for March 99 supplemental & the Superintending Engineer expedite the report called for on this subject and explain the delay in replying the reference	8 Reply to the further reference made to the Supdg: Engineer on 4-10-0 awaited.
9	Delay in adjustment of items outstanding in Schedule of Miscellaneous Advances. Value of Stores supplied District Board Engineer Cuddlore Rs 4-10-0 (Pack of Rs 213-1-6 outstanding from January 1898)	May-99							4-10-0		The Superintending Engineer in the Provincial Objectionable Items statement for May 1899 states as follows. "Reminder	9 Early adjustments awaited. Executive Engineer in reply to memo is awaited. Early adjustment awaited.

P. W. D. Form No. 62A.
(Inner sheet.)

Madras

PROVINCE.

P. W. D. Form No 62A.
(Inner sheet.)

Statement of Objectionable items in the accounts of Executive Engineers remaining unremedied to end of May 1899.

Item No.	Circle, Division and Description of Work.	Month in the accounts of which objectionable expenditure first appeared.	Comparison with Estimate.			Comparison with Appropriation.			Miscellaneous irregularities.	Expenditure during the month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer with final remarks by the Examiner.	Orders by Local Government or Administration.
			Amount of estimate.	Expenditure up to date.	Excess over estimate.	Amount of appropriation.	Expenditure of the year.	Excess over appropriation.				
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
	Miscellaneous Irregularities										sent. Will be adjusted shortly"	
10	Want of sanction of Government to the local purchase of Engineer stores											
(a)	Corrugated galvanized iron sheets purchased and supplied to Madura. Item 69 of schedule of debits to Transfers P.W.D. Rc. 32 N. Rs 70-4-6 / 319-11-11 / 390-0-5	March 97							390-0-5		10(a)(b)(c) Order of government in Paramekudi objection table Stores Statements for March 97 were "The General Superintendent should admonish the Executive Engineer concerned to take early steps for the removal of objections to these items"	10(a)(b) Sanctioned in G.O. No 2138 W dt 12th August 1899.
(b)	Do — sixth pieces, Semi-arc & trusses / Do	Do.							458-1-3			10(c) Sanctioned in G.O. No 138 dt 17th January 1899.
(c)	Corrugated sheets Rs 1-9-0 = 15% Purchased and supplied to Contractors (Item 70 of schedule of debits to Transfers P.W.D. Rc. 32 N.	Do							349-9-8		10(d).15(g) The Exe: Engineer concerned should be frequently reminded until the expenditure is regularised.	
(d)	Glass panes - Purchased and supplied to Bellary (Item 28 of schedule of debits to Transfers P.W.D Rc. 32 N July 1898)	Do							65-10			
(e)	Glass panes - Purchased and supplied to Kistna Godavari (Item of schedule of debits to Transfers P.W.D Rc. 32 N - September 98)	Do.							54-4-4			

P. W. D. Form No. 62A.
(Inner sheet.)

Madras

PROVINCE.

...tionable items in the accounts of Executive Engineers remaining unremedied to end of May 1899.

Item No.		Month in the accounts of which objectionable expenditure first appeared.	Comparison with estimate.			Comparison with appropriation.			Miscellaneous irregularities.	Expenditure during the month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer with final remarks by the Examiner.	Orders by Local Government or Administration.
			Amount of estimate.	Expenditure up to date.	Excess over estimate.	Amount of appropriation.	Expenditure of the year.	Excess over appropriation.				
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
	...by Local Government or Administration.										10 (f & h) Orders of government in Provincial objectionable Items Statement for March 1899 were "The General Superintendent should advise the Executive Engineers concerned to take early steps for the removal of objection to these items."	10 (h) Sanctioned in G.O. No. 3178 dy 12 December 1898. 10 (i) & (j) The cement referred to in these items is apparently of bad make and if so the sanction of Govt is unnecessary.
	...1898.											
	P. W. D. Form No. (Inner sheet.)											
	to the U. S. Stores.											
(f)	Lead sheet T. 1-1-1-18. Purchased and supplied to South Arcot (Item 1 of Schedule of debits to Transfers P. W. D. No. 32 N dt November 1898)	March 98	-	-	-	-	-	-	428-3-4		10 (f & h) Orders of government in Provincial objectionable Items Statement for March 1899 were "The General Superintendent should advise the Executive Engineers concerned to take early steps for the removal of objection to these items."	10 (h) Sanctioned in G.O. No. 3178 dy 12 December 1898.
(g)	Copper sheets 27. Purchased and supplied to Coimbatore (Item 5 of Schedule of debits to Transfers P. W. D. No. 32 N - March 98)	Do	-	-	-	-	-	-	2855-8-9		10 (i) & (j)	
(h)	2 Steel Joists Purchased and supplied to Tanjore (Item 10 of Schedule of debits to Transfers P. W. D. No. 32 N January 1898)	Do	-	-	-	-	-	-	200-10-0		10 (i & j) Sanction of Government to the local purchase of cement is amended vide G. O. No. 1980 W dated 6 July 1896 and G. O. No. 3383 W dated 25 November 1896.	The cement referred to in these items is apparently of bad make and if so the sanction of Govt is unnecessary.
(i)	Cement - 72 Casks. Purchased and supplied to Tanjore (Item 5 of Schedule of debits to Transfers P. W. D. No. 32 N - April 1898)	April 98	-	-	-	-	-	-	648-0-0			
(j)	Cement - 29 Bags. Purchased and supplied to Godavery Central Division (Item 6 of Schedule of debits to Transfers P. W. D. No. 32 N. April 98)	Do	-	-	-	-	-	-	148-4-2			

C G P—No. 265 P W—5 11 97—6,000.

P. W. D. Form No. 62A.
(Inner sheet.)

Madras

PROVINCE.

P. W. D. Form No 62A.
(Inner sheet.)

Statement of Objectionable items in the accounts of Executive Engineers remaining unremedied to end of May 1899.

Item No.	Circle, Division and Description of Work.	Month in the accounts of which objectionable expenditure first appeared.	Comparison with Estimate. Amount of estimate.	Expenditure up to date.	Excess over estimate.	Comparison with Appropriation. Amount of appropriation.	Expenditure of the year.	Excess over appropriation.	Miscellaneous irregularities.	Expenditure during the month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer with final remarks by the Examiner.	Orders by Local Government or Administration.
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
	Miscellaneous Irregularities											
	Want of sanction of Government to the local purchase of Coir Logs. P. W. Stores.										10 (K) Sanctioning Government to the local purchase is awaited	10 (K) Early steps should be taken for the removal of objection to this items.
(k)	Brass pad locks-24-2½" each	April 99							78--			
	Do 6 2" Do								10-8-			
	Do 12 3" Do								57--			
(a)	(Purchased from Akkulachetty for stock) (Item 3 of Schedule of stock purchased No 327 P (99))								139-8-			
	Want of sanction of Government to the local purchase of Coir Logs. P. W. Stores.										11. Orders of Government in Provincial objectionable Items Statement for [illegible]	(a) 11 Sanctioned as G.O. No 2450 dated 18 September 1899. Attention is also invited to para 89 of Go. Lr. No 2329 W dt 5th September, under which such sanction is not necessary as regards items (a) to (d).
11.	1 Checker (a)											
	1 Leanaca pillay (b)											
	1 Cranker (c)											
	1 Head Coolie (d)	Ennabigg										
	1 Knocker											
	1 Sweeper											
	Want of sanction of Government to the local purchase of Coir Logs. P. W. Stores.											
12.	Iron pound the 1426	Ennabigg							76-12-9		12. Orders of Government in Provincial objectionable Items Statement for Items 2 & 11	12 Early steps should be taken for the removal of objection to this item.
13.	Excess over the personal limit of materials in hand (in Work Examination Suspense Schedule) P. W. Workshops. Sanctioned limit (G.O. No. 1268 W dt 29-4-96) 700 Feby 99											
	Balance at end of May 1899								200--		13. Orders of Government in Provincial objectionable Items Statement for	13 The subject is under consideration
	Excess								8748-13-3			
									8548-13-3			

P. W. D. Form No. 62A.
(Inner sheet.)

Madras

PROVINCE.

P. W. D. Form No 62A.
(Inner sheet.)

Statement of Objectionable items in the accounts of Executive Engineers remaining unremedied to end of May 1897.

Item No.	Circle, Division and Description of Work	Month in the accounts of which objectionable expenditure first appeared.	Comparison with estimate.			Comparison with appropriation.			Miscellaneous irregularities.	Expenditure during the month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer with final remarks by the Examiner.	Orders by Local Government or Administration.
			Amount of estimate.	Expenditure up to date.	Excess over estimate.	Amount of appropriation.	Expenditure of the year.	Excess over appropriation.				
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
	Miscellaneous Irrigation works											
14.	Delay in adjustment of items not standing in the name of Executive Engineers.											
	Road works stopped											
(a)	Commissariat Storekeeper general Outstanding from Feby. 98	March 98										
(b)	Treasury deputy Collector, Cuddapah (Outstanding from March 98)	March 98										
(c)	Special Assistant to the Agent to the Governor at Vizagapatam (Outstanding from March 98)											
(d)	Treasury deputy Collector, Bellary (Outstanding from June 98)	do										
(e)	District Magistrate, Nellore (Outstanding from Decr. 98)											
(f)	Forest settlement officer, North Arcot Outstanding from Decr. 98.	April 98.										
	Value of work done											
(g)	Hony District Forest officer, North Arcot, Vellore											
(h)	Item 10 Deputy Collector, Madras											
(i)	Item 11 Treasury Deputy Collector North Arcot & Chittoor (Outstanding from January 98)	May 98										
									7-11-0		14 (a to d)	14 (a) to (d)
									9-9-11		Orders of Government in Provincial objectionable Items Statements for a long time. Immediate steps should be taken for the recovery of these amounts.	Items (a) to (f) are outstanding
									10-9-0		for March 98 were	
									1-12-7		Yearly recovery is awaited	
									6-9-11		do	
									16-8-1		Yearly recovery is awaited	
									5-13-11		g to i. Yearly adjustment is awaited	
									3-11-8			
									44-2-1			

C G P—No. 265 P W—5 11 97—6,000.

P. W. D. Form No. 62A.
(Inner sheet.)

Kudus

PROVINCE

P. W. D. Form No. 62A.
(Outer sheet.)

Statement of Objectionable items in the accounts of Executive Engineers remaining unremedied to end of May 1899.

Item No.	Circle, Division and Description of Work.	Month in the accounts of which objectionable expenditure first appeared.	Comparison with Estimate. Amount of estimate.	Expenditure up to date.	Excess over estimate.	Comparison with Appropriation. Amount of appropriation.	Expenditure of the year.	Excess over appropriation.	Miscellaneous irregularities.	Expenditure during the month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer with final remarks by the Examiner.	Orders by local Government or Administration.
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
15	Miscellaneous Irregularities Want of sanction of payments to the extent mentioned of hundry paid Servants P. W. works Expenditure Time Keeper Tools Keeper G. F. Cassacapillay Smithshop do. (Vr. No. 27 dt. 22 of April 99) Ammuthacharry Fitter No 35 Mammad Kasim Lyang Road (Voucher No. 1690 dt. 5 of May 99)	April 99 May 99							23-- -- 18-- -- 17-- -- 14-- -- 35-- -- 50-- --	permanent permanent	15. Orders of Government on this office C.E. No. 693 $\frac{T}{A}$ dated 3 June 1899 awaits	15 Attention is drawn to para 8 of G.O. No. 2329 dt 5th Sept 99 under which sanction of Govt is not necessary.

To
The S.E. V Circle
thro' the Exam. P.W. Accts.

H Rainier
Examiner, P. W. Accounts.
8.8.99

G G P—No. 265 P W—5 11 97—6,000.

ant.