

Public Works (1899) Department.

G.O., No. 1673 h

DATED 28 June 1899.

[Despatch Abstract.]

J. K. Narayanasamy Mudaliyar
Accounts Clerk, VI Circle

Directing that the orders passed in paragraph 9
of G.O. No. 2843 W dated 8 November 1898 reg. the
reversion to the ordinary clerical establishment
— be rescinded and that he be retained
Accounts Clerk of the Circle.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Following:—

Circulated to *the Hon. Sec. A. T.*
Arundel, C. S. S. O R

ORDER

No. 1673 w 28 b.

On reconsideration,
His Excellency the Governor
in Council is pleased to direct
that the order passed in
paragraph 9 of G.O. 2863 W.
dated 8th November 1892
be rescinded and that
T. K. Narayanaiah
Mudaliar be retained as
Accounts clerk of the
V. C. Office.

21.6.11

The S. E. VI Church
Rev. P. W. Acker

P. W. 42-A.
1,000-2-3-99.

$$+ m(1) 21.6.99$$

8769 2/12/6 2.5/6. " 10

For ORDER ✓

4 May 20

3 plus

[P. W. 42-A.
1,000—2-3-99.]

487.69 2.12/6 2.15/6

With reference to the
 letter dated 9.6.8
 I beg to say that I am
 quite satisfied that pub-
 lic interests require that the
 clerks' affect should be
 respected. Its rejection
 is of course, a reversal
 of the order passed in
 L.O. 18440 dated 20 July 1884
 but those orders were issued
 in ignorance of the petition
 advanced. I have
 from the first endeavoured
 to see that these clerks
 got fair play, but I should
 certainly not have re-
 commended the attempt

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
------	-----	-------------	-------	------	-----	-------------	-------

age at the time -
unfortunate that
age was in thumpst
minently to notice.
I do not think that
orders against which
appeals can be called
breach of faith -
will be seen from the
examiners note of
5.1.58 in middle file
that the clerk was
warned in 1887 that he
was too old to expect
employment in the
Account Branch,

Flay 2.

12-6-88

I went over this case yesterday with Mr. de Winter in person. He admitted that to resent this Clerk, was sound at breach of faith. The Debt. could have found out and should have found out the Clerk's error, before passing the G.O. As the Debt. did not do so, it should accept the consequences of its omission, and not
x May-T.

I also flag a para. to the
visit them on the clock. I understood
Mr. De Winter to agree with me that
possible detainment at the Department
would be a less evil than breach of
faith. The order for the Clerk's

MA
22.6.99

revised in Thompson's revised.

\$5.50

22.
True under
accounting

#87.69 2.12/6 2.15/6

14.6.99

P. W. D.

Note for His Excellency the
Governor.

With reference to His
Excellency's remarks dated
3rd June 1899 on my note
of 26th May, I beg re-
spectfully to point out ^{that} the
question before ^{us} ~~you~~
now is not whether the
Accounts Clerk shall be ^{the}
renewed or not, which ^{has}
already been decided in
para 9 of G.O. 2843^xW dated
8th November 1898, but
the manner in which
that renewal shall take
place. The renewal is
necessary to enable the Exa-
miner to appoint, when
the next vacancy occurs,
a competent man as
accounts clerk in the
place of T. K. Naraya-
na Swami Mudali
whom

whom he considers in-eligible on account of his age. This clerk, as pointed out in my note of 26th May '99, loses nothing by the transaction except his future prospects in the Accounts branch which, as has already been explained, are practically nil: whereas he may very well rise to higher pay in the clerical branch, if reverted to it.

The reversion is in the interests of Government, and has been already sanctioned under para 9 of G.O. 2843 W of 8th November 1898 (745-T.)

[Signature]
2.6.99

I am that this is the case. But, it is against the decision that the Clerk was appointed to the C.E. quite satisfied that public interests require that the Clerk's appeal should be rejected, but that there is no breach of faith in rejecting it?
#.S.H.

9.6.99

2/6/99 + See letter from the Clerk dated 14 Dec. 1898, in the matter of the file.
#.S.H.

First that the position before the Govt. is not what the Clerk states, which has already been decided in para 9 of G.O. 2843 W dated Nov. 8th 1898. But the manner in which the Commission shall take place.

The Commission is being held in the month of June, when the next vacancy occurs, a competent man as Accounts Clerk in the place of me when he comes in by the next meeting.

Vote for His Excellency the Governor

With reference to His Excellency's remarks, dated 9th May 1899, on the draft order on current No. 745, attention is invited to para 10 of the note for orders attached to G.O. 2843 W, dated 8th November 1898, from which it will be seen that Govt. was not aware of the fact that the Accounts Clerk, T. X. Narayana-Swami Mudali was 49 years of age, when it passed the order contained in para 5 of G.O. 1864 W dated 25th July 1898, viz. "the next six vacancies in the accounts establishment should accordingly be reserved exclusively for those six men who, if well reported on by the Supty. Engineers concerned, should be appointed without reference to their age, in order of seniority, unless one or more of them are shown to be specially qualified"; and on the representation of the
Examiner

Read the following:—

Letter from the Supts. Ingr., VI Circle, No 1966 T.C.
D 1.12.98.

Office Note from the Examiner, P.W. Accts, No 76 1/2
D 21.1.99

H.E. the Governor

ORDER.

Received in the refer-
ence to Gov. No. 10 dated
1899.

on C No. 746/99 under.

To The Supts. Ingr., VI Circle
Examiner, P.W. Accts.

The clerk in question will
be reverted to the ordinary
list of clerks in his present-
grade viz. Rs 30 - 40. when a
vacancy occurs.
2. Separate orders will be
passed on para 3 of the Exam-
iner's Office Note read above.

APK
D 2.2.99. H. H. H.
f. 5-9

These notes for orders are
now submitted with
one slight alteration

H. H. H.
f. 5-9

Note - In para 5 of Gov. No 1864 W
D 25.7.98 (Flag 2) Govt. directed
that the next six vacancies
in the accounts establishment
should be reserved exclusively
for the six Accounts clerks who
if well reported on by the Supts.
Ingrs concerned, should be ap-
pointed, without reference to other

ge, in order of seniority, unless
or more of them are stated
to be specially qualified.

The Examiner in para 3(5)
of his Office Note No. 990 $\frac{4}{E}$ dated
18.9.98 (Flag IV) pointed out
that the Accounts clerk of the
Circle, T. K. Narainasamy Mada-
li, who had been regarded
by the Examiner as virtually
ineligible for permanent appoint-
ment as Accountant by reason
of his age (49 years), will, in the
probable sequence of events, be
over 55 years of age before he
can attain to even the 7th grade
of Accountants.

Govt. then directed in para 9
of G.O. No. 2843 IV D 8.11.98 (Flag T)
that T. K. Narainasamy Mada-
li be reverted to the ordinary civil
service establishment, & that
a new Accounts clerk be put in
his place.

This clerk was appointed
Accounts Clerk on Rs 30-40 in
1951, & is still in the same
post owing to there being no
vacancy. The Supty. Engr. proposes
to

to retain him as the Accounts
Clerk of the Circle until a
vacancy on Rs 40 to 50 occurs,
& to then appoint a new
Accounts Clerk on Rs 40-50.

The Examiner considers
that the man should be
reverted to the ordinary list
of clerks in his present grade,
viz Rs 30-40, as it would be
a hardship to revert him
to the Rs 20-30 grade, the
position he held before he
was appointed Accounts Clerk.

The Examiner's proposal
may be accepted. The revision
to take place when a vacancy
occurs. As regards the question
raised by the Examiner, in
para 3 of his Office Note, as to
whether he can select a suitable
man from outside the 6th Circle
to fill the appointment of
Accounts Clerk in that Circle,
there being no qualified clerk
in

Sho
Supty
6

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.
2823W	8. 11. 98 ✓
2 c/ae	^{the} 1/11/98 ✓
ssod	26.8.98 ✓
3630c	19.8.98 ✓
830D	19.8.98 ✓
734D	29.7.98 ✓
1860W	28.7.98 ✓
155D	2.5.98 ✓
5194c	2.12.97 ✓
3078c	5.7.97 ✓
2465c	13.5.97 ✓
1611W	28.5.98 se ✓
888W	13.2.96 ✓
257W	22.6.96 ✓
2519W	12.10.95 se ✓
1802W	10.7.92 a ✓
2502-3W	7.9.92 a ✓
1938W	8.2.92 - ✓
1939W	do ✓
883W	17.3.92 - ✓
5678c	18.12.98 & file ✓

No. 1966.T.C.

Trichinopoly, 1st December 1898.

EXAMINED
P. W. ACCOUNTS.
16 DEC. 1898

From

Robert O. J. Boddy
The Sperintending Engineer,
6th Circle.

To

The Chief Engineer.

SIR,

ENCLOSURES.

he Hays T.

22

+ is when
 he was 46.
 / ~~was~~ old!

Sup

200-20.4.96

In para 9 of G.O. No: 2843 W dated 8^o November 1898, the Secy. - perintending Engineer, W Circle, has been ordered to arrange for the reversion of T. K. Karayanaswami Mudali, ac- counts Clerk attached to the Madras Division, to the ordinary clerical estab- lishment and to appoint a new ac- counts Clerk in his place. The man was appointed as accounts Clerk on a salary of Rs 30 - 2 - 40 in ^X January 1895 under G.O. No: 1802 W dated 10^o July 1893 ^(7 May B) and is still continuing in the same grade as there has been no vacancy since, in the grade of Clerk on Rs 40 rising by annual increment to Rs 50 in which grade the accounts

Chaplin

P. W. D. 6th CIRCLE.

FROM

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
6th CIRCLE.

TO,

THE CHIEF ENGINEER,

NO.

Dated.

Received.

189 .

Enclosure.

EXAMINER
P. W. ACCOUNTS

16 DEC. 1898

No. 2430

Public Works (1898.) Department.

CURRENT No. 7990
745/98

No. 5675C d/15 Dec 98

Order

To

C.

For favour of
remarks.

Asst
14.12.98

DW

From

The Super Engineer
6th Circle

No. 1966.T.C.

Dated 1st December 1898.

Received 3.12.98

Enclosures

Spare copies

No. 76 A/8 dated 21 January 1899.

To

W.

SUBJECT.

I think that in the circumstances of this case the clerk should be allowed to revert to the ordinary list of clerks in his present grade viz: Rs: 30/40 as it would be a hardship if he were made to revert to the Rs: 20/30 grade.

With reference to para 3 of Superintending Engineer's letter please see my O.N. No: 75 A/8 dated 21 January 99 in reply to W.S No: 212 C dated 10th: January 1899.

Accounts Clerk J. K. Narayanasaamy Indali informs that — is in the 30-40 grade and when there is a vacancy in the 40-50 grade another accounts clerk will be appointed.

Ans. 1.
745/98

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

sho
Suph