

Public Works (1899) Department.

G.O., No. 214 W

DATED 23 January 1899.

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக்காப்பக நூலகம்,
எழும்பூர், சென்னை-600 008.
அவணைத்தாள்.

சே. எண் : ப. எண் :
வழங்கிய திருமய நாள். (1) (2)
வழங்கிய திருமய நாள். (1) (2)

S.F. 259A-6-1,00,000-30-1-84

[Despatch Abstract.]

W. W. S. Moleworth, Asst Engineer, 3rd grade,
declared to have passed the Professional
Examination prescribed in G.O. No. 101 of
the P.W. Code.

[illegible]

87-105. 16.

MS. 66-1-88

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
I inspected last night the Student Hostel at Chepauk which is under Mr. Moberly's immediate charge - & has very much pleased with all I saw. In fact I have never seen better work in the Peni- sula before.	16.12.98

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.
5724C	16.12.98
4065C	16.8.98
1670C	23.3.99
4150C	16.11.98.

Office of the Consulting Architect to Govt.,
Chepauk, Madras,
11th January 1899.

No. 3181

From

G. S. T. Harris, Esq.,

Consulting Architect

to the Government of Madras,

To

The Chief Engineer

Public Works Department

In,

Enclosures.

With reference to your No. 5721C
dated 16th December 1898, I have the honour
to report that I appointed Messrs J. C.
Lyle & R. A. H. Reid to the Committee
to examine Mr. W. G. Moleworth
Assistant Engineer as prescribed in
Vol. I Chap. II paras 24 & 25 P. W. D. Code.

The oral examination took
place on 6th Instant & lasted 1 1/2 hours, when,
on questions of material, modes of
construction &c.

Mr. Lyle had written down
and asked 6 questions

4 were answered
correctly

1. " incorrectly
1. Committee
considered she
not be put

Mr. Reid had written down & asked 8 questions.

6 were answered
correctly
2 " incorrectly
1 was not
put.
5 were answered
correctly
1 " incorrectly
1 not put

I

7 "

9?

In addition Mr. Lyle had written down & asked 8 simple questions in Accounts. Mr. Molisworth answered 3 correctly, 2 he was uncertain about, and 2 were not put.

On the 7th instant, he was given a paper of 5 questions on constructions to illustrate by sketches, this paper was set by Mr. Lyle & he considers, that Mr. Molisworth possessed a good general knowledge of such works as are generally entrusted to an Assistant Engineer to perform & that the answers show that he has been observant & painstaking in making himself acquainted with the Indian methods of carrying out works.

The Committee are of opinion that Mr. Molisworth came thro: the practical portion with credit, he showed knowledge of Sub Divisional Accounts, but was weak in the other questions asked.

After consultation with the other members of the Committee, I am
able

able to report that the examination has passed.

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most Obedient Servant

C. J. James
Consulting Architect
to Government.