

Public Works (1899) Department.

✓ G.O., No. 1502 W

DATED 10th June 1899.

[Despatch Abstract.].

Travelling allowances to Officers of the
P.W.D employed on the Muthikolam
Project

Informing the Suptg Engineer, IV Circle,
that the sanction conveyed in L.O. 7064 dated
8th March 1897 in regard to — should not
be made retrospective

B

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

J. W.

In reply to the second para of the note by H. R. the Governor dated 3rd inst. it is submitted that ~~as yet~~ no authority has as yet been given by Govt. for abandoning the Mathakuluna project.

The Sup^r Inf. submitted a report recommending its ~~abandonment~~ on the 30th April last in which he recommended its abandonment and this report was not dealt with pending the receipt from the Revenue depart. of a report from the Collector on the subject. The latter was received on the 3rd inst.

As it will be necessary to ~~prove~~ beyond doubt that before recommending the project being given up it will be necessary to ~~prove~~ beyond doubt that water cannot be diverted to Coimbatore without great injury to the Bhavani project. This cannot be done.

until the revision
of the Blorani project
now in hand is completed.
The disposal of the
question regarding the
Mushukulum project
is therefore being over.

W.H.
23/6/99 27.6.99

H.E. the Governor

Submitted with reference
to His Excellency's letter of 3.5.99.

L.S.

W.H.
30/6/99

7 Flag A

W.H.
2.7.99

To be filed with the
original of G.O. 1502 w.d.
10 June 99.

W.H.
h.w.

0.5.27 11.3.10 12.4.7.

P.W. Dept.

C.No. 3200

Order thereon No. 1502 w.d.
10.6.99

The Supt. Engineer
is informed that for the
sanction conveyed in G.O.
706 w.d. 8 March 1899
should not be made re-
trospective.

W.H.
5.6.11 w

S.E. to Circle
80 2705 c.d. 13/6/99
To "S" u.o.

20 JUN. 1899
130

Please reply to H.E.'s
inquiry of 3.6.99 in
regard to the Project being
given up.

W.H.
5.6.11 w

11 955 w.d.
21/10 "S" in the file.

callings attached
2/10/99

Public Works (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 3200

H.E. the Governor

From The Supg. Engineer
4th Circle.

For Orders.

The usual rule is that a sanction takes effect from the date of receipt by the officer concerned. As this is a sanction by the Govt. of India, retrospective effect can be given to it only by that Govt. The Supg. Engineer points out that as the project has been given up, it hardly appears worthwhile to make the sanction retrospective.

No. 207
A.

Dated 15th April 1899.

Received

Registered 18.4.99

Enclosures

Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Travelling Allowance to officers of the P.W.D. employed on the Muthikolam Project.

Requesting to be informed whether the G.O. No. 706 of 28th March 1899 has retrospective effect regarding the —

L.S.

17/6
O.L.S. 27
25/6

22/4/99

1. I concur with the opinion expressed in the above note as to the rejection of the request to make the sanction retrospective.

2. I am not aware that this project had been abandoned. I request to be shown the authority for its being given up. A.S.H. 2.6.99

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
746W	8-3-99
2998-99W	23-11-98
495D	18-6-98
489D	16-6-98
925-6W	4-4-98
211D	14-3-98
239W	28-1-98
1501W	2-6-97
974 Rev.	5-3-95
684 Rev.	22-9-94
1072W	1-4-92
1401C	17-3-92
2165W	5-8-92
534W	19-2-92
3727C	26-10-96
902D	11-10-97
121 Rev.	10-1-98
7D	9-1-95
47D	18-1-94
813D	20-10-91
496D	9-9-90
127D	1-3-90
678D	12-11-88
	4 file

FURTHER PAPERS.

Letter from C. H. B. Burlton Esq
Executive Engineer Combators Division
to the Supg: Engineer 4th Circle dated
10th April 1899 No. 1641.

Referring to G. O. No. 706A dated
8th March 1899, communicated with
your endorsement No. 194 dated
23rd Idem, I have the honor to
request you will be good enough
to inform me whether the above
order carries with it retrospective
effect.

Page 207 of 15-4-99

Copy submitted to the Chief Engineer I.W. Department for favor of reply. Unless retrospective effect is given to the order it will be practically inoperative as the Project has been given up. On the other hand it hardly appears worth while to make it retrospective.

And much -

Supg. Engineer
4th Circle