

Public Works (1899) Department.

G.O., No. 264 N

DATED 27th January '99

3/2/99

[Despatch Abstract.]

Alathur Branch Road

Sanctioned revised Estimate of Rs 13240 for
restoring the —, requesting the L & M, and the Rev.
Departments to recover from the Dist. Board of Chingle.
put and S. Arcot, and the Reminders of Chingle.
their shared contribution towards the excess and drawing
the attention of Officers to the Remarks of the Chief Engineer
regarding the Careless Preparation of the Estimate
in the first instance

280/14 c/c

103/CS

Indexed 3/2

Government {1899} of Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Spare copies

G.O., No. 264 W., 27th January 1899.

JANUARY.

Alathur Branch Road

Sanctioning a revised estimate of Rs. 13,240 for restoring the —, requesting the Local and Municipal, and the Revenue Departments to recover from the District Boards of Chingleput and South Arcot, and the Zamindar of Chunampet their share of contribution towards the excess, and drawing the attention of officers to the remarks of the Chief Engineer regarding the careless preparation of the estimate in the first instance.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

READ—the following papers:—

From Colonel A. W. SMART, R.E., Superintending Engineer, V Circle, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Chepāk, 1st November 1898, No. 677 W.

I have the honour to submit, for sanction of Government, the enclosed revised estimate of Rs. 13,240, with comparative statement for restoring the Alathur branch road near Chunampet, in the Chingleput division, showing an excess of Rs. 1,180 over the original estimate sanctioned in G.O., No. 3570 W., dated 20th December 1897, for Rs. 12,060.

The necessity for the revision of the estimate is explained in the remark column of the comparative statement.

Note by the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department.

*An estimate for restoring the Alathur branch road, near Chunampet, Chingleput district, amounting to Rs. 12,060, was sanctioned in G.O., No. 3393 W., dated 27th November 1896. The Superintending Engineer, V Circle, now submits a revised estimate, amounting to Rs. 13,240, together with a comparative statement, and asks for Government sanction to the excess of Rs. 1,180, or 9·8 per cent. over the original estimate.

2. The total excess is made up as follows:—

	RS.
(a) Omissions in original estimate	831
(b) Deviations from original estimate	101
(c) Insufficient provision in original estimate	1,209
	2,141
Deduct—Savings	961
	Net excess ... 1,180

3. The excess on (a) is due to omission of provision (1) for seigniorage on gravel, jelly, rough and cut stone; and (2) for removal of prickly-pear and other minor items. The former excess is said to be mainly due to the delay made by the Chunampet Zamindar in replying to references. The latter might have been avoided by more careful estimating.

The excess on (b) is due to a mistake in the original estimate.

The excess on (c) is mainly due to the neglect of the Chunampet Zamindar to present his claims, though asked for in writing, and to small excesses on a variety of items.

4. The estimate was not carefully prepared in the first instance. In future, all questions regarding the amount to be allowed in estimates for seigniorage and land compensation should be definitely settled in writing, before estimates are submitted for sanction.

(Signed) W. B. DEWINTON,
Chief Engineer.

6th December 1898.

ORDER—No. 264 W., dated 27th January 1899.

An estimate of Rs. 12,060 for restoring the Alathur branch road was sanctioned in G.O., No. 3393 W., dated 27th November 1896, and half the cost was ordered to be contributed by the District Boards of Chingleput and South Arcot and the Zamindar of Chunampet, the other half being met by Government from Imperial Funds. The Government of India having declined to make any grant from Imperial Funds, the Government share of the cost of the road was ordered to be met from Provincial Funds—*vide* G.O., No. 3570 W., dated 20th December 1897.

2. A revised estimate of Rs. 13,240 has now been submitted by the Superintending Engineer, involving an excess of Rs. 1,180 over the original estimate. This estimate is sanctioned, and the Local and Municipal and Revenue Departments will be requested to recover from the District Boards of Chingleput and South Arcot, and the Zamindar of Chunampet, respectively, the amounts shown below, in order to make up half the total excess over the original estimate:—

	Works.	Establishment.	Tools and Plant.	Total.
	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
District Board, South Arcot	196	45	3	244
District Board, Chingleput	263	60	4	327
Zamindar of Chunampet	131	30	2	163

3. The attention of the Superintending Engineer, V Circle, is drawn to the Chief Engineer's note read above, and paragraph 4 of the note will be communicated to all officers of the department for information and guidance.

4. The sanction accorded in paragraph 2 has been registered as Provincial No. 108 W. of 1898-99 and classified as Communications—Original Works.

(True Extract.)

Under Secretary to Government.

To the Superintending Engineer, V Circle,
with three enclosures.

- " the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
- " the Local and Municipal Department.
- " the Revenue Department.
- " other Superintending Engineers.
- " the Consulting Architect to Government.
- " the Superintendent of Works, Lighthouse Division.
- " the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

Exd. A. F. Eccleston.

Public Works DEPARTMENT.

Read the following:—

Letter from the Superintending Engineer, V Circle, No. 677 W dated 1st December 1898.

Note by the Chief Engineer No. D 26-12-98

Circulated to

ORDER.

No. 264 W 27.1.99

An estimate of Rs. 12,060

for restoring the Alathur Branch

Road was sanctioned in G.O. No.

3393 W dated 27th November 1896,

and half the cost was ordered to

be contributed by the District

Boards of Chingleput & South Arcot

and the Zamindar of Chunampet, the

other half being met by Government

from Imperial Funds. The Government

of India having declined to make

any grant from Imperial Funds, the

Government share of the cost of

the road was ordered to be met f-

Provincial Funds, vide G.O. No.

dated 20th December 1897.

2. A revised estimate

submitted

Rs. 13,240 has now been s-

ngineer, in-

by the Superintending E-

Rs. 1180 over

volving an excess

estimate. This estimate

the original e

is

To
(1) The Revenue Department ✓
(2) The Land Dept ✓
for
favour of counter-matched

0 Flag JK
note for the Secretary
no objection in this Dept.
12/1/99

DW
Ankuram
H. S. J. H.
T. H.
B. H.
CS.
X. Flag H
25.1.99

is sanctioned, and the Local and Municipal and Revenue Departments will be requested to recover from the District Boards of Chingleput & South Arcot and the Zamindar of Chunampet respectively, the amounts shown below, in order to make up half the total excess over the original estimate:-

	Works	Establishment.	Tools & Plant	Total
District Board S. Arcot.	196	45	3	244
District Board Chingleput.	263	60	4	327
Zamindar of Chunampet.	131	30	2	163

3. The attention of the Suptg. Engineer, V circle, is drawn to the Chief Engineer's Note read above, and para 4 of the note will be -- communicated to all Officers of the Department for information and -- guidance.

4. The sanction accorded in para 2 has been registered as Provincial No. 108 W of 1898-99 and classified as Communications, Original Works.

APK
12-98

Handwritten: 16-12-98 W. 199
8-1-99

causes of excess are explained in the Chief Engineer's note

Handwritten: 16-12-98

The Suptg. Engineer, V Circle, with enclos.
.. Examiner. P. W. Accounts.
.. Local & Municipal Dept.
.. Revenue Department.

other S. En.
C. A. to Engr
C. W. V. 1/4

28

Note by S. 10

An estimate for restoring the Alattur branch road, near Chunampet, Chingleput district, amounting to Rs 12,060, was sanctioned in G.O. 3793 W, dated 27th Nov. 1896. The S & V Circle now submits a revised estimate, amounting to Rs 13,240, together with a comparative statement, & asks for Govt. sanction to the excess of Rs 1180, or 9.8 per cent over the original estimate.

2. The total excess is made up as follows:-

(a) Omissions in original estimate	Rs 821
(b) Derivatives from original estimate	101
(c) Insufficient provision in original estimate	1209
Total	2,141
Deduct Savings	961
Net excess	Rs 1180

3. The excess of Rs 1180 is due to omissions of provision (1) for repairs on gravel, jelly, khy & cut stone; and (2) for removal of prickly pear & other minor items. The former excess is said to be mainly due to the

Current No. 9125

Letter No 677 W

Dated 1/2 Nov 1898

From the Supr. Engrs & Circle

NOTE BY TECHNICAL SECTION.

Name of work: Restoring the Abatton
branch road near Chumapet
Chinleput Division.
Revised Est. R: 13,240.

W
Estimate may be
sanctioned with E. 10
note accompanying
A & H

6.12.98.

20
L.H.S.
6.12.98

DW
6.12.98

P. W. 60.
[250-5-9-98.]

This Estimate was originally
sanctioned in execution
in G. O. No 3393 W dated
27th Nov 1896 in R: 12,060
and reviewed in Technical
note dated 12-11-96 Flag A.
The ~~complete~~ ^{history} of the
work is to be found in the
notes flagged C. and H.
A revised estimate is now
submitted in No 13,240 with
comparative statement
by the Supr. Engrs & Circle
showing an excess of R: 1180
or 9.8 per cent over the
original estimate.
The excess is made up as
follows:

(a) omissions in orig. Est. No 882	31
(b) change of materials — R: 1019	1019
(c) Insufficient provision — R: 1209	1209
Total	R: 2141
Savings	R: 961
Net excess	R: 1180

delay made by the Chumapet
2nd Div. in referring to reference.
The latter might have been
avoided by more careful
estimating.

The excess in (b) is due
to a mistake in the original
estimate

The excess in (c) is
mainly due to the neglect
of the Chumapet Division
due to present his claims,
though asked for in writing,
& to trifling small excesses
on a variety of items.

4. The estimate
was not carefully prepared
in the first instance. In
future, for all questions
regarding the amount
to be allowed in estimate
for signages & land com-
pensations ^{should} be defi-
nitely settled in writing,
before estimates are sub-
mitted for sanction. There
is often much delay in
settling such matters, &
approximate amounts
for such items are worse
than useless. A & H

6.12.98.

Public Works (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 9125

2644

Order

From *The Supy Engineer*
5/12/98

No. 677 B.

Dated 1/2 November 1898.

Received 3-11-98

Enclosures 3

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Plathur branch Road
near Chingletut,
Submitting for sanction
of Government a revised
estimate of Rs 13,240 for
restoring the -

T. G. G. G.
11-11-98.
Q. W.

Rev 3 Sept. for 16.12.98

18.17.99
Ans. 1.49

(a) Errors due to omission in original estimate of items "removal of prickly pear", & "ligatures for gravel, Lally, Rough and cut stone, and petty omissions under earthwork & masonry works."

(b) Increase due to a mistake in sanctioned estimate under "Kammas derived stone."

(c) Increase due to insufficient provision made in original estimate for compensation in earthwork - bulking - & other trifling causes.

H. C. W.
5-12-98.

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.	No.	Date.
708W	15.3.98 ✓		
1117C	23.2.98		
901W	31.3.98 ✓		
1864C	25.5.98		
405W	18.12.98 ✓		
169W	20.1.98 ✓		
3572W	20.12.97 ✓		
3570W	20.12.97 ✓		
4073C	11.11.97		
3579C	20.8.97		
2121W	3.8.97 &c		
2058W	28.7.97 ✓		
673 Public,	28.5.97 ✓		
1627C	22.5.97 ✓		
2453C	12.5.97 ✓		
958W	5.4.97 ✓		
300-1W	1.2.97 ✓		
548C	28.1.97 ✓		
4658C	8.12.96 ✓		
3353W	27.11.96 ✓		
904 305	25.12.96		
257 Rev	17.1.98		

for file vide go 773W99

Returned to the Rev. Secy. 21-2-99

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE,

V Circle, Madras,

Chepak, 1/2 November 1898.

No. 644W.

From

Colonel A.W. Smart R.E.
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,

V CIRCLE.

To

THE Chief Engineer,
P.W. Department.

SIR,

Enclosures. 3

1 comparative
statement
1 abstract estimate
1 detailed estimate

I have the honour to submit for sanction of Government, the enclosed revised estimate of Rs 13,240/ with comparative statement for restoring the Alathar branch road near Churampet, in the Chingleput Division, showing an excess of Rs 1180/ over the original estimate sanctioned in G.O. No. 3570W. dated 20 December 1897 for Rs 12,060/.

The necessity for the revision of the estimate is explained in the remark column of the comparative statement.

I have the honour to be

Sir

Your most obedt. Servant

Asst. Engr.

Colonel

Offs. Supg. Engineer 50

22.10.98