Public Works (1899) Department.

G.O., No. 2562 W
2563

DATED 2nd October 1899.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Article 714 of the C.S.R. Ordered that the G.O.C. be addressed for an authoritative decision on the subject of ~~a letter~~ the no objection of — as applicable to Cooper's Hill Engineers.

G.O.C. addressed.

P. W. 2
1000—30-3-99.

DEPARTMENT.

READ the following:—

From the Superintending Engineer, III circle,
No: 2064 W d/ 1.6.99
" " Examiner, P.W. Accounts,
No: 869 A/2 d/11.7.

Circulated to H.E. the Governor

Copies this memo
+ ant: 7140 to circle

FOR ORDERS

No: 2562 W 2 d/10.8

The Government of
India will be addres

A.E.H
18.9.99

To the S.E. III circle
" Examiner, P.W. Accounts

(A.E. No 2563 W d/ 2nd Sept 1899.

To The Joint Secy to the Govt
P.W. Dept.

Sir,

I am C.J. Mullings
Assistant Engineer, brings t
notice that the wording of
Article 714 of the Civil Servic
Regulations Appears to re
quire some modification w
reference to the date there
mentioned viz, 11 October
2. Mr. Mullings point
out that the rules in the
- pec

P. W. 43.
000—28-2-99.

pectus of the Royal
dian Engineering College,
Cooper's Hill, for 1892-93,
which are those under which
he Entered the Public Works
Department, make no
mention of any date after
which the rule is to be changed,
So that it is evident that
it cannot refer to Members
of the P.W. and Telegraph
Department Appointed
from that College. As the
Prospectus of Cooper's Hill
College has not been altered
since that date, it follows
that all Engineers from that
College are Inceptionists to
Art: 714 of the C.S. Regula-
tions".

3. In this latter Statement
Mr. Mullings is incorrect.
The Cooper's Hill prospectus
having, it is believed, been
altered in 1895-96. But
it seems clear that all
Cooper's Hill Students who
entered the College prior to
the Change in the Prospectus
are

Should not this passage be put in inverted commas
to show that the words are Mr. Mullings', - and
not ours?

H.S.H. 28.9.99

This has been done

H.H.
D.W.

Eligible for the Special
-tional pensions referred
in Article 714 and I am
directed to refer the Mat
to the Government of Indi
for an Authoritative decis

I have to

for
Secretary to the
Government of India
P.W.D.

H.H.

Pens
3/10/99

C No 5666 P. W. DEPARTMENT.

READ the following :—

From the Supty. Engineer, III circle, No. 2064 W Dy 1.6.99
" " Examiner, P. W. Accounts, No. 869 $\frac{A}{E}$ Dy 11.7.99

Circulated to H.E. the Governor

ORDER.

To
The Supty Engr, III Circle
" Examr, P. W. Acnts.

The Govt of India will be addressed.

Re-mit
W Dy 11/7

(H.E. No W Dy July 189
To
The Jt. Secy. to the Govt. of In
P.W. Dept.

Sir,

Mr C.T. Mullings, Assis
:tant Engineer, brings to not
that the wording of Art 7
of the C.S. Regulations appe
to require some modificati
with reference to the date
therein mentioned viz: 11th
October 1893.

[P. W. 4 / 1,000—14-11-98.]

Mr. Mullings points out that the rules in the Prospectus of the Royal Indian Engineering College, Coopers Hill, for 1892-93, which are those under which he entered the Public Works Dept., make no mention of any date after which the rule is to be changed so that it is evident that it cannot refer to members of the P.W. & Telegraph Depts. appointed from that College. As the Prospectus of Coopers Hill College has not been ~~changed~~ altered since that date, it follows that all Engineers from that College are exceptions to Art 714 of the C.S. Regulations.

This article would be rendered clearer if the words and for all such officers who ~~are appointed to those depts.~~ entered on the Royal Indian Engineering College, Coopers Hill prior to Sept 1895 ~~were entered~~ to the date "11th October 1893" in the Article. The Examiner, however, suggests that the question whether the rule is applicable to

and I am directed to refer the matter to the Govt of India for an authoritative decision.

In this letter statement of Mullings is incorrect. The Coopers Hill Prospectus has been altered, it is believed, since 1892-93 in 1895-96. But it seems clear that all Coopers Hill students who entered the College prior to the change and were subsequently appointed to those departments, were inserted in the Prospectus as ~~exceptions~~ eligible for the special privileges referred to in Art 714

and this I am accordingly directed to request.

I have &c.

Rec'd

Memorandum

—

Endt. of the Supts. Engr., III Circle, No 2064 Wy 1.6.
Office Note from the Examr., P.W. Accts, No. 869

dy 11.7.99

—

With reference to t
Endt. quoted above the Sup
Engineer, III Circle, is referred
para 3 of the Resolution of
Govt. of India, Finance & Comm
Dept. No 3597 P dated 21st Aug
1896, which was published in
Part I, page 1110, of the Fort S
George Gazette of 1st Septr. 18
& copy of which is enclosed fo
information. It is clear from
this that the date "11th Octo
1893" in Art 714 of the C.S. Regu
-lations was intentionally entere
& that Officers who entered
the P.W. Dept. after that
date are not entitled to
the additional pensions
referred

* Flag G.

Note - This Resolution was not communicated to this Dept., but was re-published in the Fort St. George Gazette from the Gazette of India. It is evident from it that there is no error in Art. 714 as Mr. Mullings supposes. The Examr. has not, it is believed, seen this Resolution when drafting his Office Note & therein it is submitted, no necessity to refer to India. If Mr. Mullings has been misled by the Cooper's Hill Prospectus, it

...red to in Art 714.

If Mr. Mullings considers
that he has been misled
the rules embodied in the
prectus of the R.I.E. College,
per's Hill, for 1892-93, he
ld submit a memorial
transmission to the Govt.
ndia

It is observed that
e rules referred to by Mr.
ullings have been altered
the Coopers Hill Prospectus
nce 1895-96.

E. Br.

xRay- K

for him
matter & mention
the Govt of India.

The references to
additional pensions
mentioned in Art 714
C.S.R. appear in the
Prospectus down to 1894-95
& are omitted in the
Coopers Hill
Calendars from 1895-96.
Rec.

W. S. Mullings has no grievance
he has an absolute right to the higher
pension. It has been already de-
cided that for C.H Engineers the
prospectus is the Covenant and it
has a higher authority than any
C.S. Regulation. It is therefore
submitted that to avoid future
complications this may be
pointed out to the Govt of
India with a view to the nec-
essary correction being made
The drafts order below as modified
by me may be sent to
Finl. Dept for C.I.
6/9

To

The Supdg Engr. III Circle

Yes. See alteration

E. B.

Dr. h...

Public Works (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 5068

Order

From

The Examiner P.W. Accts
Madras & Coorg

No. 869 A/E

Dated 11th July 1899

Received

Registered

Enclosures 1

Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Modification of Civil Service Regulation para 714 — States that the — requires the authoritative decision of India.

Now is a G.O. which
strictly lays down that such
question should be dealt with
in India - see G.O. 3388 dt 19.12.90
Govt to pt out the
Rec
13.7.99

I, Please put up a copy of
Both above letters
to the Coopers Hill

Copy of Coopers Hill
Calendar for 1892-93
to be sent to Govt

14/7/99
O.L. 5468

O.L. 3307 of 1897

SAW

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
3382W	19-12-90
2767C	17-6-99
1989W	12-8-95
2783W	31-10-98
2910W	10-10-96
500C	28-1-98
591C	21-1-90
5540C	27-11-89
3087W	29-10-89
2425W	3-9-89
1603W	18-6-89
425W	14-2-89
	Ltd

FURTHER PA

No.	Date.

D-1

From C. J. Mullings Esqr., offg. Executive Engineer, Bellary Division, to the Superintending Engineer, IIIrd Circle, Bellary, No. 3400 dated 29th May 1899.

I have the honor to draw your attention to article 414 of the Civil Service Regulations as there appears to be some erratum in the matter of the date "11th October 1893" in line 2 of the first paragraph.—

The rules embodied in the prospectus of the Royal Indian Engineering College at Coopers Hill 1892-93 which are those under which I entered the Public Works Department make no mention of any date after which this rule was to be changed, so that it is evident that it cannot refer to members of the Telegraph and Public Works Departments appointed from that College. (vide Royal Indian Engineering College Prospectus page 20, para 12).

I am also right in saying I think that the Coopers Hill Prospectus has not been altered since that date. So all Coopers Hill Engineers are exceptions to this Regulation.

Has been altered since 1895-96.

I therefore beg to suggest that the reading of this paragraph in the Civil Service Regulations be altered as follows:—

"For officers who were members of the Public Works Department or

and for all such officers who were appointed in those departments from the Royal Indian Engineering College Coopershill the following additional pensions &c &c:

No 2064/W dated 1st June 1899
Copy forwarded to the Chief Engineer P.W.D. for favour of disposal.

for Secretary,
Lt Col RE.
Superintending Engineer,
1117 Circle.

B. 8/5

Public Works (1899) Department.

EXAMINER, P.W. ACCOUNTS. 20 JUN. 1899

CURRENT No. 1548

No 2767 cd 3/17/6/99

Order

To C

For favour of remarks.

From The Secretary to Govt
Public
Revenue Dept

No. 2064/W.

Dated 1 — 6 — 99

Received

Registered 12.6.99

Enclosures

Spare copies

No. 869 A/E dated 11th July 1899

To

W.

Article 714 of C.S.R. read with Article 714 A immediately following it, would appear to show that the words "Who were members of the P.W.D.or Telegraph - Department on IIth: October 1893" are intentional. The question whether the rule is applicable to all Cooper's Hill Engineers, irrespective of the dates on which they joined the P.W.D.or Telegraph De-

SUBJECT.

Civil Service Regulation Para 714: —— Pointing out certain errors in the —— and requesting to modify the same: ——

No.	Date.
Department would apparently have to be referred to ~~the~~ India for an authoritative decision.	
	N. R Offg: C. 11-7-55

No.	Date.