

Public Works (1899) Department.

G.O., No.

2315-W

DATED *4* September 1899.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Port Civil Works Budget for 1898-1899.

Directing that, in the circumstances stated,
Item No 4 Part I Class B works in the
final issue of the — be expunged—

[illegible]

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Remitting to Government letter from the Consulting Architect, requesting Government to expunge the item relating to iron fencing along the beach road near the Madras harbour in the **Final Issue** of Provincial Civil Works Budget for 1899-1900, with the remarks that the item may be expunged.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
<i>Rms</i>	<i>996</i>	<i>Rev</i>	<i>20.7.99.</i>
<i>682 A</i>	<i>13.7.99.</i>		
<i>1238</i>	<i>W 98.5.99</i>		
<i>A.B</i>	<i>+ file</i>		

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue
PUBLIC WORKS

(189.9) Department.

CURRENT No. *5429*

CUSTOMS

Port. 874 9/59

From

The Consulting Architect to the
Government of Madras.

Referred, through the Revenue
Department, to the Board of Revenue,
Separate Revenue, for favour of re-
marks with reference to item 2 of
the list of works proposed for exe-
cution by the Public Works De-
partment during 1899-1900, submit-
ted by the Board with its reso-
lution No. 3817 Mis dated 27th --
September 1898. *Ray R.*

Dated

4th July 1899.

Received

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies

No. 1141

24 JUL 93

SEPARATE REVENUE MADRAS

Encl. No. 3960
5.10.98

L. Beecham
Secretary to Govt. P.W.D.

SUBJECT.

Requesting to expunge the item
No. 4 Part I Class *B* Works in the
Final Issue of Provincial Civil Budget
for 1899-1900.

Revenue Department

May

Encl. R. No. 996 of 20.7.99

Forwarded.

A. Trevelyan
Ag. Secretary to Government.

025275

Ref. Encl. 20.7.99

Please put up info

[P. W. 20,
2,000-15-30-0.]

16.4.99

PTD

Recd on 28.7.99

26.7.99

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	449 W. W.		11-2-99
	1238 W.		8-5-99
	A		
	965 W.		5-4-99
	967 W.		6-4-99
	999 W.		8-4-99
	2709 W.		29-9-99
	3960 Rev		5-10-99

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	2721 C		1-6-99
	2882 C		15-6-99
	2085 C		14-1-99
	2024 W.		14-7-96
	1022 W.		10-4-99
	1071 W.		17-4-99
	1583 C		15-3-99
	1238 W.		8-5-99
	A+15		8-5-99

Remitted to Govt
into copy of letter from
the Secy of Sea Customs
4771 P of The last wherein
it appears that the item
may be refunded.

10. Aug 1899
The Secy
in charge of
Sea Customs

OFFICE OF THE CONSULTING ARCHITECT
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,
Chennai, 4th July 1899.

No. 1141

COPY of a communication from the Secy Collector of Sea
Customs to the Consulting Architect

to Government, No. 3940 dated 29th June 1899.

Adverting to your letter No. 754, of 3rd
June 1899 forwarding for my countersignature
& submission to Government for sanction plan
estimate for constructing a masonry wall
connecting the North & South Harbour Groynes
to prevent smuggling, I have the honour to
enclose herewith for your perusal & return
letter from the Secretary, Harbour Trust Board
with annexures from which you will observe
that a masonry wall is objected to and that
the Trustees suggest that the matter should
lie over until the Madras & South Indian
Railways have fenced in their lines in
connection with the new Beach station
This proposal seems to me a reasonable
one.

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer
P. W. D. with intimation that the work is
entered in Budget as item No. 4 Part I. class
B works, from the above the undersigned
considers that the item may be expensed

C. S. S. S.
Consulting Architect

Copy of letter from Herbert Bradley, Esquire, I.C.S., Acting Collector of Sea Customs, Madras, No. 4777 G, dated 7th August 1899.

"In reply to the Board's reference on Government Register No. 874, dated August 4th 1899, I have the honour to state that the idea of a wall along the beach emanated from the Consulting Architect. That officer's subordinate, when sent down to take measurements for the estimate, saw the Deputy Collector, Mr. Marshall, and suggested a wall. Mr. Marshall asked that estimates both for iron fencing and for a wall might be sent in.

2. The estimate provided for one of the most remarkably crooked walls that I have ever seen on paper and was at once rejected by the Harbour Trust Board on the ground, I believe, that the alignment was impossible and a wall worse than useless. That body, in view of the fact that the Railway Companies, in their agreements with the Harbour Trust Board, have undertaken, if required, to fence their lines suggested that the estimate should lie over until the Railway fences have been erected. When this is done, it appears probable that a very small length of fencing will suffice to completely inclose the Harbour works.

3. The proposed wall will not do at all and the fencing appears to me an unnecessary expense, unless the Railway fences are found, when constructed, inefficient.

(True copy)

R. A. Graham
12/8/99
Acting Secretary.

