Public Works (1899) Department.

G.O., No. 2508 W

DATED 21 September 1899.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Servants Salt- No 272. ~~Duct~~ Directing, with remarks, the sale by public auction of the ——. II Circle, and the loss thereon to be borne by Govt

B

[P. W. 2 / 2,000—20-3-99.]

Indexed.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Public Works (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 7231

1731 w 1885
1531 w 1886

From The Superintending Engineer
II Circle

Order B / No: 2608 W & 21-9-98

No. 414 W

In the circumstances explained the Servants Tent No 272, the purchase of which was sanctioned in G.O No 2188 W dated 2nd September 1895 will be sold by public auction & the loss borne by Govt.

2 There is however, evidence of pleasant & care of this tent, & the last of the Officers in charge thereof. & the S.S. will be retained in future. Requests certain in Servants tent are separate & immediately

Dated 1-9-'99

Received } 4-9-99
Registered }

Enclosures Plans. nil / Abstract others. 1 / 1

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Tent No: 272.

S Estimate
Grant Towards

H. S. H

Dy

B Cont 3698 C 1899

3351 C 1899 6427

HC

6.L.S. 489 11/6/99

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
2185 W	2-9-95
2828 W	7-11-95
2500	12-8-95
514 W	6-3-55
1952 W	6-8-94

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

Precis of Case of Servant's Tent No: 2

The Executive Engineer Nellore Divn with his Endorsement No: $\frac{28\ S.E.}{24-4-97}$ forwards a copy of letter No: $\frac{17}{17-4-97}$ from M. Arokiasa mordalair, Assistant Engineer in Charge Gudur Sub Dn reporting that his Servants tent No: 272 was accidentally burnt during a strong gale at the end of March and requesting orders as to its repairs Which would be Rs 20-30 and Sanction was accordingly accorded in S.E's No: $\frac{64.\ N.L.}{29-4-97}$ to its repairs at the public expense. In his No: $\frac{465\cdot S.E.}{6-7-99}$, the E.E. Nellore Dn again reported that when the damaged tent was sent for repairs to Vellore Jail in 1899 the Supt of the Jail said that it was not worth repairing and requested permission to dispose of the tent for what it would fetch, and enclosed a Survey report of the tent.

The S.E. II in his No: $\frac{576\ N.L.}{15-7-99}$ asked the E.E. Nellore to report when the tent was purchased and at what cost and why there has been a delay of 2 years in the posing of the Case. The E.E. Nellore Dn replied in his No: $\frac{542\cdot S.E.}{3-8-99}$ that the tent in question was purchased for Rs 55 in Jan'y 96 from Vellore Central Jail and that the immediate execution of its repairs was deferred, the tent having been subsequently sent to Kurnool Dn for the use of the Lamb

* Issued to Sanction given in P.W. 2188 W 27-9-95

Superintending Engineer's Office, II Circle,

Bezwada, 1st September 1899.

No. 414 W

From

Major W. M. Ellis. R.E.

Superintending Engineer,

II Circle,

To

The Chief Engineer

Public Works Department

Sir,

Enclosures. one

I have the honour to ask your orders with reference to the case of Servants tent No. 272.

2 The tent was purchased in January 1896 for Rs 55.

3 In march 1897 while in charge of Mr. Arokiasamy mudaliar Assistant Engineer it was much damaged by fire.

4 The Superintending Engineer then ordered that the tent might be repaired at Government Cost.

5 It was, however, not repaired at the time and the Assistant Engineer continued to use it till January 1898.

6 After that it was kept in store for a year and a half apparently by over sight and when despatched

sstt. Engr. Mr. Arokiasamy mudr. who was there on famine duty. The tent was returned to store in Jan. 98 and that the delay in disposal was due to frequent changes of storekeepers.

The S.E. in his No. 655 Ns. 8-8-99 questioned whether the unserviceable state of the tent was due to the outning or to the subsequent exposure, who first was that inspected the tent before its despatch for repairs, & what was its state as shewn in the transfer report when returned to store. The E.E. Vellore reports in his No. 600 S.E. 21-8-99 that the tent though partly destroyed by fire has been in use by Mr. Arokiasamy mudr. till Jan. 98., that the tent was noted in the transfer report as having been "partly destroyed" that nobody inspected the same before its despatch to Vellore Central Jail for repairs and that the tent as reported by the Supt. of Vellore Central Jail, is not worth repairing. The S.E. II thereon in his No. 717 Ns. 24-8-99 observed that, had it not been for the neglect to inspect the tent before its despatch for repairs, the Carriage at least of the tent from Vellore to Vellore would not have been needlessly incurred and that orders of Govt. will be obtained on the subject.

Major R.E.
Superintending Engineer
II Circle

Aug 21 1899

No. 21.848

for repairs
to Vellore, was reported by the Superintendent
of the Jail Vellore to be not worth repairing.

7. It would appear that the tent was in constant use for 2 years and is 3⅔ years old. It is unserviceable and must be written off the returns.

8. Your orders are requested on the matter of who is to bear the loss

9. In views of the orders passed by the Superintending Engineer in 1896. it seems to me that Government must bear it.

10. I however think that the cost of repairing any injury other than fair wear and tear occasioned to Government tents in charge of an officer for personal occupation should be borne by that officer in all but very special cases on which the orders of Government should be obtained.

11. A precis of the case is put up for perusal.

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant.

W. H. Ellis
Major. R.E.
Superintending Engineer
2nd Circle

V. 1.799