Public Works (1899) Department.

G.O., No. 1511 W

DATED 10 June 1899.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Gardeners

The continued employment, during 1899-1900, of the detailed in the statement submitted by the Suptg: Engineer, II Circle, sanctioned.

B

Indexed. 14/6

[P. W. 2 / 2,000—29-3-99.]

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

P.W. DEPARTMENT.

READ the following:—

From the S.E., II Circle, No. 230W, d, 29th April 1899

— Do —, No. 267W. d 12th May 1899

Circulated to

His Excellency the Governor.

ORDER. No: 1511 W. of 10-6-99

The continued employment, during 1899-1900, of the gardeners detailed in the statement submitted by the Supdg. Engineer, II Circle, with his letter No. 230W, d, 29th April 1899, is sanctioned.

A.P.R.
29.5.99

F.E.H.
2.6.99

W.

2 The S.E. II Circle.
" Exr., Bv. Accounts.

12/6/99

Note.

In his letter No. 1565 K.S., d, 10th October 1896, the S.E., II Cir suggested that, as all the residen at Buckinghampett at Bezwad were situated in compounds devoid of trees, an experiment might be made by planting an maintaini

2 May 20

B

~~B.W.~~

W

[P. W. 42-A. / 1,000—2-3-99.]

+230/5-R3/6.

G. maintaining fruit trees
the compounds, which, in
urse of time, would cover the
t of gardeners. The Govt. ap-
roved of this suggestion and β Flag R
rected the Suptg: Engineer to
bmit an estimate. An estimate
Rs. 2,270, which included provision
r — gardeners for 5 years, and for
lanting fruit trees in each com-
pound and maintaining them,
as submitted by the Suptg: Engineer.
But, in G.O. No. 3176 W. d/ 11th December Flag S
1894, an estimate of Rs. 275 for planting
fruit trees in the compounds of residences
f Govt. officers at Bezwada, and also
for the employment of gardeners to
look after them for one year, was
sanctioned, and the Suptg: Engineer
was asked to submit a separate
estimate annually for maintaining
~~them~~ the trees. The Examiner of P.W. Accounts
pointed out that, under para 3, chapter θ Flag V
VI, Vol. II of the P.W. Code, the expenditure
n the planting of the fruit trees and
their subsequent maintenance, was
inadmissible, and stated that the
sanction of the Govt. of India was
necessary. The sanction of the Govt.
of

of India was accordingly applie
for, and that Govt. sanctioned
θ Flag W this as a special case.
Flag X In G.O. No. 3252 W, d/ 19th
December 1895, an estimate of Rs.
257 for the maintenance of the
fruit trees was approved, and
the entertainment of 4 gardeners
on Rs. 7 each for 5 months was sanc-
tioned. The Examiner, P.W. Accounts
Flag Z reported that the Govt. of India ha
sanctioned the estimate of Rs.
275, only as a special case, and
that any expenditure above that
sum would therefore require
the further approval of that Govt. ~~of~~
~~India~~. The Govt. of India was
asked whether each year's estimate
Flag C for the maintenance of the trees shou
be submitted for the separate
sanction of that Govt., and, if
not, to authorise the Examine
to admit such charges. In
X Flag D reply, the Govt. of India state
that the cost of maintenance
must be treated as part of the
repair charges of the houses, an
should be covered by the re

or revenue realized, and that sanction to the outlay on the maintenance of the fruit trees could be accorded by the Local Govt. in exactly the same way as sanctions to the outlay on all other repairs. This was communicated to the Suptg: Engineer, with the remark that future maintenance estimates should be sanctioned by ~~the Suptg: Engineer~~ him under the head of repairs.

& Flag D

This procedures was followed till the issue of G.O. No. 1024W, dt 10th April 1899, which laid down that the sanction of Govt. to the employment of gardeners should be obtained annually. With reference to the above G.O., the Suptg: Engineer submitted for sanction a statement of ardeners to be employed on uildings occupied as residences t Bezwada. As the Suptg: Engineer id not quote the previous correspondence the subject, his attention was, in Memo. this office No. 2288C, dt 8th May 1899, rawn to G.Os. Nos. 2923W & 1848W, dt

Flag E

Flag F
& Flag G

necessary. The sanction of the Govt.
of

dated 30th October 1891 & 24th July 1894, respectively, wherein it has been laid down that gardeners for residences should not be allowed at the expense of the State, and ~~that~~ the Suptg. Engineer was informed that his application ~~cannot~~ could not, therefore, be laid before Govt. In reply, the Suptg: Engineer invites attention to the special circumstances under which it has been customary to sanction gardeners for the residences at Bezwada, and states that yearly sanction ~~had~~ has been accorded since 1895-96, and that sanction should be continued for at least 5 years as originally contemplated. He also states that if the gardeners are not sanctioned, the trees may perish by the neglect or delay which may aris in the engagement of gardeners by occupiers. He further points out that the refusal of Govt. sanction to the employment of the gardeners would throw on the future occupiers of the bunga an unjust charge. This is explai by the S.E. as follows:-

"The average cost of repairs of eac bungalow is calculated periodically and

and the actual cost of repairs for one period is taken in computing the rent of the occupier for the next period. Suppose for the 1st period a gardener is upkept as a charge against the repairs, whereas in the 2nd none is maintained, and the occupier has to maintain one himself. The result is that the unfortunate occupier for the 2nd period who has in fact to pay for his own and his predecessor's gardener as well".

In conclusion the S. E. requests ~~[illegible]~~ that the employment of the gardeners be sanctioned, or that the charges incurred up to date be added to the Capital cost of the house and written back from repairs. In the above circumstances, it is recommended that the ~~proposal may~~ entertainment of the gardeners be sanctioned.

ASK
29-5-99.

W

Secy.
A. G. H.

May.

necessary. The sanction of the Govt.

7

Public Works (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 3882

From The Superintending Engineer
[illegible] Circle

Order

No. 267W

Dated 12th May 1899.
Received
Registered 15-5-99
Enclosures
Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Gardeners kept at the residences at Bezwada — ~~Re~~ Suggests ~~that~~ the — they be treated under Capital Charges & not repairs

H.C.

o.L.S.149, R 6/6

BACK PAPERS.		FURTHER PAPERS.	
No.	Date.	No.	Date.
2288 C	8-5-99		
1024 W	10-4-99		
1848 W	24-7-94		
2923 W	30-10-91		
2337 W	24-8-97		
1237 C	4-3-97		
745 C	6-2-97	C.No.	4742/2
971 W	18-3-96		
637 W	21-2-96		
799 C	11-2-96		
279 C	17-1-96		
3252 W	19-12-95		
2130 W	26-8-95		
543 W	8-3-95		
178 W	23-1-95		
4209 C	22-12-94		
& file.			

Superintending Engineer's Office, II Circle,
BEZWADA, 12th May 1899.

No. 267 W

From
Captain W. M. Ellis R.E.
Offg. SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
II CIRCLE,

To
THE Chief Engineer,
Public Works Department.

SIR,

Enclosures.

Your Memo No. 2288 C dated 8-5-99.

I would invite your attention to the special circumstances under which it has been customary to sanction gardeners (to be paid for out of the charge for repairs) for the Residences at Bezwada. These are set forth in G. O. No. 971 W dated 18th March 1896 and the previous correspondence on the subject of planting fruit-trees in the compounds of these buildings, commencing with the Superintending Engineer II Circle's No. 1565 K.S. of 11th October 1894 and G. O. No. 2966 W of 17th November 1894.

2. Yearly sanction to gardeners has been accorded since 1895-96 the special object being the watering of the young fruit-trees planted by Government, and it is submitted that the sanctions should be continued for at least the 5 years originally contemplated after

which time the trees will be so far advanced as not to require constant watering - In default of this the young trees are liable to be destroyed by the neglect for a few weeks or months of some occupier to engage a gardener or see that he waters them.

3. I would further point out that if the gardeners who have been for years charged to repairs of bungalows are discontinued the result is to throw on the subsequent occupiers of bungalows a most unjust charge - The average cost of repairs of each bungalow is calculated periodically and the actual cost of repairs for one period is taken in computing the rent of the occupier for the next period - Suppose for the first period a gardener is upkept as a charge against the repairs whereas in the second none is maintained and the occupier has to maintain one himself.

The result is that the unfortunate occupier for the second period who has in fact to pay for his own and his predecessor's gardener as well.

The same result is arrived at by the orders prohibiting the provision of pandals or punkah ropes &c as a charge against repairs. We are now many of us paying for our own pandals and punkah ropes and in addition for those of our more fortunate predecessors

4. It appears to me that when it is decided to forbid recurring charges which have been permitted for a series of years the charges incurred on these up to the date of the order should be added to the capital cost of the bungalows and written back from the annual repair charges The result would be much more just to the occupants.

5. If gardeners are now prohibited for the buildings under reference I would suggest that at least the charges heretofore incurred on the trees planted and gardeners kept be treated as capital charges and not as repairs.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedient Servant,

Wm. Ellis Captain R.E.
Offg. Superintending Engineer,
II Circle.

A.D.
12/5/99

No. 2280

Government of Madras.
Public Works **DEPARTMENT.**

MEMORANDUM.

Dated 8th May 1899.

With reference to the Endorsement from the Suptg. Engineer, II Circle, No.230 W, dated 29th April 1899, applying for the sanction of Government to the entertainment of gardeners for buildings occupied as residences in the Kistna Eastern Division, the undersigned has the honour to invite attention to the following G. Os, wherein it has been laid down that gardeners for residences should not be allowed at the expense of the State, and to state that the Suptg. Engineer's application cannot therefore be laid before Government :-

G.O.No.2923 W dated 30th October 1891
" " 1848 W dated 24th July 1894.

6.5.99
E. W.

To

The Suptg. Engineer, II Circle.

9/5/99

10/5/99

3/5

[P. W. 41. 1,500--24-3-99.]

Public Works (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 3464

2923 W
30.10.91
1868 W Ver 7.94

From The Superintending Engineer
II circle

Order

No. 230.W.

Dated 29th April 1899

Received
Registered 1.5.99

Enclosures

Spare copies

P.K.
Please put up the G.O.
deciding that gardeners for residences
should not be entertained
at expense of Govt.

2/5/99

Put up 8446/25.5.99

SUBJECT.

Statement of Gardeners to be employed in public buildings during 1899-1900 in Kistna Eastern Division.

Forwards for sanction the ——.

H.C/

o.L.S.76 R 2/5

BACK PAPERS.	
No.	Date.
1024 W	10-4-99
1848 W	24-7-94
2923 W	30-10-91

FURTHER PAPERS.	
No.	Date.

Statement showing the gardeners to be employed in Buildings occupied as residences during 1899—1900.

Item No.	Name of Building	Rate of pay	Remarks
1	Superintending Engineer's Quarters at Bezwada	7	
2	Head Assistant Collector's Quarters at Bezwada	7	
3	Executive Engineer's Quarters, Kistna Eastern Division, Bezwada	7	
4	Executive Engineer's Quarters, Kistna Northern Division, Bezwada	7	
5	Assistant Engineer's Quarters at Bezwada	7	

Executive Engineer
Kistna Eastern Division

No. 230 W dated 29th April 1899.

Submitted to the Chief Engineer P. W.
for favor of sanction with reference to G. O. N
1024. W. dated 10th April 1899.

Orig with C.W. 3250 Southn Divn on 28-4-99

Captn R. E
Offg Supdg Engineer II Circ

28/4/99

No. 86 S.E. of $\frac{26}{27}$.4.99.

From

The Executive Engineer
Kistna Eastern Division

To

The Superintending Engineer
~~the~~ Circle

Sir,

I have the honor to submit herewith the usual statement of Gardeners to be employed in Buildings occupied as residences during 1899–1900, for your approval and ~~obtaining~~ the sanction of Government.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
J J Wilson
26/4
Executive Engineer
Kistna Eastern Division

McB
HC
20/4/99