Public Works (189) Department.

G.O., No. 2266 W

DATED 29 August 99

[Despatch Abstract.]

Inspection Report.

Orders on the ~~statement~~ on the accounts of the Kistna Western Dn., submitted by the Exr. P.W. Acct.

260
"X"

B

VRC

Ld 30/8

[P. W. 26. / 200—18-7-99.]

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

GENERAL.
ACCOUNT.

Government {1899} of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Recd.	1899.	*Enclosures*
Regd.		*Spare copies*

G.O., No. 2266 W., 29th August 1899.

AUGUST.

Inspection Report

Orders on the — on the accounts of the Kistna Western division, submitted by the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Account.

Read—the following papers:—

Office-note from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, Madras, dated 22nd July 1899, No. 915 A./A.

I submit for the information of Government extracts from a report by Mr. J. E. Lacey, Deputy Examiner, of an inspection by him of the office of the Executive Engineer, Kistna Western division. I solicit the orders of Government in column 6 of the enclosed statement.

2. The office is in good order.

Order—No. 2266 W., dated 29th August 1899.

With his office-note recorded above the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, submits a statement of those items in the report of inspection of the accounts of the Kistna Western division, which require the orders of Government. These orders have been entered in the statement which is printed as an appendix to these Proceedings. The Superintending Engineer, II Circle, will see that necessary action is taken on these orders and that the reports called for are duly submitted.

(True Extract.)

Under Secretary to Government.

To the Superintending Engineer, II Circle.
,, other Superintending Engineers.
,, the Consulting Architect to Government.
,, the Superintendent of Works, Lighthouse Division.
,, the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd. T. K. Narayana Aiyangar.

Appendix to G.O., No. 2266 W., dated 29th August 1899.

Result of Inspection by Mr. J. E. Lacey, Deputy Examiner of Accounts, of the Office of the Executive Engineer, Kistna Western Division, II Circle, at Guntúr, from 30th June to 5th July 1899.

Serial No.	Irregularity or defect brought to notice.	Paragraph of report.	Relative Code rule or order.	Examiner's remarks.	Orders of Government.
1	2	3	4	5	6
1	It is stated that the Superintending Engineer had not inspected the office since July 1896.	1	Code, I, III, 38, and note 2 on Form No. 144 A.	1. The Superintending Engineer's attention might perhaps be invited to the desirability of inspecting each Divisional office in his circle at least once a year.	*Item* 1.—The Superintending Engineer's attention will be specially drawn to the necessity of periodical inspections of Divisional offices.
	Progress in check and payment of bills.				
2	A register in Form E-66 is maintained as authorized in G.O., No. 2873 W., dated 15th October 1892, but its object is somewhat frustrated in the column "Date of payment" not being filled in. This could easily be done on receipt of Sub-divisional officer's cash book. A more frequent inspection of the register by the Executive Engineer is recommended. There has been considerable delay between dates of measurement and dates of preparation of bill and also between dates of preparation of bill and submission of final bill to the Divisional office for audit (*vide* annexure A).	11	G.O., No. 2873 W., dated 15th October 1892.	2. The object of the register is to prevent delay in payment, and unless it is properly maintained and frequently scrutinized by the Executive Engineer it is of course useless.	*Item* 2.—The Superintending Engineer's attention is also specially called to this important matter. Punctual payments are the essence of good and economical work.
	Measurement Books.				
3	In measurement books Nos. 6349 and 6352, the measurements in columns 1 to 5 are all very neatly entered in ink and do not appear to be the original measurements of work taken on the spot and evidently were copied from note books. This is clearly stated to have been done in measurement book No. 6191.	12	Code, Volume II, Chapter I, 31 and 35, and note 2 on Public Works Department Form No. 6.	3. Measurement books are original records of the first importance and it is very desirable that all original measurements taken should be directly entered in the book and in no other.	*Item* 3.—Government fully endorses the Examiner's remarks, which should receive the special attention of all officers of the department.
4	There is no evidence of any check-measurements having been made by the Executive Engineer in any of the measurement books examined. It is for Government to decide to what extent and when works should be check-measured.	12	Chief Engineer, Public Works Department's Circular No. 5447 C., dated 15th December 1897.	4. It seems very necessary that measurements made by Sub-divisional officers, etc., should, as far as possible, be checked by the Executive Engineer and the fact of such check-measurements recorded in the book. The Executive Engineer's attention might be invited to Chief Engineer, Public Works Department's Circular No. 5447 C., dated 15th December 1897.	*Item* 4.—It should be explained why no evidence is forthcoming of check-measurements having been made by the Executive Engineer.

Result of Inspection by Mr. J. E. LACEY, Deputy Examiner of Accounts, of the Office of the Executive Engineer, Kistna Western Division, II Circle, at Guntúr from 30th June to 5th July 1899—*cont.*

Serial No.	Irregularity or defect brought to notice.	Paragraph of report.	Relative Code rule or order.	Examiner's remarks.	Orders of Government.
1	2	3	4	5	6
	In measurement book No. 6191 of A. Venkataramana Row, Delta Superintendent, Western sub-division, for extending the Tungabhadra side channel, estimate Rs. 22,340, pieceworker P. Seshachellam Naidu: It is noticed that on page 35 (bill for September 1898), the quantity of work done as per first bill of July 1898 (page 3, but paid on 5th August 1898, after the bill for a subsequent measurement, page 8), viz., 60,185 cubic feet, is deducted from the column "Contents" instead of from column 8 "Deduct previous measurements." In fact this measurement was apparently overlooked when bill for August 1898 (page 17) was paid. As measurements are taken in the same furlongs operated on in previous months without any apparent distinguishing marks, it is difficult to say whether any double measurements of the work in those furlongs have been made and consequently overpayments to the contractor. Particular and precise information should be given and a careful check-measurement is recommended; the book at present does not bear evidence of any check-measurement by the Sub-divisional officer having been made. The value of earthwork to end of March 1899 was Rs. 8,886-3-6.	12		5 and 6. The Executive Engineer might be called upon to report whether any double payments have been made.	*Items 5 and* 6.—A report should be submitted as to whether any double payments were made in the two instances in question. It would appear that, in the extension of the Tungabhadra side channel, as much as Rs. 8,886-3-6 has been paid on the measurements of Delta Superintendent A. Venkataramana Rau, alone, without any check-measurements. The Superintending Engineer will explain how this occurred.
6	In book No. 6352 for Bapatla taluk cutcherry, estimate Rupees 46,100, in pages, 6, 8 and 9, deductions are shown in column "Since last measurement" as follows:— RS. A. P. 4·53 Superstructure at Rs. 30-14-6 .. .. 140 7 8 52·1 Covering with reepers and Mangalore tiles at Rs. 21 .. .. .. 1,094 1 7 1,100 Dozens of screw-nails at As. 1-6 .. 103 2 0 12·54 Napa stone, etc., without cement pointing. 416 15 4 and in the absence of the previous measurement book No. 5081 (not produced at the inspection), it seems difficult to say whether the contractor was not overpaid in these cases for the excess quantities referred to.				
7	A charge of Rs. 278-7-9 appears in the accounts for 1898-99 against an estimate for Rs. 300 sanctioned by the Executive Engineer (Mr. Lewis); the estimate for 1899-1900 is sanctioned by the Superintending Engineer (Mr. Hannan) for ferrymen for working ballacuts in the Kistna Western division in the following places:— RS. Hanumalapalanon Bank canal .. . .. 45 Volum Bank Canal .. .. 40 Punnmudi Bank canal .. .. .. 48 Tsandole-Nizampatam canal .. .. .. 50 Rapalli main drain .. .. 70 Budderu-Tungabhadra drain .. .. .. 47 300 Under the orders conveyed in G.O., No. 6 I., dated 6th January 1888, it was evidently intended that the villagers themselves should arrange for the working and maintenance of the ballacuts. It is explained, however, that in certain cases the canals were cut through existing Local Fund roads, and the ballacuts have been provided by the Public Works Department and the cost of the maintenance borne by that department. It is for Government to decide whether their orders of 1888 apply to the charges referred to in this report.	13	G.O., No. 6 I., dated 6th January 1888.	7. It is for Government to decide whether, under the circumstances stated, the charge must be borne by the Public Works Department.	*Item* 7.—The circumstances under which these charges are borne by the Public Works Department and the authority by which they are so borne should be reported.
	Materials at Site.				
8	In the case of the Taluk cutcherry at Bapatla it is noticed that Messrs. Richardson & Cruddas supplied 9,262½ feet of pine ceiling planks, but the acknowledgment in the account of materials at site (Form 7-F. for December 1898) is only 9,208 feet, although payment was made in full for the quantity supplied by the firm.	14	....	8. The Executive Engineer might be asked to account for the difference.	*Item* 8.—The difference pointed out should be accounted for.
	Contract Accounts.				
9	The contract of G. Sreenivasa Aiyar for extending bridge at 7 miles 15 chains at Nallamanda drain at Bápatla for Rupees 20,603 was accepted by the Superintending Engineer and reported to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation for approval in terms of Code, I, VIII, 11; but as the contract was for over Rs. 20,000, the Chief Engineer's (Public Works Department) approval should have been obtained in the first instance. The Superintending Engineer had also accepted another contract for the same work by the same man for 3 lakhs of bricks at Rs. 24-10-5 per 1,000 contrary to Public Works Department Code, I, VIII, 8 (3rd sentence).	15	Code, I, VIII, 8 ..	9. The matter is brought to the notice of Government with reference to Code, I, VIII, 8.	*Items 9 and* 10.—The Superintending Engineer will explain why the approval of competent authority was not obtained to the acceptance of the contract in question, and also why the terms of the contract were not enforced.
10	The agreement required the work to be completed on 31st August 1897 and that deductions were to be made at 10 per cent. of work done, but the work is still on hand; the last payment was made in March 1899 and only Rs. 500 has been retained although work to the extent of Rs. 23,257-2-3 was executed.	15	Code, I, VIII, 29 ..	10. The Executive Engineer might perhaps be invited to explain why the conditions of the contract have not been enforced.	
11	An agreement with piece-worker P. Seshachellam Naidu for excavating Perali drain, Rs. 84,940, had been accepted by Mr. Lewis in Form K-2. Payments to over Rs. 20,000 have already been made. It appears desirable that when piece-workers are entrusted with work costing over the ordinary limits of sanction by Executive Engineers and Superintending Engineers for contracts, that formal reports in the spirit of Public Works Department Code, I, VIII, 11, be made to higher authority. At present there appears to be no rule on the subject.	15	Code, II, I, 112 ..	11. Apparently the man should have been treated as a regular contractor and sanction of Chief Engineer obtained to the giving out of the contract. The submission of the report, such as that suggested by the Inspecting officer, would prevent regular contractors being treated as piece-workers.	*Item* 11.—The Superintending Engineer will report on the suggestion of the Inspecting officer and of the Examiner.

Result of Inspection by Mr. J. E. Lacey, Deputy Examiner of Accounts, of the Office of the Executive Engineer, Kistna Western Division, II Circle, at Guntúr, from 30th June to 5th July 1899—*cont.*

Serial No.	Irregularity or defect brought to notice.	Paragraph of report.	Relative Code rule or order.	Examiner's remarks.	Orders of Government.
1	2	3	4	5	6
	Stock Accounts.				
12	The sanctioned limit of reserve stock for the division is Rs. 18,000 (G.O., No. 2479 W., dated 27th August 1896), while the balance at end of each month since September 1898 has not been more than Rs. 11,700, that at end of May being only Rs. 9,671-9-0. It seems necessary that proposals should be made for reduction of the sanctioned reserve limit.	16	Code, II, II, 125 ..	12. The Executive Engineer might be called upon to report as to any possible reduction in the present stock limit.	*Item* 12.—A report should be submitted on the point noticed.
	Unserviceable materials or materials not required for use in division.				
13	There have been no transactions under the undermentioned articles during the past twelve months:— Eastern sub-division .. { 142 granite blocks. 141 surface drain pipes, halves. 147 c. ft. arch stones at Tenali. 50 lb. chalk powder. Western sub-division .. { 63 c. ft. facing stone. 421 s. ft. cut stone 1' 9". Head quarters .. .. 53½ yds. wire netting. It seems undesirable to retain on the stock accounts articles for which no use can be found.	16	Code, II, II, 132 ..	13. The Superintending Engineer will no doubt issue orders regarding the disposal or otherwise of these materials.	*Item* 13.—The Superintending Engineer will see that necessary steps are taken for the disposal or otherwise of these materials.
	Register of Buildings.				
14	A register in manuscript to end of 1897–98 was produced. This seems to require revision, as the cost of the buildings do not in any case agree with that entered in the return of buildings let and available for rent.	21	Code, I, VII, 73 ..	14. It is suggested that the register be revised and brought up to date and printed. (Signed) H. RAINIER, Offg. Examiner, P.W. Accounts, Madras.	*Item* 14.—The register should be revised, brought up to date and printed. (True Extract.) (Signed) W. B. deWINTON, Secretary to Government.

ANNEXURE A.

Extract from Register showing progress in check payment of bills.

Name of contractor or piece-worker.	Amount of bill.			Date of measurement.	Date of bill.	Date of receipt in Divisional office.	Date of completion of action in Divisional office.	Date of payment.	Remarks.
	RS.	A.	P.						
S. Narayanasamy Naidu.									
Repairing undertunnel over Bhathiprole drain (letter No. 112, dated 12th May 1898).	18	6	5	5th Mar. 1898 ..	19th April 1898.	22nd April 1898.	23rd April 1898 ..	..	
K. Ramabrahmam.									
Repairing Intur-Pohonuru channel (letter No. 283, dated 12th May 1898).	11	3	0	6th Mar. 1898 ..	27th April 1898.	14th May 1898 ..	23rd May 1898 ..	..	
P. Seshachellam Naidu.									
Constructing a rest-house at Karlapalem (letter No. 225, dated 21st June 1898).	1,488	4	8	23rd Mar. 1898 ..	12th April 1898.	16th May 1898 ..	24th June 1898 ..	..	
M. Ramarazu.									
Closing breaches to Nizampatam canal, IV Reach, estimate Rs. 2,440 (letter No. 271, dated 9th July 1898).	0	2	5	24th April 1897.	19th June 1898 ..	25th June 1898 ..	27th June 1898 ..	..	
W. Narasimham.									
Constructing sheds for Lock Superintendent and three lascars at Nallavada (letter No. 220, dated 18th June 1898).	9	8	0	18th May 1898 ..	29th June 1898 ..	13th July 1898 ..	13th July 1898 ..	..	
Shaik Budan Sahib.									
Manufacture of lime at Valheshvaram (letter No. 416, dated 21st August 1898).	148	4	6	24th May 1898 ..	28th May 1898 ..	23rd June 1898 ..	15th July 1898 ..	..	Explanation for the delay has been given in the letter that accompanied the bill.
P. Panakalu.									
Constructing an iron girder bridge over Repallai main drain to carry the Nandivalugu-Iylier road, estimate Rs. 10,000—5,825-5-9.	1,001	9	7	27th June 1898.	27th July 1898 ..	23rd Aug. 1898 ..	29th Aug. 1898 ..	..	
S. Marcandeyulu.									
Maintenance of left bank of Nizampatam canal from Dugerala lock to Pedapudi revu, Rs. 555-9-9 (letter No. 599 dated 11th October 1898).	35	4	3	19th April 1898.	22nd April 1898.	6th Aug. 1898 ..	10th Aug. 1898 ..	..	
T. Kantayya.									
Repairing Gangayacheruvu channel	..			27th Mar. 1898 ..	1st Sept. 1898 ..	13th Oct. 1898 ..	18th Oct. 1898 ..	..	
,, West side channel, 2nd reach	..			18th May 1898 ..	1st ,, ,, ..	13th ,, ,, ..	18th ,, ,, ..	..	
,, East side channel, 2nd reach (letter No. 676 of 4th November 1898).	..			25th ,, ,, ..	1st ,, ,, ..	13th ,, ,, ..	18th ,, ,, ..	..	
S. Marcandeyulu.									
Repairing Ahapad channel	..			28th Mar. 1898 ..	26th Oct. 1898 ..	5th Nov. 1898 ..	8th Nov. 1898 ..	..	
,, Gudicutta channel (letter No. 491 E., dated 23rd November 1898).	..			28th ,, ,, ..	26th ,, ,, ..	5th ,, ,, ..	8th ,, ,, ..	..	

Extract from Register showing progress in check payment of bills—*cont.*

Name of contractor or piece-worker.	Amount of bill.	Date of Measurement.	Date of bill.	Date of receipt in Divisional office.	Date of completion of action in Divisional office.	Date of payment.	Remarks.
C. Sreenivasa Aiyar.							
Widening Nallamada drain at Yarracalva bridge (letter No. 821, dated 23rd December 1898).	6 1 2	2nd Nov. 1898.	2nd Nov. 1898.	26th Nov. 1898 ..	30th Nov. 1898 ..	..	
S. Marcandeyulu.							
Constructing an aqueduct to carry Vellatur channel across Repallai main drain straight cut (letter No. 425, dated 27th February 1899).	518 7 5	28th Nov. 1898 ..	30th Nov. 1898 ..	25th Dec. 1898 ..	25th Jan. 1899 ..	..	
P. Ramanna.							
Protecting the river margin at Pratier set, Rs. 407-3-0 ..	..	30th Jan. 1899 ..	26th Feb. 1899 ..	2nd Mar. 1899 ..	9th Mar. 1899 ..	..	
T. Venkatadri.							
Protecting the river margin at Chirravur set, Rs. 687-10-6	..	31st Jan. 1899 ..	26th Feb. 1899 ..	2nd Mar. 1899 ..	9th Mar. 1899 ..	..	
M. China Venkata Subbayya.							
Protecting the river margin at Godavari set, Rs. 1,035-3-3	..	31st Jan. 1899 ..	26th Feb. 1899 ..	2nd Mar. 1899 ..	9th Mar. 1899 ..	..	
M. Kotayya.							
Protecting the river margin at Maddur set, Rs. 671-2-9 ..	193 10 9	31st Jan. 1899 ..	26th Feb. 1899 ..	2nd Mar. 1899 ..	9th Mar. 1899 ..	..	
" " at Tsodavaram set, Rs. 536-10-3 ..	85 12 6	31st " " ..	26th " " ..	2nd " " ..	9th " " ..	..	
" " at Pedapulipaca set, Rs. 814-2-3 ..	..	31st " " ..	26th " " ..	2nd " " ..	9th " " ..	..	
P. Appanna.							
Protecting the river margin at Bezwada-Enamalakethera set, Rs. 1,797-13-0.	165 15 0	30th Jan. 1899 ..	26th Feb. 1899 ..	2nd Mar. 1899 ..	9th Mar. 1899 ..	..	

1

Public Works DEPARTMENT.

READ the following :—

Office Note from the Exr. P.W. Accts No. 915 A/Adj 22d July 99.

Print statement as an appendix.

Report of inspection of the Accounts of Kistna Western D.

Genl. Sect.

Circulated to

H.E. the Governor

ORDER.

No: 2266 W. dated 29-8-99

With his Office Note recorded above, the Examiner P.W. Accounts submits a statement of those items in the report of inspection of the Accounts of the Kistna Western[x] Division which require the orders of Government. These orders have been entered in the Statement which is printed as an appendix to these Proceedings. The S.E. II Circle will see that necessary action is taken on these orders and that the reports called for are duly submitted.

[x] Note: The office is reported to be in good order.

R.E.H. 18.8.99

B C.W.R.

J.R.C.

~~A.W.~~

W

Madras

To the S.E. II Circle

Other Supdg. Engrs.

Consg. Architect to Govt.

Supdt. of Works Lighthouses &c.

Exr. P.W. Accts.

P. W. 4
1,000—14-11-98.

14.11.8 R

H.T.C.

Ed.

Public Works (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 6618

2873 W 15.10.97
5447 C 15.12.97
698 6.1.88

From

The Examiner P.W. Accounts,
Madras & Coorg

No. 915 A/A

Order

Dated 22nd July 1899

Received 24.7.99.

Registered

Enclosures 2 Plans / others 3 Total

Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Extracts from inspection report made by Mr. J. E. Lacey Deputy Examiner of the Office of the Executive Engr. Kistna Western Dn. — forwards

G. Sastry
Recr
26.7.99

o L.S. 379 R.D. 23/8

3240 W
17/12/94

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
C. No. 5447C	15-12-97
G.O. No. 3240W	17-12-94
2873W	15-10-92
61	6-1-88

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

PWD
6018/97

Result of Inspection by Mr J. E. Lacey Dep[illegible] accounts of the Office of the Executive Engineer Kistna Western Division II Circle at Guntur from 30th Jun[illegible] 5th July 1899

Serial No.	Irregularity or defect brought to notice	Para of Report	Relative Code parts or orders	Examiner's remarks	Orders of Government
1	2	3	4	5	6
1	It is stated that the Superintending Engineer had not inspected the Office since July 1896 3 M	1	Code I III 38 & Note 2 on Form No 144 A	1. The Superintending Engineer's attention might perhaps be invited to the desirability of inspecting each divisional office in his Circle at least once a year.	**Item 1.** The Supdg: Engineer's attention will be specially drawn to the necessity of ~~fre~~ periodical ~~[illegible]~~ inspections of Divisional Offices. 4 [illegible]
2	**Progress in check and payment of Bills.** A register in Form E 66 is maintained as authorised in G.O. No 2873 W. dated 15 October 1892, but its object is somewhat frustrated in the column "date of payment" not being filled in. This could easily be done on receipt of Sub Divisional officers' Cash Book. A more frequent inspection of the register by the Executive Engineer is recommended. There has been considerable delay between dates of measurement and dates of preparation of bills and also, between dates of preparation of bill and submission of final bill to the Divisional office for audit vide annexure A.	 11	G.O. No 2873 W dated 15 October 1892	2. The object of the register is to prevent delay in payment and unless it is properly maintained and frequently scrutinized by the Executive Engineer it is of course useless.	The S.E.'s attention is also specially called to this important ~~Items 2 & 3~~. ~~The irregularities which should not be allowed to occur.~~ matter. Punctual payments are the essence of good & economical work.
3	**Measurement Books** In Measurement books Nos 6349 & 6352 the measurements in columns 1 to 5 are all very neatly entered in ink and do not appear to be the original measurements of work taken on the spot and evidently were copied from note books. This is clearly stated to have been done in measurement book No. ~~6197~~ 6191	12	Code vol I chapter I 31 & 35 I Note 2 on P.W.A. Form No 6.	3 Measurement Books are original records of the first importance and it is very desirable that all original measurements taken should be directly entered in the books and in no other.	**Item 3** Govt fully endorses the Examiner's remarks, which should receive the special attention of all officers of the Dept

Result of Inspection by Mr J E Lacey Dep ccounts of the Office of the Executive Engineer Kistna Western Division II Circle at Guntur from 30th Jun 5th July 1899

Serial No	Irregularity or defect brought to notice	Para of Report	Rule, Code rule or order	Examiner's remarks	Orders of Government
1	2	3	4	5	6
4	There is no evidence of any check measurements having been made by the Executive Engineer in any of the measurement books examined. It is for Government to decide to what extent and when works should be check measured. 5 B.	12	Chief Engineer Rev. D's Circular 5447C dated 15 December 1897	4. It seems very necessary that measurements made by Sub Divisional officers etc should as far as possible be checked by the Executive Engineer and the fact of such check measurements recorded in the book. The Executive Engineer's attention might be invited to Chief Engineer Rev. D Circular No. 5447C dated 15th December 1897.	Item 4. It should be explained why no evidence is forthcoming of check measurements having been made by the Executive Engineer. 6 B. (7)
5	In measurement book No. 6191 of A. Venkataramana Row Delta Superintendent Western Sub Division for extending the Tungabhadra side channel Estimate Rs. 22,340 - Pieceworker P. Seshachellam Naidu - it is noticed that on page 35 (bill for September 1898) the quantity of work done as per 1st bill of July 1898 (page 3 - but paid on 5th August 1898, after the bill for a subsequent measurement page 8) viz. 60185 cft is deducted from the column "Contents" instead of from column 8 "Deduct previous measurements". In fact this measurement was apparently overlooked when bill for August 1898 (page 17) was paid. As measurements are taken in the same furlongs operated on in previous months without any apparent distinguishing marks it is difficult to say whether any double measurements of the work in those furlongs have been made and consequently overpayments to the contractor. Particular and precise information should be given and a careful check measurement is recommended, the	12		5+6 The Executive Engineer might be called upon to report whether any double payments have been made.	Items 5+6. A report should be submitted as to whether any double payments were made in the two instances in question. It would appear that, in the extension of the Tungabhadra Side Channel, so much as Rs. 8,886-3-6 has been paid on the measurements of Delta Supdt. A. Venkataramana Rau alone, without any check measurements. The E.E. will explain how this occurred.

3

#.304

Result of Inspection by Mr J E Lacey Dep Accounts of the Office of the Executive Engineer Kistna
Western Division II Circle at Guntur from 30th Jun to 5th July 1899

Serial No	Irregularity or defect brought to notice	Para of Report	Relative Code para or order	Examiner's remarks	Orders of Government
1	2	3	4	5	6
	book at present does not bear evidence of any check measurement by the sub divisional officer having been made. The value of earth work to end of March 1899 was Rs 8886-3-6				
6	In book No 6352 for Bapatla Taluq Cutcherry Estimate Rs 46,100/- In pages 6, 8 and 9 deductions are shewn in column "Since last measurement" as follows:- 4-56 - Superstructure @ Rs 30-14-0 ——— = Rs 140-7-8 ~~53~~ 52 1 - Covering with reapers and Mangalore tiles @ Rs 21 - - - - - - = Rs 1094-1-7 1100 - dozens of screw nails @ Rs -/1/6 = Rs 103-2-0 12.54 Napa stone etc without cement pointing = Rs 416-15-4 and in the absence of the previous measurement book No 5081 (not produced at the inspection) it seems difficult to say whether the contractor was not overpaid in these cases for the excess quantities referred to-	7 13		Flas //	
7	A charge of Rs 278-7-9 appears in the accounts for 1898-99 against an estimate for Rs 300/ sanctioned by the Executive Engineer (Mr Lewis) - the estimate for 1899-1900 is sanctioned by the Superintending Engineer (Mr Hannan) for ferrymen for working Ballacuts in the Kistna Western Division in the following places Hanumalapalanow Bank Canal Rs 45 ~~Volunu~~ Volluru do 40 Pununmudi do 48 Isandolu Nizampatam Canal 50 Repalle main drain 70 Buddam Tungabhadra drain 47	13	G.O. No 63 dated 6 January 1888	7 It is for Government to decide whether under the circumstances stated the charge must be borne by the P.W.D X The E.E. (Flas C) does not seem to authorise the charges in question being borne by the P.W.D	Item 7. The circumstances under which these char are borne by the P. W. Department and the authorit by which they are so born should be reported. 2

Result of Inspection by Mr. G E Lacey Dep   Accounts of the Office of the Executive Engineer Kistna
Western Division II Circle at Guntur from 30th Jun   5th July 1899

Serial No	Irregularity or defect brought to notice	Para of Report	Relevant Code rule or order	Examiner's remarks	Orders of Government
	2	3	4	5	6
	book at				
	Under the orders conveyed in G.O. No. 6 I dated 6 January 1888 it was evidently intended that the villagers themselves should arrange for the working and maintenance of the Ballacuts. It is explained however that in certain cases the canals were cut through existing Local Fund roads and the Ballacuts have been provided by the P.W.D. and the cost of the maintenance borne by that Department. It is for Government to decide whether their orders of 1888 apply to the charges referred to in this report	9 Br	Under What authority?		
8	**Materials at Site** In the case of the Talug Cutchery at Bapatla it is noticed that Messrs Richardson and Cruddas supplied 9262½ ft. of pine ceiling planks but the acknowledgment in the account of materials at site (Form 7E for December 1898) is only 9208 ft. although payment was made in full for the quantity supplied by the firm.	14		8 The Executive Engineer might be asked to account for the difference.	Item 8. The difference pointed out should be accounted for.
9	**Contract Accounts** The contract of G Sreenivasa Iyer for extending bridge at 7 miles 15 chains at Nallamanda Drain at Bapatla for Rs 20603 was accepted by the Superintending Engineer and reported to the Chief Engineer				

Result of Inspection by Mr J E Lacey Dep Accounts of the Office of the
Western Division II Circle at Guntur from 30th Jun to 5th July 1899

Serial No	Irregularity or defect brought to notice	Para of Report	Rule from Code or order	Examiner's remarks	Orders of Government
1	2	3	4	5	6
	for Irrigation for approval in terms of Code I VIII 8 but as the contract was for over Rs 20000 the Chief Engineer's (RWD) approval should have been obtained in the first instance. The Superintending Engineer had also accepted another contract for the same work by the same man for 3 laks of bricks at Rs 24-10-5 per 1000 contrary to RWD Code I VIII 8 (3d Sentence)	15 11 B	Code I.VIII-8	9 The matter is brought to the notice of Government with reference to Code I VIII 8	Items 9 & 10. The Supdg. Engineer will explain why the approval of competent authority was not obtained to the acceptance of the Contract in question, and also why the terms of the Contract were not enforced. 12 B
10	The agreement required the work to be completed on 31st August 1897 and that deductions were to be made at 10 % of work done, but the work is still on hand, the last payment was made in March 1899 and only Rs 500/ has been retained although work to the extent of Rs ~~[illegible]~~ 23257-2-3 was executed	15	Code I.VIII-29	10 The Executive Engineer might perhaps be invited to explain why the conditions of the contract have not been enforced	
11	An agreement with piece worker P. Seshachellam Naidu for excavating Perali Drain Rs 84,940 had been accepted by Mr Lewis in Form K.2 Payments to over Rs 20000 have already been made. It appears desirable that when pieceworkers are entrusted with work costing over the ordinary limits of sanction by Executive Engineers and Superintending Engineers for contracts that formal reports in the spirit of RWD Code I VIII-11 be made to higher authority. At present there	15	Code II 1.112	11. Apparently the man should have been treated as a regular contractor and sanction of Chief Engineer obtained to the giving out of the contract. The submission of the report such as that suggested by the Inspecting Officer would prevent regular contractors being treated as piece workers	Item 11. The Supdg. Engineer will report ~~[illegible]~~ on the suggestion of the Inspecting Officer and of the Examiner.

Result of Inspection by Mr J E Lacey Dep, Accounts of the Office of the Executive Engineer Kistna Western Division II Circle at Guntur from 30th June 5th July 1899

Serial No	Irregularity or defect brought to notice	Para of Report	Relative Code rule or order	Examiner's remarks	Orders of Government
1	2	3	4	5	6
	there appears to be no rule on the subject.				
12	**Stock Accounts** The sanctioned limit of Reserve Stock for the Division is Rs 18000 G.O. No 2479 W dated 27th August 1896 while the balance at end of each month since September 1898 has not been more than Rs 11700 - that at end of May being only Rs 9671-9-0. It seems necessary that proposals should be made for reduction of the sanctioned reserve limit.	16	Code II 125	12 The Executive Engineer might be called upon to report as to any possible reduction in the present stock limit	Item 12 A report should be submitted on the point. No [illegible]
13	**Unserviceable materials or materials not required for use in Division** There have been no transactions under the undermentioned articles during the past 12 months Eastern Sub Division 142 granite blocks 141 Surface drain pipes halves cft. 147 Arch stones at Tenali lbs. 50 Chalk powder Western Sub Division cft 63 Facing stone cft. 421 Cut stone 1'9" Head Quarters yds. 55 1/3 wire netting	16	Code II 132	13 The Superintending Engineer will no doubt issue orders regarding the disposal or otherwise of these materials	Item 13 The Supdg. Engineer will see that necessary steps are taken for the disposal or otherwise of these materials.

Result of Inspection by Mr J E Lacey Dep ... Accounts of the Office of the Executive Engineer Kistna Western Division II Circle at Guntur from 30th Jun ... 5th July 1899

Serial No	Irregularity or defect brought to notice	Para of Report	Rule from Code rule or order	Examiner's remarks	Orders of Government
	2.	3	4	5	6
	It seems undesirable to retain on the stock accounts articles for which no use can be found	15 M			16 M
14	~~Register of Buildings~~ A register in manuscript to end of 1897-98 was produced. This seems to require revision as the cost of the buildings do not in any case agree with that entered in the Return of buildings let and available for rent.	21	Code I VII 43	14 It is suggested that the register be revised and brought up to date and printed.	Item 14. The Register should be revised brought up to date and printed. H.S. 10/8 J.S.H. 16.8.99

H Rainer
Offg C

JOSB
22.7.99

Result of Inspection by Mr J E Lacey Dep ccounts of the Office of the Executive Engineer Kistna Western Division II Circle at Guntur from 30th Jun 5th July 1899

Serial No	Irregularity or defect brought to notice	Para of Report	Rel. to Code para or order	Examiner's remarks	Orders of Government
1	2	3	4	5	6
14					

tter Nº 599 of 11-10-98							
T Kantayya							
Repairing Gangayacherom channel	.	.	.	27-3-98	1-9-98	13-10-98	18-10-98
" West side channel 2d Reach	.	.	.	18-5-98	1-9-98	13-11-98	18-10-98
" East side channel 2d Reach	.	.	.	25-5-98	1-9-98	13-10-98	18-10-98
Letter Nº 676 of 4-11-98							
S. Marcandeyulu.							
Repairing Ahapad channel	.	.	.	28-3-98	26-10-98	5-11-98	8-11-98
" Gudicietta channel	.	.	.	28-3-98	26-10-98	5-11-98	8-11-98
Letter Nº 491 E dated 23-11-98							
C. Sreenevasa Iyer							
Widening Nahlamada Drain at Yaracalva Bridge .	6	1	2	2-11-98	2-11-98	26-11-98	30-11-98
Letter Nº 821 dated 23-12-98							
S. Marcandeyulu							
Constructing an Aqueduct to carry Vellatur channel across Repallai Main Drain straight cut	508	7	5	28-11-98	30-11-98	25-12-98	25-1-99
Letter Nº 425 dated 27-2-99							
P. Ramanna							
Protecting the River margin at Pratica set. 407-3-	.	.	.	30-1-99	26-2-99	2-3-99	9-3-99
T. Venkatadri							
Protecting the river margin							

Extract from Register shewing progress in check payment of bills

Name of Contractor or Piece worker	Amount of Bill			Date of measurement	Date of bill	Date of receipt in Divisional office	Date of completion of examination in Divisional office	Date of payment	Remarks
31 #	4	3	3	8				5	10
S. Narayanasamy Naidu Repairing ~~Reconstructing~~ Under Tunnel over Bhathipeole ~~Bhatrupale~~ Drain Letter No. 112 dated 12-5-98.	18	6	5	5-3-98	19-4-98	22-4-98	23-4-98		
K. Ramabrahmam Repairing Inter Pohemia channel Letter No. 283/12-5-98	16	3	-	6-3-98	27-4-98	14-5-98	22-5-98		17 B
P. Seshachallam Naidu Constructing a Rest House at Karlapalem Letter No. 225 dated 21-6-98	1488	4	8	23-3-98	12-4-98	16-5-98	24-6-98		
M. Ramarazu Closing breaches to Nizampatam canal									Explanation for the delay has been given in the letter that accompanied the bill
Reach Est. ~~Rs~~ 2550 Letter No. 271 of 9-7-98		2	5	24-4-98	19-6-98	25-6-98	27-6-98		
W. Narasimham Constructing sheds for Lock Supdt. and 3 Lascars at Nallavada Letter No. 220 of 18-6-98	9	8		18-5-98	29-4-98	13-7-98	13-7-98		
Sheik Badai Sahib Manufacture of Lime at Nadheshvaram Letter No. 416 of 21-8-98	148	4	6	24-5-98	28-5-98	23-6-98	13-7-98		
P. Panakalu Constructing an Iron girder Bridge over Repallar Main drain to carry the Nandivalugu Sylies Road Est. 10000 - 58 25-5-9	1001	9	7	27-6-98	27-7-98	23-8-98	29-8-98		
S. Marcandeyulu Maintenance of Left Bank of Nizampatam Canal from Dugerala Lock to Pedapudi Rev. 555-9-9	35	4	3	19-4-98	22-4-98	6-8-98	10-8-98		

E- No. 27.
1,000—16-5-98

KEEP-WITH CURRENT No.

dated 189 .

GOVT. OF MADRAS. } 189~~8~~.9 { P.W. DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 22nd July 189~~8~~.9.

OFFICE NOTE No. 915 $\frac{B}{A}$

1 P

To

W.

I submit for the information of Government extracts from a report by Mr. J. E. Lacey Deputy Examiner of an inspection by him of the office of the Executive Engineer, Kistna Western Division. I solicit the orders of Government in column 6 of the enclosed statement.

2. The office is in good order.

Offg: C.

22/7/99.

[2,000—29-3-99.]

[illegible] Set 687-10-6	.	.	.	31-1-99	26-2-99	2-3-99	9-3-99
China Venkata Subbayya Protecting the river margin at Godavari Set 1035-3-3	.	.	.	31-1-99	26-2-99	2-3-99	9-3-99
M. Kotayya Protecting the River margin at Maddur Set 671-2-9	193	10	9	31-1-99	26-2-99	2-3-99	9-3-99
" Isodavaram Set 536-10-3	85	12	6	31-1-99	26-2-99	2-3-99	9-3-99
" ~~Tedakedipaca~~ Pedapulipaca Set 814-2-3	.	.	.	31-1-99	26-2-99	2-3-99	9-3-99
P. Appanna Protecting the River margin at Bezwada Enamalakattam Set 1797-13-0	165	15	-	30-1-99	26-2-99	2-3-99	9-3-99

Print Separately

Register showing progress in check payment of bills

PW 22
60/8/99