Public Works (189) Department.

Print in Gen. Dept.

G.O., No. 2532 dd

DATED 28 September 89

[Despatch Abstract.]

Wharf at Masulipatam.

Sanctioning an estimate ~~of~~ of Rs 5300 for extending the existing corrugated iron shed accommodation ~~on~~ on wharf No 1 at Masulipatam and returning to the S. E. D. for immediate sanction and execution of estimate of Rs 1025 for repairs to old gate quay wharf at Masulipatam.

[P. W. 16. 200—18-7-89.]

T/ D/ C/ Ed 29/9

100/4

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Government {1899} of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Recd. } 1899. *Enclosures*

Regd. *Spare copies*

G.O., No. 2532 W., 28th September 1899.

SEPTEMBER.

Wharf at Masulipatam

Sanctioning an estimate of Rs. 5,300 for extending the existing corrugated iron shed accommodation on wharf No. 1 at Masulipatam and returning to the Superintending Engineer, II Circle, for immediate sanction and execution, the estimate of Rs. 1,025 for repairs to the old gate quay —.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads,
Civil Works.

READ—the following papers :—

From R. MORRIS, Esq., Collector of the Kistna District, to the Secretary to Government, Marine Department, dated Masulipatam, 18th April 1899, Ref. No. 4588 Gl. of 1898.

Adverting to G.O., No. 1002 W., dated 20th March 1896, directing the preparation and submission of plans and estimates for the extensions proposed to the two goods-sheds and the old gate quay in the Masulipatam port, I have the honour to submit, herewith, two estimates for the marginally-noted works, amounting to Rs. 5,300 and Rs. 1,025, respectively.

1. Extending the existing corrugated iron shed accommodation on wharf No. 1 at Masulipatam.
2. Repairs to old gate quay.

2. Plans and estimates, amounting to Rs. 15,800, were originally prepared with reference to the Government Order quoted above, but the Superintendent of Sea Customs, Masulipatam, in his letter No. 200, dated 16th September 1898 (copy enclosed), having suggested a curtailment of the extension originally proposed in consideration of a fall in the trade of the port, the Superintending Engineer, II Circle, was requested to revise the estimates on the lines suggested by the Superintendent of Sea Customs, which he has accordingly done. The enclosed are therefore the revised estimates.

3. I request that the Government will be pleased to sanction the estimates and order the execution of the works at an early date, as the Superintedent of Sea Customs reports that the old gate quay is badly in need of repairs.

ENCLOSURE.

From the Collector of Sea Customs, Masulipatam, to the Collector of Kistna, dated 16th September 1898, No. 200.

Adverting to the correspondence ending with this office No. 31, dated 11th February 1896, regarding repairs to the customs wharves, and No. 183, dated 12th October 1896, regarding the extension of goods-shed accommodation, I have the honour to inform you that since these works were first put forward the trade of this port has decreased considerably, and I have therefore to suggest that the work of extending the goods-shed be curtailed to the extension of No. I shed only.

The old gate quay is badly in need of repairs, which I request may be pushed forward as early as possible in preference to any other work.

The original proposal was that both the goods-sheds should be extended and an open shed built on the old gate quay, but as trade is so unsteady I do not think it advisable to extend more than the shed outside the canal lock which is not large enough to accommodate goods landed or brought for shipment during the closure of canals.

These works have been sanctioned in G.O., Mis. No. 1342 dated 2nd April 1896, G.O., Mis. No. 1500, dated 20th April 1896, and G.O., Mis. No. 4156, dated 21st October 1896, but I believe have not been taken in hand for want of funds.

From Commander H. A. STREET, R.I.M., Presidency Port Officer, Madras, to the Secretary to Government, Marine Department, dated 8th May 1899, No. 1412/173 G.

With reference to Marine Department endorsement No. 210 E., dated 1st May 1899 (enclosures herewith returned), I have the honour to acquaint you, for the information of Government, that as the fees levied at the port of Masulipatam for the use of the wharf and goods-shed are credited to "Customs," the cost of the proposed improvements should be met from that head.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Separate Revenue), Mis. No. 3614, dated 11th August 1899.

Read—endorsement of Government, Revenue Department, dated 1st June 1899, Routine No. 729.

Read—letter from the Collector of Kistna, dated 23rd July 1899, R.C. No. 821/B. & G.

Resolution—Mis. No. 3614, dated 11th August 1899.

With the Government endorsement first read above, Government refers for the Board's remarks the proposal of the Collector of Kistna to extend one of the good sheds at the port of Masulipatam and to repair the old gate quay wharf.

2. The Collector appears to have first recommended the extension of the two existing goods-sheds and the erection of a third on the old gate quay wharf, and this was approved in Marine endorsement No. 156 E., dated 13th March 1896, and transferred to the Public Works Department.

3. In G.O., No. 1002 W., dated 20th March 1896, the Superintending Engineer, II Circle, was directed to prepare the necessary plans and estimates for the work, and plans and estimates were accordingly prepared for Rs. 15,800, but the Superintendent of Sea Customs now reports that, in consideration of a fall in the trade of the port, it is unnecessary to do more than extend the shed outside the canal lock and repair the old gate quay wharf. Estimates for these two works for Rs. 5,300 and Rs. 1,025, respectively, are now submitted. The sheds have justified their construction, and, as it is reported that more accommodation is required, the Board recommends that the work be carried out without delay.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. A. GRAHAM,
Acting Secretary.

Mis. No. 3050, Revenue.

Approved and transferred to the Public Works Department.

OOTACAMUND,
22nd August 1899.

(Signed) H. TREMENHEERE,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

ORDER—No. 2532 W., dated 28th September 1899.

With the papers read above, estimates for the undermentioned works have been received :—

	RS.
(1) Extending the existing corrugated iron shed accommodation on wharf No. 1 at Masulipatam	5,300
(2) Repairs to the old gate quay wharf at Masulipatam	1,025

2. The estimate for item (1) is sanctioned for execution when funds are available.

3. The estimate for item (2) is returned to the Superintending Engineer for immediate sanction and execution. An additional allotment of Rs. 1,025 is made to the Kistna Eastern division under Provincial Civil Buildings, Repairs.

4. The sanction accorded in paragraph 2 of these proceedings has been registered as Provincial No. 67 W. of 1899–1900, and classified as Civil Buildings—Original works.

(True Extract.)

Under Secretary to Government.

To the Superintending Engineer, II Circle, with nine enclosures.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
" Revenue Department.

Exd. T. K. Narayana Aiyangar.

P. W. DEPARTMENT

READ the following:—

Letter from the Collector of Kistna, Ref No 45-88 Genl dt 1898, dt 18.4.99
Letter from the Presidency Port Officer, No 1412/173 G, dated 8th May 1899
Proceedings of the Board of Revenue Separate Revenue, This no 3614 dt. 11.8.99
Endorsement thereon by the Revenue Dept. This no 3050 dt 22.8.99

Circulated to

ORDER.

No: 2532 W 28.9.99

With the papers read above estimates for the undermentioned works have been received

(1) Lengthening the existing corrugated iron shed accommodation on Wharf No 1 at Masulipatam } Rs 5,300

(2) Repairs to the old gate quay wharfs at Masulipatam } 1,025.

2. The estimate for item (1) is sanctioned for execution when funds are available.

3. The estimate for item (2) is returned to the Supdg Engineer for immediate sanction and execution. ^ ~~From the repair grant to the Kistna Eastern Division under Provincial civil buildings. The grant under this head will if necessary be augmented on application by the Supdg Engineer.~~

4. The sanction accorded in para 2 of these

are therefore the revised Estimates.

Issue as allotted in margin

^ An additional allotment of Rs 1,025 is made to the Kistna Eastern Division under Provincial Civil buildings, Repairs.

P. W. 42-A.
1,000—2-3-99.

...ings has been registered as Provincial 1899-1900 and classified as Civil original Works.

21.5.99

W.

...he estimates are reviewed in the accompany... ...chnical note.

No funds are available for the work of esclading ...ion of the port (item 1).

As it is reported that the old gate quay is ...y in need of repairs, it is proposed to direct the ... to sanction the estimate and carry out the work at once ...m the repair grant to the Tristna Eastern Division

21.5.99

W.

Memo. A.E.H.

21/5/99

To S. E. II circle with [illegible] ends
" Examiner P.W.A
" Revenue Dept

9/10/99

Flag F

So far as is known in the Madras office on this date

FJ
15/12/99

W.

For ...ng with 19 ...:

to the concluding senten... of para 3 of the Resol... of the Board of Reven... P.o. 3614 dy 22 August 1...

There is no provision ... the work in question in the current year's budget. The balance at the credit of the Provincial Reserve is Rs 933. Replies from S.Es. to the recent memo calling for surrender of grants are awaited at Ootacamund.

FJ,
15/12/99

† Replies from the S.Es II. IV & V circles and the C.A have been received. Surrenders to the extent of Rs 7.00 have been made. To this should be added the existing balance of reserve viz. Rs 933.

2. Of the proposed works at Nasulipatam item (2) is a repair estimate and should be

...re therefore the revised Estimates.

Basden Engr

The Board recommends that the work be carried out without delay in connection for consideration

that the revenue at present is only Rs 7.933.

submitted that it may not be advisable appropriate Rs 300 of the amount. If this be accepted, the estimate may be sanctioned in execution when funds are available.

P.Ko 18/7/99

W. Hll 18/9

yr.

19-9-99

List of papers up to 31/10
Forwards a
7/9/99
H.C. Despatch dtd 13 Sept 1899.
This is an urgent office, but the Co quoted ... and yrs Rs Revolver was sent to DW on the 26th instant + would probably return next week. They (perhaps) in the meanwhile examine the Estc
18/9/99
80/8/99

Public Works Department.

Current No. 7062
Endt:
Letter No 3050
Dated 22 Augt 1899.
From the Revenue Deptt:

NOTE BY TECHNICAL SECTION.

Name of work:

I. Extending the Existing Corrugated Iron Shed accommodation on Wharf No 4 at Masulipatam port. ... Rs: 5300.

II. Repairs to old gate quay wall in the Fort of Masulipatam ... Rs. 1025.

I. was first proposed by the Collector of Kistna and approved of in the Marine Deptt: to extend the two Existing Goods sheds and to erect a third on the old gate quay wharf at Masulipatam - In Govt: order No 1002 of 20-3-96, the S.E 2nd Circle was directed to prepare the necessary plans estimates for the above sheds - they were accordingly done for Rs 15800- but the Collector of Sea Customs now reports that as the trade of the Port has fallen, it is unnecessary to do more than extend the shed outside the canal lock and to repair the old gate quay wall. - The estimates now submitted provide for these two works, for Rs 5300 and Rs: 1025 respectively

are therefore the revised Estimates.

[P. W. 60.
250—5-9-98.]

Estimate for Rs 5300.

This provides for extending the present goods shed outside the Canal wall to a length of 85 feet, on the same pattern as the old shed. The iron work for the shed will be supplied by Burn & Co. Calcutta who supplied the original shed.

The floor will be of Berwada stone slabs 6" thick over a bedding of 6" of concrete and pointed with Cement.

The estimate also provides for sundry repairs to the shed both

A lump sum of Rs. 200 is provided for rebuilding the open tiled shed for travellers at the East of the wharf, as it now stands in the way of the proposed Extension.

Est for Rs. 1025.

This Estimate provides for the repair of the old gate quay wall used as a wharf for coasting boats. The top of the wall which now varies in height is to be brought to one level i.e. to M.S.L. +6 ft. and to have a coping of cut stone 9" thick. The brickwork of the wall has weathered and provision is made for repairing it and plastering the whole surface with Cement.

The space between the quay wall and the old gate wall is to be metalled & panelled.

Note. Details data accompany the Estimate - and the Plans are pairs.

The Estimate has been inspected by the Collector and approved by the Revenue Dept.

Amt. 13.9.99

Sanction for execution
Necessary funds are available

19.9.99

Revenue (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 7062

5255

5P

From The Secretary to the Commissioner of Salt, Abkari and Separate Revenue, Madras.

Order Endt. Mis No 3050, dy 22nd August 99

Approved and transferred to the Public Works Department.

Mis. No. 3614

22

Dated 22nd August 1899.

Received at Ootacamund 25 8 99

Registered at Madras 29 8 99

Enclosures papers 7 Plans 6 Abstract 1

Spare copies

J. Tremenheere
Actg Secretary to Government

Ootacamund,
22 August 1899

SUBJECT.

Recommending to Government that the estimates for extending one of the goods sheds and for repairing the old gate quay wharf at Masulipatam be sanctioned.

P.W. Dept. for C.E.
G.O. No 438 dy 19.8.99

Rec 5.550 Rs
Grants 0 2 5 0 4

are therefore the revised Estimates.

E. 1 1 R 53 m.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Rev	729	Rev	1.6.99.
	1002	W	20.3.96 Mins

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	253 & Mn.		29-5-99
	210 & -		1-5-99
	2901 C.		17-6-98
	440 C.		23-1-97
	645 E.		10-12-96
	570 E.		13-11-96
	327 E.		22-6-96
	1253 W.		19-4-96
			Files

Price 1 *pie.* 2,000 1-9-08.

REVENUE BOARD OFFICE MADRAS.

4 P

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Separate Revenue).

Dated 11th August 1899.

Mis No. 3614

The Hon'ble Mr.J.Thomson.

Read endorsement of Government, Revenue Department, Routine No.729, dated 1st June 1899.

Read also letter from R.Morris, Esquire, I.C.S., Collector of Kistna, R.C.No.821/B & G of 99, dated 23rd July 1899.

Resolution.

With the Government endorsement first read above, Government refers for the Board's remarks the proposal of the Collector of Kistna to extend one of the goods sheds at the port of Masulipatam and to repair the ~~main~~ old gate Quay wharf.

2. The Collector appears to have first recommended the extension of the two existing goods sheds and the erection of a third on the old gate quay wharf, and this was approved in Marine endorsement No.156 F, dated 13th March 1896, and transferred to

re therefore the revised Estimates.

the Public Works Department.

3. In Government Order No.1003 W, dated 20th March 1896, the Superintending Engineer, II Circle, was directed to prepare the necessary plans and estimates for the work, and plans and estimates were accordingly prepared for Rs.15,800, but the Superintendent of Sea Customs now reports that in consideration of a fall in the trade of the port, it is unnecesaary to do more than extend the shed outside the canal lock and repair the old gate quay wharf. Estimates for these two works for Rs.5,300 and Rs.1,085 respectively are now submitted. The sheds have justified their construction and, as it is reported that more accommodation is required, the Board recommends that the work be carried out without delay.

(True extract)

R. Graham
11/8/99
Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, with estimates and plan and with Government endorsement and enclosures returned.

Copy to the Collector of Kistna.

1899

Revenue

~~Marine~~ (1899) Department.

34465

CURRENT No. ~~Dft~~

No. 2532 29-5-99

CUSTOMS 656 /99

Will the Revenue Dept. please take over this matter. The cost of the proposed work being, it is replied, chargeable to Customs, and not Port Funds.

From The Presy Port officer, Madras

Secretary to Govt.

No. 1412/173

To The Rev. Dept. G.O. No. 1/8/99

Dated 8th May 1899
Received 29th do —
Reg. 30th do —
Enclosures 12 (+ 1 plan)
Spare copies

End R. No. 729 dy 1st June '99

Respond to the Board of Revenue for favour of remarks.

Secretary to Government.

SUBJECT.

Stating that the cost of the proposed improvements to the goods shed &c at Masulipatam should be met from "Customs"

To The Board of Revenue (S.R.)

Encl. Letter with recpts. if any

5.6.99

are therefore the revised Estimates.

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

9 In G RESIDENCY

No. 1412 / 173 G

Heading

Presidency Port Office,

Madras, 8th May 1899.

From

Commander H. A. Street, R.I.M.,
Presidency Port Officer,
Madras,

3P

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
MARINE DEPARTMENT,
CHEPAK.

Sir,

With reference to Marine Department Endorsement No. 210, E, dated 1st May 1899 (enclosures herewith returned) I have the honour to acquaint you, for the information of Government, that as the fees levied at the port of Masulipatam for the use of the wharf and Goods shed are credited to "Customs", the cost of the proposed improvements should be met from that head.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your obdt. servt.,

H. Street
Commander, R.I.M.,
Presy Port officer.

M.M.L.

are therefore the revised Estimates.

No 210 8

PRESIDENCY
MAY 99
PORT OFFICE

Government of Madras,

Marine DEPARTMENT. G341

Endorsement

~~MEMORANDUM.~~

Ootacamund Dated ~~Madras,~~ 1st May 1899.

From the Collector of Kistna No: 4588/51 dy 18th April 99

Referred to the Presidency Port officer for remarks.

[illegible], Col R.E.

Ag: Secretary to Government

To
The Presidency Port-officer in original
— to be returned

P. W. 41.
1,000—17-2-99.

[illegible] therefore the revised Estimates.

1894
REVENUE DEPT.
Dept. No. 4
3465 5233

4.

Copy of the letter from the Collector of Sea Customs, Masulipatam, to the Collector of Kistna, No. 200, dated 16th September 1898:-

Adverting to the correspondence ending with this office No.31, dated 11th February 1896, regarding repairs to the Customs Wharves and No.185, dated 12th October 1896, regarding the extension of goods shed accommodation, I have the honour to inform you that since these works were first put forward the trade of this Port has decreased considerably and I have therefore to suggest that the work of extending the goods shed be curtailed to the extension of No.I shed only.

The old gate quay is badly in need of repairs which I request may be pushed forward as early as possible in preference to ~~the~~ any other work.

The original proposal was that both the goods sheds should be extended and an open shed built on the old gate quay, but as trade is so unsteady I do not think it advisable to extend more than the shed outside the canal lock which is not large enough to accommodate goods landed or brought for shipment during the closure of canals.

These works have been sanctioned in G.O., No.1542 Mis., dated 2nd April 1896, Board's Proceedings No.1500 Mis., dated 6th April 1896. G.O., No.4156, Mis., dated 21st October 1896, but I believe have not been taken in hand for want of funds.

19.4.98. V/194 for Collector.

are therefore the revised Estimates.

300—14-7-98.

No. 4588 of 1898

KISTNA DISTRICT.

COLLECTOR'S OFFICE,

MASULIPATAM,

18th April 1899.

FROM,

R. M O R R I S ESQR.,

COLLECTOR, KISTNA DISTRICT.

To,

The Secretary to Government,

MARINE ~~Local & Municipal~~ Department.

~~FORT SAINT GEORGE~~ MADRAS

SIR,

X.

Adverting to G.O., No.1002, W., dated 20th March 1896, directing the preparation and submission of plans and Estimates for the extensions proposed to the goods sheds and the old gate Quay in the Masulipatam Port, I have the honour to submit herewith 2 estimates for the marginally noted work amounting to Rs.5,300/- and Rs.1,025/- respectively.

1. Extending the existing corrugated iron shed accommodation on Wharf No.1 at Masulipatam.

2. Repairs to old gate quay.

2. Plans and estimates amounting to Rs.15,800/- were originally prepared with reference to the G.O. quoted above, but the Superintendent of Sea Customs, Masulipatam, in his letter No.200, dated 16th September 1898(copy enclosed) having suggested a curtailment of the extension originally proposed in consideration of a fall in the trade of the port, the Superintending Engineer, II Circle, was requested to revise the Estimates on the lines suggested by the Superintendent of Sea Customs, which he has accordingly done. The enclosed are therefore the revised Estimates.

1899 REVENUE DEPT. 6 5233

sanction the estimates and order the execution of the works at an early date as the Superintendent of Sea Customs reports that the old gate quay is badly in need of repairs.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

for Collector.

19.4.87.