Public Works
~~[illegible]~~ (1899) Department.

G.O., No. 1973 W

DATED 27th July 1899

280/18

---

[Despatch Abstract.]

Arrears of Salary

Directing that the procedure laid down in para 464 of the old Madras P.W.D Code be observed by officers of the P.W.D in the matter of payment of ——— due to deceased Govt servants.

280/18

PW E5
94/10/10

B
CK.

[ M 5.
1,000—24-10-96. ]

Ld 28/7

## BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | |

## FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | |

---

GENERAL.
ACCOUNT.

# Government {1899} of Madras.

## PUBLIC WORKS.

*Recd.* } 1899. *Enclosures*
*Regd.* } *Spare copies*

### G.O., No. 1973 W., 27th July 1899.

### JULY.

**Arrears of Salary**

Directing that the procedure laid down in paragraph 464 of the old Madras Public Works Department Code be observed by officers of the Public Works Department in the matter of payment of — due to deceased Government servants.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

## PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

---

**General.**
**Account.**

**Read**—the following papers :—

From the Superintending Engineer, II Circle, dated 1st March 1899, No. 130 W.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated 13th " " No. 816 B./E.
" Judicial Department, dated 27th March 1899, No. 864.
" Pension Department, dated 25th May 1899, No. 204.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated 17th June 1899, No. 1953 B./E.
" " " dated 3rd July 1899, No. 2207 B./E.

---

**Order**—No. 1973 W., dated 27th July 1899.

The Executive Engineer, Kistna Eastern Division, brings to notice that small sums of Rs. 11-3-2 and Rs. 13-14-3 due to deceased clerks are outstanding in his accounts, and as the probable cost of obtaining heirship certificates from the District Judge, Kistna, would amount to Rs. 11 and Rs. 11-8-0, respectively, the heirs of the deceased clerks are not likely to take the necessary steps to receive the money. He therefore requests that orders may be issued for the payment of such sums on the production of certificates from the local village officials as has been done hitherto.

2. The Examiner considers that the case would be met by the revival of paragraph 464 of the old Madras Code which reads as follows :—

> " The salary due to a deceased officer should be paid to his heirs to the extent of Rs. 200 in each case, after such inquiry into the rights and title of the claimants as the officer responsible for the payment may deem sufficient. If, however, there is any reasonable doubt regarding such claim or title, or if the amount due exceeds Rs. 200, the payment should be made only to the person duly authorised to receive assets belonging to the estate of the deceased ".

3. References made to the Judicial and Pension Departments show that, as regards the payment of arrears of *salary* due to deceased Government servants, the Civil departments other than the Public Works Department are guided by the rule in paragraph 27, Vol. I of the Civil Account Code. The rule is as follows :—

> "The salary due to a deceased officer should be paid to his heirs to the extent of Rs. 500 in each case, after such inquiry into the rights and title of the claimants as the Collector or other officer responsible for the payment may deem sufficient. If, however, there is any reasonable doubt regarding such claim or title, or if the amount due exceeds Rs. 500, the payment should be made only to the person duly authorised to receive assets belonging to the estate of the deceased."

4. It is observed that the rule in the Civil Account Code is precisely the same as that in the old Madras Code, except that in the former the maximum amount which may be paid to claimants is higher than in the latter. It is not considered necessary

or advisable to raise the limit originally fixed for the Public Works Department in order to bring its procedure in this respect in conformity with that of other Civil departments. Government is therefore pleased to direct that the procedure laid down in paragraph 464 of the old Madras Public Works Department Code be observed by officers of the Public Works Department in the matter of payment of arrears of salary due to deceased Government servants.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. B. deWINTON,
Secretary to Government.

To all Superintending Engineers.
" the Consulting Architect to Government.
" the Superintendent of Works, Lighthouse Division.
" the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
" the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
" the Consulting Engineer for Railways.

Exd. A. Rungasawmy Aiyangar.

Letter from J. T. Wilson Esquire, Executive Engineer Kistna Eastern Division to the Superintending Engineer II circle, dated 24th February 1899 No 756 P.E.

EXAMINER,
P. W. ACCOUNTS.
14 JUN. 1899
No.........

In reply to your No 126 K-E. of 3rd Instant, I have the honor to inform you that the following are the amounts due to deceased persons and are outstanding in my accounts.

| | |
|---|---|
| 1. V. Ramiah Pantulu late clerk IV class III grade | Rs 11-3-2. |
| II. J. V. Subba Row late clerk V class 1st grade | Rs 13-14-3. |

The heirs of the 1st clerk are in Ellore and the following is the probable cost for obtaining an heirship certificate from the District Judge Kistna.

| | |
|---|---|
| Stamp | Rs 1-0-0. |
| Vakalat | Rs 0-8-0 |
| Application | Rs 0-8-0 |
| notice to each of the two brothers at 8 as each | Rs 1-0-0. |
| Pleaders fees | Rs 5-0-0 |
| Travelling expenses from Ellore to Bandar & back | Rs 5-0-0 |

In the 2nd case the approximate expenses will be.

| | |
|---|---|
| Stamp | Rs 1-0-0 |
| Vakalat | Rs 0-8-0 |
| Application | Rs 0-8-0 |
| Notice to 3 heirs | Rs 1-8-0 |
| Pleader's fee | Rs 5-0-0 |
| Travelling expenses from Bezwada to Masulipatam & back | 3-0-0 |
| Total | Rs 11-8-0 |

2. These expenses are very large when compared with the amounts due and the heirs are not at all likely to take the steps necessary to secure the money. I therefore request you will be good enough to refer the matter to Government and obtain orders to make payments of such sums on the production of certificates from the local village officials as has been done hitherto.

No 130 W Dated 1st March 99

Copy forwarded to the Chief Engineer Public Works Department with a request that he will be good enough to obtain the sanction of Government to the payment of dues on the

production of certificate from village officials.

W Hannan

Superintending Engineer II circle

EXAMINER,
P. W. ACCOUNTS.
8.- MAR. 1899
No..........

9/3

D

# Public Works (1899) Department.

EXAMINER,
P. W. ACCOUNTS.
8.- MAR. 1899
No..........

**CURRENT No.** 1888

EXAMINER,
P. W. ACCOUNTS.
14 JUN. 1899
No..........

No: 1374 C of 6th March 1899

~~Order~~

To

C

For favour of remarks.

AGK
4.3.99.
DW

Rd.

No: 816 B/E dated 13th March 1899.

To

W.

I would advise that a limit be fixed by Government within which limit payments may be made on the production of certificates from the local village officials.

N.R

Offg: C.

Rec
13.3.99.

**From** The Superintending Engineer
II Circle

**No.** 130 W

**Dated** 1st March 1899

**Received** } 3.3.99
**Registered**

**Enclosures**

**Spare copies**

**SUBJECT.**

Small Sums due to deceased persons — Requests sanction to payment of — on production of Certificates from Local Village officials

8

**BACK PAPERS.**

| No. | Date. |
|---|---|
| | |

No. 2659 C d/ 10/6/99

To
C

Your Office Note No. 816 B/E dated 13th March 1899.

Please see the rules observed by the Accountant-General, and G.O. No. 436 Finl., dated 8th March, 1899, and let me know whether you would advise similar rules being issued for the guidance of Officers of the P.W.D.

AHK
5-6-99.

Rd.

with file to be retd

B

o.L. 3179 R 9/6

**FURTHER PAPERS.**

| No. | Date. |
|---|---|
| 436 Finl | 8-3-99 |
| 2209 Rev: | 27-11-99 |
| 2507 C | 29-5-99 |
| 1674 C | 8-3-99 |
| 1374 C | 6-3-99 |

---

9

~~Public Works~~ Jud'l

# ~~Pension~~ (1899) Department.

**CURRENT No.** ~~2238~~

EXAMINER
P. W. ACCOUNTS
14 JUN. 1899
No.

CHIEF SECRETARY
21. MAR. 1899
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

CURRENT No. 1339.

No: 1674 C - d/ 18th March 99

**From**
The Examiner P.W. Accounts
Madras & Coorg

~~**Order**~~ Recd & Rep. 21/3/99

To
The Judl. Dept. u.o.

**No.** 816 B/E

**Dated** 13th March 1899
**Received**
**Registered** 14. 3. 99.
**Enclosures** one
**Spare copies**

For favour of remarks.

AHK
17.3.99.

Rd.

17/3/99

No. 864, d/ 27-3-99.

To
The Public Works Dept. u.o.

~~[illegible]~~
~~[illegible]~~
~~[illegible]~~
~~[illegible]~~

~~Suggn.~~ suggestion. It would perhaps be simpler to follow in the P.W.D. the rules.

P.T.O.

**SUBJECT.**

Payment of small sums to deceased persons — Suggests that a limit be fixed within which — may be paid on production of Certificates from Local Village Officials.

10

provisions contained in Art. 27 of the C. A. C. The Pension Dept. may also be consulted.

25/3/99.

29.3.99

24/3/99

No: 1884 C. d 29th March 99

To

The Pension Dept. u. o.

---

For favour of remarks.

A. C. R.

29. 3. 99.

29/3/99

## BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | |

## FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | |

---

[ R. E. / 4d. ]
307-10-5-96.

# Pension (1899) Department.

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE
1 MAY 1899
MADRAS

**CURRENT No.** 283

EXAMINER,
P. W. ACCOUNTS
14 JUN 1899
No.

Reg. No: 43

Endt. No: 34 d/26-4-99.

~~Order~~

Referred to the Acctt. Genl.

for favour of remarks.

20/4/99

17.4.99.

To
the A.G.
with pp (U.O.)

**From**

The Public Works Dept.

No. 1884 C.

**Dated** 29th March 1899

**Received** 5th April 1899

**Registered** 5-4-99.

**Enclosures** 1

**Spare copies.** —

## SUBJECT.

Referring to the Pension Dept. for favour of remarks letter from the Examiner of P. W. Accounts, Madras and Coorg, suggesting that a limit be fixed within which payment of small sums to deceased persons may be paid on production of certificates from local village Officials.

## BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | |

## FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | |

---

Sec: 781 and 782 Chap: VII of the Office Manual.

EXAM...
P. W. ACCOUNTS
14 JUN. 1899
No.......

781. Whenever a claim is preferred for the payment of arrears of pension due to a deceased pensioner, the claim must be supported not only by a death certificate but by evidence sufficient to establish the relationship of the claimant to the deceased. If the arrears exceed Rs 500, the procedure prescribed in Article 1042 of the Civil Service Regulations, Second Edition, should be observed. In other cases the claim should be supported by a certificate signed by one or two respectable officers of Government drawing a salary of Rs100 and upwards, or by such other well-known and trustworthy person as may be approved of by the Disbursing Officer to the effect that they will hold themselves responsible for the amount paid to the claimant in the event of his heirship being thereafter disputed by any other person.

782. The above course should be resorted to only when a pensioner may have died intestate. But if, in the course

13

of investigation of the claim, it comes to light, that the deceased left property worth administering to, then the arrears should be paid only to the person administering the estate.

(True Copy)

J. B. Moore
Chief Superintendent,
Accountant General's Office Madras

V.C.

---

Pension Department.

Encls. 1.

P... ACCOUNTS
14 JUN. 1899
No.

D/C

G.O No. 436 d/ 8-3-79 Finl.
„ 2209 d/ 27-11-79 Rev.

Un Official Memorandum

The procedure observed in this Office in the matter of payment of small arrears of pension due to deceased pensioners, is that which is laid down in 781 and 782 Chapter VII of the Office Manual, a copy of which is herewith forwarded.

The attention of Government is also invited to the Government orders quoted in the margin.

No. 49.

4-5-99

W.H.D. H/c
Offg. A. G.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Pension Department.

---

To The Public Works Dept. (U.O.) No. 204 / 29.5.

ict
25.5.99

[illegible]

Madras

15

# Public Works (1899) Department.

**CURRENT No.** 5363

**From**

The Examiner P. W. Accounts,
Madras & Coorg

**Order**

No. 2207 $\frac{B}{2}$

**Dated** 3rd July 1899

**Received**
**Registered** } 4.7.99

**Enclosures** one -

**Spare copies.** 8/7

## SUBJECT.

Small sums due to deceased persons — States that the special order of Govt. in the P. W. Dt. appears necessary for the procedure, laid down in the C. A. Code being followed as regards payment of —

2916 wif

## BACK PAPERS.

| No. | Date. |
|---|---|
| 2659 C | 10-6-99 |
| 2916 C | 27-6-99 |
| 1374 C | 6-3-99 |
| 2507 C | 29-5-99 |
| 1674 C | 18-3-99<br>+ file |

## FURTHER PAPERS.

| No. | Date. |
|---|---|
| | |

---

# Public Works (1899) Department.

EXAMINER,
P. W. ACCOUNTS.
29 JUN. 1899
No.

[illegible]

**CURRENT No.** ~~4850~~ 5363/99

C/

No. 2916 C of 27/6/99

~~Order~~

To

C/

Please say whether there is any objection to the procedure laid down in para 27, Vol I of the Civil Account Code being followed. This ruling apparently supersedes the orders laid down in G.O. No. 2209 Rev. dated 27th Novr. 1879.

H.M. 26/6

**From** The Examiner P.W. Accounts, Madras & Coorg

No. 1953 B/2

**Dated** 17th June 1899
**Received** } 19.6.99
**Registered** }
**Enclosures** 1 file
**Spare copies.**

### SUBJECT.

Small sums due to deceased persons — Expressing C's views regarding —

18

## BACK PAPERS.

| No. | Date. |
|---|---|

No. 2207 B/2 dated 3rd July 1899.

To

W.

There is no objection to the procedure laid down in the Civil Account Code being followed, but as the Civil Account Code ruling is not applicable to the P.W.D. a special order of Government in the P.W.D. appears necessary with reference to para 2 of chapter I volume I of the P.W.D. Code.

A. R.

Offg: C.

NS 3.7.99.

## FURTHER PAPERS.

| No. | Date. |
|---|---|

---

19

E- No. 27.
1,000—16-5-98

Keep-with

Current No.

dated 189 .

GOVT. OF MADRAS. } 189~~8~~9 { P.W. DEPT.

EXAMINER,
P. W. ACCOUNTS.
29 JUN. 1899
No.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

---

*Madras,* 17th June 189~~8~~9

Office Note No. 1953 B/2

To

W.

Your O.N.No.2659 C dated 10th: June 1899.

The rules observed by the Accountant General ( section 781 & 782 chapter VII of his office mannual ) and the orders of Government in G.O.No. 436 Financial dated 8th: March 1879 refer to cases of claims to arrears of Pension and not to arrears of salary due to deceased persons.

2. The orders in G.O.No.2209 Revenue dated 27th: November 1879 refer to cases of salaries due to deceased persons, and the rule in the old Madras Code para 464 was apparently based on this order, if this rule were revived it would I think meet the case.

A. R.
17/6

Offg: C.

Rgr 17.6.99

6W. 1
5363/98

2 P. W. DEPARTMENT. 4

READ the following:—

From the Supdg. Engr., II Circle, No. 130 W d/ 1.3.99
" " Examiner, P.W. Accts No. 816 B/E d/ 13.3.99
" " Judl Dept. No. 864 d/ 27.3.99.
" " Pension Dept. No. 204 d/ 29.5.99
" " Examiner, P.W. Accts, No. 2207 B/E d/ 3.7.99

Circulated to ~~H.E. the Governor~~

FOR ORDERS.

19734

The Ex: Engineer, Kistna Eastern Division, brings to notice that the small sums of Rs 11-3-2 & Rs 13-14-3 due to deceased clerks are outstanding in his accounts, & as the probable cost of obtaining heirship certificates from the District Judge, Kistna, would amount to Rs 11 & 11-8-0 respectively, the heirs of the deceased clerks are not likely to take the necessary steps to receive the money.

2. He therefore requests that orders may be issued for the payment of such sums on the production of certificates from the local village officials as has been done hitherto.

DW
W

3. The Examiner considers that

P. W. 43
500—14-11-98.

at the case would be
et by the revival of
ra 464 of the old Madras
le which reads as follows:-
The salary due to a deceased"
ffice should be paid to his heirs "
o the extent of Rs 200 in each case, "
fter such inquiry into the rights "
title of the claimants as the "
ffice responsible for the payment "
may deem sufficient. If however "
there is any reasonable doubt "
regarding such claim or title, or "
if the amount due exceeds Rs 200, "
the payment should be made "
nly to the person duly authorised "
to receive assets belonging to "
the estate of the deceased."

4. References made to the Judicial & Pension Depts show that as regards the payment of arrears of salary due to deceased Govt. servants, the Civil Depts. other than the P.W.D. are guided by the rule in para 27, Vol I of the Civil Account Code. The rule is as follows:-

"The salary due to a deceased Officer should be paid to his heirs to

to the extent of Rs 500 in each case, after such inquiry into the right and title of the claimants as the Collector or other Officer responsible for the payment may deem sufficient. If, however, there is any reasonable doubt regarding such claim or title, or the amount due exceeds Rs 500, the payment should be made only to the person duly authorised to receive assets belonging to the estate of the deceased."

5. It will be seen that the rule in the Civil Account Code is precisely the same as that in the old Madras Code but the amount which may be paid to claimants is higher. It seems desirable in this matter to bring the procedure of the P.W.D. in consonance with that obtaining in the other Civil Depts. It is therefore proposed to issue a general order adopting the rule in the Civil

23

Civil Account Code, a special order is necessary as this Code does not apply to the P. W. D.

~~HLP~~ Against this proposal to fix Rs 500 as [illegible] the maximum it is to be noted that an Exec-Engr has not the means for making such thorough enquiries as a Collector has, nor has he such knowledge of the law in such matters. It would therefore be advisable to fix the maximum at Rs 200 as laid down in the old Madras code and as recommended by the Examiner.

HLP
14/7

M

approved
17.7.9

---

X

Send proof

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

READ the followin :—

~~From~~ the Supdg Engineer, II Circle, No. 130 W d/ 1st March 1899
" " Examiner, P. W. Accounts, No. 816 B/E d/ 13 — do —
" " Judicial Dept. No. 864 dated 27 — do —
" " Pension Dept. No. 204 dated 25 May 1899
" " Examiner, P. W. Accounts, No. 1953 B/E d/ 17-6-99
" " — do — 2207 B/E d/ 3-7-99

Circt

Circulated to

1 P.

ORDER

Should not this be ci[rculated] by Financial Dept?
HLP

This does not seem necessary for the "Financial" is not concerned in or affected by the "order" proposed to be issued.
Vide notes about c.i. flagged A, linked file
M
21/7/99
V
HLP

B CMR

M

The Executive Engineer, Kistna Eastern Division, brings to notice that small sums of R. 11-3-2 and 13-14-3 due to deceased clerks are outstanding in his accounts, and as the probable cost of obtaining heirship certificates from the District Judge, Kistna, would amount to Rs. 11 and 11-8-0 respectively, the heirs of the deceased clerk are not likely to take the necessary steps to receive the money. He therefore requests that orders may be issued for the payment of such sums on the production of certificates

P. W. 42-A.
1,000—2-3-99.

certificates from the local village officials as has been done hitherto.

2. The Examiner considers that the case must be met by the revival of para 464 of the old Madras code which reads as follows:

"The Salary due to a deceased "officer should be paid to his heirs "to the extent of Rs. 200 in each "case, after such enquiry into the "rights and title of the claim-"ants as the officer responsible "for the payment may deem "sufficient. If however, there "is any reasonable doubt regard-"ing such claim or title, or if the "amount due exceeds Rs. 200, the "payment should be made only "to the person duly authorised to "receive assets belonging to the "estate of the deceased"

3. References made to the Judicial and Pension departments show that as regards the payment of arrears of salary due to deceased Government Servants, the Civil departments other than the P.W.D are

are guided by the rule in
27, Vol. I of the Civil Accoun
code. The rule is as follows:—

"The Salary due to a deceas
"officer should be paid to his heirs
"to the extent of Rs. 500 in eac
"case, after such enquiry into
"the rights and title of the claim
"ants as the Collector or other off
"cer responsible for the payment
"may deem sufficient. If,
"however, there is any reasonab
"doubt regarding such claim
"or title, or if the amount due ex-
"ceeds Rs. 500, the payment
"should be made only to the per-
"son duly authorised to receive
"assets belonging to the estate
"of the deceased".

4. It is observed that the ru
in the Civil Account code is
precisely the same as that
in the old Madras code, excep
that ~~the amount which~~ in the former the max-
imum amount ~~which~~
may be paid to claimants
is higher ~~as fixed in the Civil~~ than in the latter.
~~Account Code~~. ~~[illegible]~~
~~[illegible]~~. To bring the pro-
cedure of the P.W.D in consonan
with that obtaining in the
other civil departments, it
is necessary to raise the
amount fixed for the P.W.D
to...

It is not considered necessary or advisable to raise the limit originally fixed for the P.W.D. in order to bring its procedure in this respect in conformity with that of other Civil departments

~~to Rs. 500~~. But ~~as it is not considered admissible to do so~~, Government is therefore pleased ~~to~~ direct that the procedure laid down in para 464 of the old Madras P.W.D. Code be observed by officers of the P.W.D. in the matter of payment of arrears of salary due to deceased Govt. servants.

24/7

2.

All Supdg. Engineers.
The Consg. Architect to Govt.
" Supdt. of Works, Light House Divn
" Exr. P.W. Accts.
" Chief Engr. for Irrigation
" Consg Engr. for Rys.