Public Works (1899) Department.

G.O., No. 2098 W

DATED 9 August **1899.**

[Despatch Abstract.]

Residence - Approving, with remark, the S. E. I's proposal that the —— now under construction at Waltair for the Ex. Eng. Vizagapatam Dn., be utilized on completion as a residence for the Supdt. Engr.

T
B

P. W. 9
2,000—29-3-99.

Indexed. 1418

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

P.W. DEPARTMENT.

Read the following :—

Letter from the Supdg. Engr., II Circle, No. 553 G.L.
d. 20.6.99

C.No. 4984
4072
4189
2823 — unc
1985

Circulated to

ORDERS No: 2098 W. d. 9.8.99

Under the circumstances mentioned in the letter read above, His Excellency the Governor in Council approves of the Supdg. Engineer's proposal, and the residence now under construction at Waltair for the Ex: Engineer, Vizagapatam division, will accordingly be utilised on completion as a residence for the Supdg. Engr.

2. The Supdg. Engr. will submit without delay a revised estimate for the building providing for the alterations referred to in paras 13 & 14 of his letter.

To
The Supdg. Engr., I Circle
with 3 plans & estimate (10)
" Examr. P.W. Accts.

11/8/99 H.L. 2/8

3.8.

after [illegible]

P. W. 43.
1,000—28-2-19.

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

READ the following:—

Letter from the Supty. Engr., I Circle, No 553 G.L.
d 20.6.99

—

Transfer of E. E's residence at
Waltair (under construction) to S.E.

Circulated to H.E. the Governor

—

FOR ORDERS

× G. O. No 2150 W
d 24.7.96
flag Z

In June 1896 × the Govt.
India sanctioned the constru
from Provincial funds of a resi
at Waltair for the Executive E
Vizagapatam Division, at an
estimated cost of Rs 11,080 incl
of the value of the site.

2. Mr Larminie when in cha
of the I Circle in 1896 conside
the sanctioned design uns
& the Ex: Engineer, Vizagapa
Division, also objected to
design & the site. The Chi
Engineer visited the ~~site~~
-ty in Febry 98 & appro
of the site but not of
design which he instructe
the Consulting Architect to
revise. A revised plan
estimate amounting to Rs

le

Press 11/7/99

was submitted by the Consulting Architect, but some details of this estimate in regard to rates require revision. Meanwhile the Suptg. Engr. was instructed to proceed with the work in accordance with the Consulting Architect's design in anticipation of sanction to the estimate after revision, & the work is now in progress.

3. In the letter read above the Suptg. Engr. brings to notice the great inconvenience which the Suptg. Engr. of the I Circle is put to for want of a suitable residence. Mr. De Morgan & his predecessor have been compelled to occupy the upper orey of a house which was ilt by a native for native bation, & the ~~rooms~~ rooms in which so arranged that he cannot mmodate even a bachelor t. Moreover the only approach quarters is through the rooms below in which are d the offices of the Suptg. the Ex: Engr. And for this he pays Rs 83-5-4 per mensem, a similar amount being paid by Govt. for the lower storey. As the Ex: Engineer will not require such a large house, nor be prepared to pay so high a rent, and as he is not put to such inconvenience in getting a suitable house, the Suptg. Engr. suggests that the residence at Waltair which is being built for the Executive Engineer be made the Suptg. Engineer's house. This residence is very commodious & if this proposal is approved no alteration will be required in the residence itself but some alterations will be necessary to stables & godowns

size, number & arrange=
=ment. Certain rates in the estimate will need revision & with the alterations & additions the cost will be increased to Rs 16,000.

4. This amount is within the limit admissible under the Code for a residence for a Supdg. Engr. as will be seen below:—

(1) Pay of a Supdg. Engr. for purposes of calculating rent for a proposed residence. } $\frac{1100+1600}{2}$ = 1350

(2) 8 p.c. on (1) = 108 which is the monthly rent that should be levied, or Rs 1296 per annum

Rs 1296 should = 4 p.c. (interest) the proposed capital cost + 3 p.c. on same for repairs + $\frac{1}{10}$ of the % rate for Establishment, & Plant. The max capital admissible thus amounts to Rs 17,750 against Rs 16,000 the prob. ~~as the cost of the~~ the actual cost of the building ~~residence~~

if ~~adapted for the Supdg. Engr. will only amount~~ to Rs 16,000, ~~this Govt. can~~ sanction the revised ~~estimate~~ & no ~~further reference to the~~ Govt. ~~of India will~~ be necessary. The undersigned recommends that the Supdg. Engr's proposal be sanctioned. ~~It will however~~ in accordance with the ruling in P.W. Code Vol I Chap X para 16 be necessary to obtain the ~~sanction~~ approval of the Govt. of India — It has however never been the practice to do this in such cases and it is submitted that the usual custom may be followed in this case

H.C.L.
7/7

10.7.99

Sanctioned.
A.E.H.

P.W.

Yes.
A.E.H.
29.7.99

Public Works (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 4984

From The Supg. Engineer
First Circle

Order $\frac{1985}{2825}$ Dec 19-4-99

No. 553 G L.

Dated 20. 6. 99.

Received
Registered } 23. 6. 99

Enclosures

Spare copies

File recd 4 S. A. W. 5. 7. 99 evening.

SUBJECT.

Bringing to notice the great inconvenience that is felt for want of a suitable residence for Supg. Engr. & suggests that the E.E's residence be made S.E's house.

o: Supg.

A.E.
9

SAW

o L S 252 R6/7 sent again 7/7/99 R31/7 R5/8 o 1/8/99

Circle

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
2150W	21-7-96
499D	19.6.99
~~234D~~	~~17.5.99~~
88D	28.4.99
1285C	1.3.99
538C	26.1.99
431C	20.1.99
149C	9.1.99
~~2289W~~	~~3.9.98/SC~~
2992C	26.6.98
2643C	25.5.98
+papers	

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

C/4 200——15-6-1899.

No. 553 Gl.

FROM
W. C. Demorgan Esqr. M.I.C.E.
THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
FIRST CIRCLE,

TO
THE CHIEF ENGINEER
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

WALTAIR, 20th June 1899.
Camp. 20

SIR,

I have the honor to bring to your notice the great inconvenience that is felt for want of a suitable residence for the Supt.g Engineer in Waltair.

2. When the Head quarters of the Circle were transferred from Dowlaishweram to Waltair the Supt.g Engineer as a temporary measure rented a small house that happene to be vacant at the time.

3. A more suitable residence was afterwards found but situated from the central part of Waltair

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
2150W	24-7-96
499D	19.6.99
~~234D~~	~~17.5.99~~
88D	28.4.99
1285C	1.3.99
558C	26.1.99
431C	20.1.99
199C	9.1.99
~~2289W~~	~~3.9.98/SC~~
2992C	26.6.98
2643C	25.5.98
+papers	

FURTHER PAPERS

No.	D

C/4 200—15-6-1899.

No. 553 G.L.

FROM

W. C. Demorgan Esqr. M.I.C.E.
THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
FIRST CIRCLE,

TO

THE CHIEF ENGINEER
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

WALTAIR, 20th June 1899.
Camp, 20.

Enclosures.

SIR,

I have the honor to bring to your notice the great inconvenience that is felt for want of a suitable residence for the Suptg Engineer in Waltair.

2. When the Head quarters of the Circle were transferred from Dowlaishweram to Waltair the Suptg Engineer as a temporary measure rented a small house that happened tobe vacant at the time.

3. A more suitable residence was afterwards found but situated from the Central part of Waltair

4. My predecessor did not like this house and vacated it. It was immediately occupied by another party and he found himself without any house.

5. At length a rich native inhabitant of Vizagapatam consented to let a large house carrying a very high rent. There being no other choice my predecessor took the house. The offices of the Supdg Engineer and Executive Engineer are located in the lower story and the Supdg Engineer occupies the upper. The rent is thus divided between Government and the Supdg Engineer.

6. The upper story was built by a native for native occupation. Although spacious, it is so arranged that it is impossible to find accommodation for even a batchelor guest. If any one comes to stay I have to pitch ents in the compound. Any Supdg Engineer with grown up ughters would have to look out

out for another house, and there i so much competition for house room in Waltair that he might find himself in a serious dilemma.

7. I think it will be admitted that as the head quarters of the Supdg Engineer are now permanently located in Waltair there should be a suitable residence assured for his occupation.

Flag L.

8. In G.O. No. $\frac{2150W}{24.7.96}$ the Govt of India sanctioned the construction of a residence for the Ex: Engineer Vizagapatam at a cost of Rs 11000/.

9. A revised plan and estimate amounting to Rs 13595/. was prepared by the Consulting Architect and the building is under construction and in good progress.

10. As a rule the Ex: Engineer will neither require so large a house as i Supdg Engineer nor be prepared pay so high a rent; and a

far as I am aware the Ex: Engineer has never found any difficulty in obtaining a suitable residence at Waltair. The present Ex: Engineer occupies a good house, and had his choice of more than one when he took charge. The Supt.g Engineer is far worse off and his case should, I submit, receive prior consideration.

11. The house now under construction is, in. in my opinion, of excellent design and very commodious. There are 3 bed rooms with separate bath rooms, the offices are ample, and the situation good.

12. I therefore have the honor to suggest that this house be made the Supt.g Engineer's house.

13 No alteration of any description would be required in the residence itself; but I would propose alterations the stables and godowns in size, umber and arrangement; and

and they must be built "pucka" of stone in mortar. The stone in mud outhouses attached to the Conservator of Forests' quarters fell down in one of the heavy cyclonic storms which are frequent here. A good road also will be required up the hill.

14. Certain rates in the sanctioned estimate will need revision, and this combined with the alterations and additions referred to in the preceding para will increase the cost to about Rs 16000/.

15. I have not referred to the disadvantage of having the office in the same house particularly when the only approach to the upper story is through the office; because there are also certain advantages in the arrangement; and opinions of office on the subject may differ. —

The members of the office establishment probably suffer most.

16. Eventually the question of providing a separate office accomodation will have to be considered. This is not however immediate as the present Ex. Engineer, who wishes in case my proposals are approved by you, to take over the flat I now occupy, is in favor of the existing arrangement.

17. I have the honor to request that you will let me know as soon as possible whether my proposals are approved so that I may make the necessary additions and alterations to the estimate without delay.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt Servt
W.D. Morgan
20.6.
Supdg Engineer
I Circle

20/6

Current No. 4097.

Dw/

The data list has been
:ed in pencil in this office f
Rs 26 to 24/2 Rs owing to the ti
:ing valued at Rs 48 instea
Rs 55, as per Messrs Arbuthnot
prices embodied in Circular No
4857C dated 5th Novr 98.

The area of the roof is entere
in the estimate as 7.995 sq ft & takin
165 tiles including wastage for a squ
the number of tiles required will
be 79.95 squares × 165 = 13,191 tiles, therefore
a rate of Rs 48 per 1000 should be
entered in the data instead of
Rs 55.

If the data list as corrected
in pencil be approved, the amount
of estimate will be increased from
Rs 13595 to Rs 14275 as altered
in pencil including the provision
of compensation for land.

The rent of the building cal:
:culated on the increased figures
will be as follows:-

P.T.O.

ted cost of construction Rs 13,975
0% for Estab. Tools & Plant 1,397
cost of site —— 300
Total Capital cost Rs 15,672

on Capital to cover interest Rs 626.88
— do. do — for repairs 470.16
% on repairs for Estab. Tools & Plant 47.01
Total annual cost Rs 1,144.05

Total per mensem Rs 95.34

against Rs 59, which is 8% on the average salary of an Executive Engr 1st grade (Imperial) & 3rd grade (Provincial) vide T's note dated 1.3.99 on current 1052 Flag S.

The rates entered in the estimate are slightly in excess of the Divisional schedule & as the latter rates do not provide for carriage of materials the estimated rates may be accepted.

8.6.99

Public Works (1899.) Department.

CURRENT No. 4072

Order

From The Supg. Engineer First Circle

No. 601 VS.

Dated 17/18. 5.99.
Received
Registered 22.5.99
Enclosures
Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Executive Engineer's residence at Waltair — Reporting that the alterations suggested are not now practicable and the cost of the site is Rs 300.

K.W. / 0

29/5/99

OLS 139 23/5 OLS 194

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

60103.

No.

FROM

W. C. De Morgan Esquire, M.I.C.E

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER

FIRST CIRCLE.

TO

THE CHIEF ENGINEER

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Enclosures.

Waltair
~~DOWLAISHWERAM,~~ 17th May 1899.

SIR,

With reference to memo no. 88D* of 28-4-99, I have the honor to report that the alterations suggested are not now practicable, as the wood-work has been received, and the building completed to top of basement.

* at ooty

2 The Cost of Site is reported by the Executive Engineer, Vizagapatam Division, to be Rs. 300.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

W DeMorgan 18/5
Superintending Engineer
I Circle

17/5

FROM

S. E. I.
6 300—4-4-98

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER

FIRST CIRCLE,

TO

THE CHIEF ENGINEER

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

DATED
DESPATCHED
RECEIVED
189 .

NO.

ENCLOSURES

SUBJECT.

Public Works (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 3714

Send up revised estimate
for sanction

431 C of 98

From
The Consulting Architect
to the Government
of Madras

No. 236

D/ No 234 D d/ 17 May 99

Order

W

Copy forwarded to S.E. I Circle in continuation of this office No. 88 D of 28 April 99. It is requested that a report may be forwarded ~~in due course~~ as to what economies, & the total amount thereof, can be effected in the building.

AK
17.5.99.

Dated 6th May 1899
Received
Registered
8-5-99
Enclosures
Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Stating that Consulting Architect is not executing any woodwork for roof supports for the Executive Engineers residence, Waltair. Doors & windows have been already made & forwarded.

W Waltair

No economies should be effected which will prejudice the durability of the building or its ~~[illegible]~~ comfort & convenience as a residence.

A very prompt reply is expected.

[illegible]

FROM

TO

DAT
DES
REC

so that the revised estimate may be submitted for sanction. The amt. paid for land compensation should be reported

16.5.V

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

To The S.E. I Circle

C. A. / 1 500 - 11-6-97.

Pu

ent.

No. 436

Office of the Consulting Architect
Chepauk, Madras,
6th May 189/ 87

From
G. S. T. Harris, Esq.,
Consulting Architect
to the Government of Madras,

To
The Chief Engineer
Public Works Department

Sir,

Enclosures.

With reference to your Memo: No 88 D dated the 28th April 1899, I have the honour to state that I am not executing any woodwork for roof support. The doors & windows referred to in Chief Engineers Memo: No 431 C dated 20th January 1899 have been already made & forwarded to Waltair.

Bill No 2823
Sent to D.O.
on 18.4.99.

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

Consulting Architect
to Government

FROM

TO

ERS.

Date.

garding in
for E. E's residen
ce; please see items
flagged P. & subsequent
correspondence.
2. Estimate can
now be sent to India
for sanction.
AGK
13.5.99.

(1) What estimate? If
the one for Rs 13595
submitted by CA, where
(2) is the need for
economies — I can
see no other —
(3) Land compensation should
be included.

15.5.99

W thro' E.W.

(1) C.A's estimate of Rs 13595
(2). In G.O. 2150W dated 24th July 1896,
the sanction of the Government of India to the
construction of a residence for the Executive Engineer
at Waltair at an estimated cost of Rs 11 000

Public Works (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 4187

From The Supg. Engineer
First Circ

Order

No. 633 V3.

Dated 23/25.5.99.
Received
Registered 29.5.99
Enclosures one
Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Executive Engineer's [illegible]
at Vizagapatam — infor
that he cannot suggest
economies in the Est. for the
forwards a data for Man
tiled roofing.

6022
8B9

HE
O

~~orders~~ 25194

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
4857 C	5–11–98
234 D	17–2–97
88 D	28–1–97
1285 C	1–3–97
558 C	26–1–97
431 C	20–1–97
199 C	9–1–97
2289 W	3–9–98
2992 C	28–6–98
2471 C	10–5–98
1711 C	19–3–98
647 W	11–3–98
51 W	7–1–97
3710 C	24–10–96 & file

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

Data for Mangalore

Tiled roofing.

55 Rs for tiles under 1000
50 Rs – 1000 & 5000
48 Rs – 5000 & upwards

Schedule
1-14-0 per 1000

165 Mangalore tiles including 10% wastage at ~~35~~ 48 Rs per 1000	7 9
Charges for putting them on Board at Madras @ Rs 11-0-0 per 1000	1–
Freight from Madras to Vizagapatam Fort 12-8-0	2–
Landing charges at Vizagapatam Fort Rs 8-0-0 per 1000	1–
Carriage from Vizagapatam Fort to Site per one bandy	0–
1.4 Cft of Teak reepers 2" × 1/2" Rs 3.8.0 per Cft	4–1
Flat tiles	0–1
Cost of mortar 3/4" thick	0–9–
1 1/2 Carpenter @ Rs 0-8-0 Each	0–12
3 Brick layers @ 0-9-0 Each	1–1
3 Men Coolies 3as each	0–
4 Women Coolies 2as each	0–
Reeper nails	0–1
× 10 ft Flat Iron 2" × 1/8"	0–1
Sundries	0–
Total	26

(Sd) G. J. Hand
Executive Engineer,

Supg Engr I Circle

S. E. I./2 500—15-1-98.

No. 633/3.

FROM

W. C. De Morgan Esquire. M.I.C.E

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,

FIRST CIRCLE.

Enclosures. /

TO

THE CHIEF ENGINEER

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

WALTAIR, Camp. 23rd/25 May 1899.

SIR,

With reference to your 2342/17.5.9 I have the honor to inform you that I canno suggest any economies in the Estimate fo the Executive Engineer's residence at Vizag-patam.

2. The doors and window frames are fixe and the walls between them built halfway

3. The rate for Mangalore Tiled Roofing a Vizagapatam is Rs 26 per square according to the data attached.

4. The amount to be paid for land Com-sation has been reported.

J.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant.

W D Morgan
Superintending Engineer
First Circle
23.5.99

P. W. D.

Flag P

Flag Z

Note

C. Nos. 1985 & 2823 are submitted for orders with reference to Memo. No. 1285 C date 1st March 1899.

With reference to paras 5 & 10 of the Suptg. Engineer's letter wherein it is pointed out that the plan and estimate for the Executive Engineer's residence at Waltair were lost sight of in the Chief Engineer's Office, it is submitted that the facts have not been correctly stated in the Suptg. Engineer's letter. It will be seen from the communications shown at the foot of the original of G. O. No. 2150 W dated 24th July '9 that the plans and estimates in question were marked for despatch to the Suptg. Enginee I Circle. About the time th G. O. was to issue the pla and estimate for the Executive Engineers residence t Palamcottah in the Tinnevelly District were under Consideration, and this design having been found defective it was ordered that the lan for the residence at Waltair should be adopted, and that the estimate for the residence at Palamcottah should be revised accordingly. vide Memo. No. 3918 C dated th November 1896 in the linked ile. As Copy of the plan nd estimate for the residence t Waltair had to be taken efore ~~it~~ they Could be returned the Suptg. Engineer, I Circle, t was not possible to return the plan and estimate to he Suptg. Engineer along ith G. O. No. 2150 W dated 24th uly 1896. The G. O was despatched to the Suptg. Engineer n the 4th August '96 & the lans and estimates on the th August '96. There was s an interval of 10 days tween the despatch of the and that of the plans

and

+Flag W

+Flag Z

+Flag M

and estimates, and this tim was taken up in Copying th plans & estimates. The entri of the dates of despatch of th G. O and the plans & estima as shown at the foot of the G. O. have been Compared with the despatch register & postage account and foun quite Correct. It is therefore quite clear that the plan & estimates which were received in the Suptg. Engineer's Office 10 days after the receipt of the G. O itself, were mislaid in that Office.

In Memo. No. 3916 C date 6th November '96, the Suptg. Engineer was asked to revise the plan & estimate submitted by him for the Executive Engineer's residence at Berhampore on the design sanctioned for the residence at Waltair. In submitting a revised estin for the former work the Suptg. Engineer forwarded for reference the desig

fo

for the residence at Waltair. vide Flag T. This design was again returned to the Suptg. Engineer with Endt. No. 2992 C dated 28th June '98. The Suptg. Engineer's office forgetting the fact that this design was submitted to this office in December '96 with the estimate for the residence at Berhampore, attempts to throw the blame on this office.

18/4/99

W

The above note is not much to the point. The question to be ascertained is, Why when an estimate of Rs 11,000 was sanctioned for the work, the estimate was set aside, the E. E. asked to prepare a new estimate, & the work actually put in hand on an increased estimate of Rs 12,595? Apparently this action was taken under Mr de Winton's orders, & the S.E. endeavours to account for this by suggesting papers were delayed in the office. The matter had better lie over until Mr de Winton returns, as India must be asked to sanction the increased amount.

ASK
20-4-99.

+ Flag G

Urgent

A. C.

Sanctioning estimate at an early date; explanatory memorandum ~~as to works is to actual~~ ~~fresh a very brief~~ ~~all that is necessary~~, I note already made ASK 26.4.99

It is hardly necessary to wait for Mr. de Winton to settle this. We may say that on inspection Mr. de W. found that the sanctioned plan was unsuitable in some ways & that he directed a new design to be made by the E. E. which the S. E. reports to be a much better one than that originally designed. The new est. should be prepared —

21/4/99

Done already
ASK
26.4.

Public Works (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 2823

From The Supg. Engineer
First Circle

Order

No. 396V3.

Dated 27/28. 3. 99

Received
Registered 30. 3. 99

Enclosures one

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Construction of Executive Eng. Residence at Waltair — submits a report explaining reasons for the revision of plan & estimate for the —

HC/

o. 2527

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
1285C	1-3-99
558C	26-1-99
431C	20-1-99
197C	9-1-99
2992C	28-6-98
2643C	25-5-98
2471C	10-5-98
1711C	19-3-98
647W	11-3-98
51W	7-1-97
3710C	24-10-96
926D	31-7-96
2150W	24-7-96
1464W	8-5-96
434W	3-2-96
2993W	25-11-95
3285C	4-11-95
3191C	30-10-95
1780W	18-7-95
920W	22-4-95
645W	16-3-95
3242W	16-11-89
	2nd file
	Linked file
L.O. No. 28.	
P.W.C. No. 9260 of 98	
3476C	8-8-98 4 file
	3rd file
5168C	1-12-97
4697C	12-11-97
3916C	6-11-96
89W	10-1-96
1047W	4-5-95

FURTHER PAPERS

No.	Date.

Enclo: 1 to S E I No. 39603. of 27/28 3-99

Copy of letter No. 4872 of 17-3-1899
from G. F. Handcock, Esq., Executive
Engineer, Vizagapatam Division, to the
Superintending Engineer 1st Circle.

Ref No. 293 V.Z of 7-3-99.

In reply to the above I have the honor t
reply as follows:-

(1) In February 1898 the Chief Engineer inspected the site selected by Mr. Larminie and approved of the same.

(2) At that time there was no sanction plan in this office to show the Chief Engine and I was not aware that a plan and estimate had been prepared.

(3) If I recollect rightly, I understoo the Chief Engineer to say that he would call upon the consulting architect to prepare a plan and estimate.

(4) After much enquiry, it was disco that a plan and estimate had been prepared in the Superintending Engine office and forwarded to the Chief Engi for approval and sanction, this m be the plan now referred to by the Ch Engineer as estimated to cost Rs 1100

5. This sanctioned plan and estim

w

finally sanctioned in G. O No. 2150 W d/24-7-96. This G. O. communicates sanction of Govt of India to the "construction "from Provincial funds of a residence "for the Executive Engineer, Vizagapatam, "at an estimated cost of Rs 1100 inclusive "of site."

4. Mr Larminie when in charge of the circle considered the design unsuitable, and prepared a Revised plan, which he forwarded to your office with his letter No. 925 G.N of 8-12-96.

5. The matter appears to have been laid aside at this point, but with No. 2992C d/25-6-98, two tracings and two estimates were returned from your office. One of these was the original sanctioned estimate Rs 1100.

6. This Estimate was forwarded to the Executive Engineer, Vizagapatam Division, with this office No. 308 W of 7-7-98. The Executive Engineer objected to it on the ame grounds as Mr Larminie - Viz design and unsuitability to the site elected.

7. In February 1898 the Chief Engineer visited Waltair, and inspected the proposed site of which he approved. At that time the sanctioned plan had not reached the Executive Engineer, and he was consequently unable to show it to the Chief Engineer, nor does he appear to have been aware that any sanctioned plan existed.

8. The Executive Engineer states that he recollects the Chief Engineer to have said that he would call upon the Government Architect to prepare a suitable design for a residence; and he therefore, when the sanctioned plan and estimate reached him deferred taking action until the Government Architects design was received.

9. The Consulting Architects' plan was received by the Executive Engineer Vizagapatam Division about the middle of January 99, and work was put in hand at once; and is now complete up to basement level.

10. It would appear that the sanctioned plan and estimate were lost sight of in the Chief Engineer's Office, and that

with

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS

was not received by me till 7-7-98.

6. The plan proved to be similar to that of the residence recently constructed for the conservator at Waltair. The latter has not proved a success in many ways, besides which an upper story is quite unnecessary for a house to be built on the site selected.

7. Under these circumstances I delayed actual commencement of work until the receipt of a Revised plan which, I understood, was being prepared by the Consulting Architect under the rders of the Chief Engineer. This Revised lan was received in this office in the iddle part of January 1899.

8) I think it may be assumed that for a long time the Chief Engineer had no idea hat style of plan had been prepared, as none could be found in the Chief Engineer's & in the Superintending Engineer's offices, nd when at last it was found in the hief Engineer's office that he did not approve t it, having seen the conservator's residence t Waltair — hence the revised plan prepared the Consulting Architect.

These are all the facts of the case as far I know them.

(True copy)

W DeMorgan

Ow.5/ 28-3-99

No. 396 V3.

FROM W. C. De Morgan, Esquire, M. I. C.E.

Executive ~~SUPERINTENDING~~ ENGINEER, in charge,

FIRST CIRCLE.

TO

THE CHIEF ENGINEER

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Enclosures. One

Waltair ~~DOWLAISHWERAM~~, 27-3-1899.

28

SIR,

With reference to your No. 1285 C dated 1-3-99, I have the honor to inform you that the case, as far as I can ascertain stands as follows:-

In G. O No. 1780 W dated 18-3-95, an estimate for constructing a Residence for the Executive Engineer, Vizagapatam Division was called for.

2. Plans and Estimate amounting to Rs 10600 were submitted with this office No. 418 V2 of 1-9-95. The design was similar to that sanctioned for the residence of the Conservator of Forests, only on a smaller scale.

3 The plans and estimates were

finally

finally sanctioned in G.O. No. 2150W d/24-7-96. This G.O. communicates sanction of Govt of India to the "construction "from Provincial funds of a residence "for the Executive Engineer, Vizagapatam, "at an estimated cost of Rs 11000 inclusive "of site."

4. Mr Larminie when in charge of the circle considered the design unsuitable, and prepared a Revised plan, which he forwarded to your office with his letter No. 925 G.N. d/ 8-12-96.

5. The matter appears to have been laid aside at this point, but with No. 2992 C d/ 25-6-98, two tracings and two estimates were returned from your office. One of these was the original sanctioned estimate Rs 11000.

6. This Estimate was forwarded to the Executive Engineer, Vizagapatam Division, with this office No. 3081 W d/ 7-7-98. The Executive Engineer objected to it on the ame grounds as Mr Larminie - Viz design and unsuitability to the site elected.

7. In February 1898 the Chief Engineer visited Waltair, and inspected the proposed site of which he approved. At that time the sanctioned plan had not reached the Executive Engineer, and he was consequently unable to show it to the Chief Engineer, nor does he appear to have been aware that any sanctioned plan existed.

8. The Executive Engineer states that he recollects the Chief Engineer to have said that he would call upon the Government Architect to prepare a suitable design for a residence; and he therefore, when the sanctioned plan and estimate reached him deferred taking action until the Government Architects design was received.

9. The Consulting Architects' plan was received by the Executive Engineer Vizagapatam Division about the middle of January 99, and work was put in hand at once; and is now complete up to basement level.

10. It would appear that the sanction plan and estimate were lost sight of in the Chief Engineer's Office, and that it

will

500—18-2-22

FROM

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER

FIRST CIRCLE.

TO

THE CHIEF ENGINEER

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

DATED
DESPATCHED
RECEIVED
189 .

NO.

ENCLOSURES

SUBJECT.

will now be necessary obtain a fresh sanction to the new plan and estimate.

11. I may add that, in my opinion, the plan prepared by the Consulting Architect, and on which work is now, is very suitable, while the sanctioned design is opened to many objections.

12. A copy of Vizagapatam Executive Engineer's No. 4872 of 20-3-99 is attached.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
W D Morgan
28/3.
Exe. Engr. in Ch. 1st Circle

2035

Public Works (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 1985

Order

From The Consulting Architect to Govt.

No. 3820

Dated 4th March 1899
Received }
Registered } 6. 3. 99
Enclosures
Spare copies

Await reply from S.E. 1st Circle
ACK
7. 3. 99.

SUBJECT.

Executive Engineer's Residence at Waltair

Reporting why the plans & estimate for the ——— was revised by the Consultg.

Dw.
for orders.
7/3/99

oL.S. 27

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

500–11-6-97.

Office of the Consulting Architect to Govt,
Chepauk, Madras,
4th March 1899.

No. 3820

From
G. S. T. Harris, Esq.,
Consulting Architect
to the Government of Madras,

To
The Chief Engineer
Public Works Department
Madras.

Sir,

Enclosures.

With reference to your No 1285 C dated the 1st Instant I have the honor to state that I was requested by the Chief Engineer to submit a plan & Estimate for the Executive Engineers Bungalow in question on the lines of that designed for the Executive Engineer Madura - and I was further requested to prepare all doors & windows for the Main Building - These were my instructions.

2 - I would here point out that in my opinion this design submitted by me can be considerably reduced.

(i) By using local wood in roof etc

(ii) The Verandahs are now 11 ft, they may I consider be reduced to 9'.6" (the Eave overlaps 2 ft.) without detriment.

With these alterations t

the cost of the building ought not to exceed —
Rs. 11000 exclusive of land.

As far as I remember the Chief Engineer considered the original design unsuitable and likely to prove hot.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
your most obedient Servant

Consulting Architect
to Government

---

have remained a dead letter for I cannot recall a single instance where we applied for the sanction of the Govt. of India under it. But under these rules, it would appear to be necessary to obtain the sanction of the Govt. of India

Rm
7.7.55

the Cost of the building ought not to exceed — Rs. 11000 exclusive of land.

As far as I remember the Chief Engineer considered the original design unsuitable and likely to prove hot.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
your most obedient Servant
Geo. Harris
Consulting Architect
to Government.

C No 4072 and 4187

W

The S.E states that the rate for Mangalore tiled roofing at Vizagapatam is Rs 26 per square and submits a data statement in support thereof. The rate allowed in the C.A's estimate is only Rs 20 per square vide Flag W. If the increased rate is allowed, the C.A's estimate of Rs 13.595 should be raised to Rs 13.595 + Rs 480 or Rs 14.075. To the last amount should be added the value of site, viz Rs 300. The total Cost will, therefore, come to Rs 14.375.

2. In this connection, attention is solicited to para 5 of G.O $\frac{2289W}{3.9.98}$ Flag Z, in which the C.A has been directed to consult local officers before determining rates for buildings outside Madras. Apparently the rates provided in the present estimate have not been entered after consultation with the Ex: Engineer, Vizagapatam Division. Orders are, therefore, requested whether this estimate should go to the S.E for approval before being sent up for sanction. This course may, perhaps, cause delay.

P.K.S
12/6/99.

Send up for sanction quickly - if rates are within local schedule

P.T.O.

2·6·99

L.O. 20

DW
W

The rent on the estimated cost of the residence, calculated under Code rules, amounts to Rs 95.34 per mensem, while 8 per cent of the average pay of Suptg Engineers is Rs 108. The sanction of the Government of India to the construction of the residence is therefore not necessary.

12/6

P.K.S
12/6/99

S.E. is writing officially about this — Await receipt —

12-6-99

[illegible] 178—18-2-98

W/

~~Very Urgent~~

The District schedule is not available here. May the file go down to T please?

P. R. S.
7/6/99

~~[illegible]~~

~~Sanction~~

—

[illegible]
2.6.[illegible]

Dr/

Please see separate note attached.

8.6.99.

Tel: The rate for Mangalore tiled roofing as worked out by T. may be passed & the estimate sanctioned

H.C.
12/6

approved - How will the [illegible] stand if the [illegible] is accepted [illegible] [illegible]

12-6-[illegible]

Tel: The rate for Mangalore tiled roofing as worked out by T may be passed & the estimate sanctioned

H.C.
12/6

C. No. 4187 of 99

Letter from the S. E. [illegible]
No. 633 d/ 23-5-99 [illegible]

Exe. Engineer [illegible] informing that he cannot suggest any economies in the estimate for the [illegible] for Mangalore tiled roofing

The [illegible]

[illegible] 9-[illegible]-99

Memo 234 d/ 11-5-99

Executive Engineer [illegible] at [illegible] letter from the [illegible] regarding wood work for roof supports for the — communicated to S.E.

The above memo put up with C. No. 4187 d/ 27-5-99

C No. 4984

H.C./O. The last reference on this subject is traced up to C No. 4187 which was sent to SW on 9.6.99.