Public Works (1899) Department.

G.O., No. 1127 W

DATED 17 April 1899.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Travelling allowance.

Stating that the Govt. will have no objection to the application of Article 1164(a) and 1183(a) C.S.R. to the establishment of the Light House Dt. if circumstances justify it & that each claim, in such cases must be submitted for the orders of Govt.

B

P. W. 3

Indexed. 3/5

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

Letter from the Supdt of Works, Lighthouse Dn No 1427 d 26 Novr 1898
Office note from the Exar, P.W. Acct, No 33 A/E d 9 January 1899

No: 1127 W d 27.4.99.

The Govt will have no objection to the application of Articles 1164(a) and 1183(a) C.S.R, to the Establishment of the Light House Division, if circumstances justify it. But the Govt must be satisfied, in each case, that circumstances ~~do~~ are such and each ~~justify it. Each~~ claim must ~~accordingly~~ be submitted for the orders of Govt.

18/4/99

C.S.

To
The S. of W. L. H. Dn
" Exar P.W. Acct.

D 27/4/99

(40–4,000–2-11-95.)

C. No 291

W

H.E.'s note of 16-4-99 will not meet the Supt's wishes. What Mr. Ashfield wants, apparently, is power to pass, on his own responsibility, allowances under Articles 1162(a) & 1183(a). H.E. says "I must be satisfied" &c. i.e. each case must come up to Govt. for sanction.

For orders whether the order thereon should be drafted in these terms.

R.S.
18 Ap 99

Yes. Mr. Ashfield must explain the circumstances of each case & submit for orders of Govt.

18/4.'99

For W

P. W. Department.
C. No. 9819/98 & 291/99.

Note for His Excellency the Governor.

The Superintendent's object in making a reference on this question was to obtain an interpretation of para (3) of G. O. No. 130* W dated 18th January 1898. It appears to me that conveyance allowance is permissible, if actual expense has been incurred for conveyance and also for maintenance of camp equipage, if a camp is really used or is necessitated by the nature of the subordinate's work. The Superintendent should satisfy himself on these points before passing any such allowances.

2. There are apparently no precedents.

AEK
27. 3. 99.

For W

* Flag Q

There will be no objection to the application of Sec. 1164, clause A and 1183 clause A, if circumstances justify it. But, I must be satisfied, in each case, that circumstances do justify it.

A.E.H.
6.4.99

1726

No: 1506 C of 13 March 99 P. W. Department

Endorsement

(1) Notes in the Chief Secretariat on P. W. D endorsement No. 339 C dated 17 January 1899 with file.

(2) Note for orders on P. W. D C No. 291 with file.

—

Referred to Mr Ashpitel (unofficially) for favour of remarks.

A L Russell.

Under Secretary to Govt.

No. 2268 dated 17 March 99.

To

F. W. Ashpitel Esquire with 2 files of papers to be returned.

C. S.

Conductor Cope and the Sub overseer at Mangalore are the only men at present who are likely to be affected by this question & my object in writing this office no. 1427 dated 26 November 98 was to obtain a definite ruling. I interpret G. O. no 130 W. dated 18 Jany 98 to mean that there must be head quarters at which no travelling allowance i.e. daily allowance should be drawn and not that conveyance allowance & actual expenses for maintenance of camp equipage are to be disallowed.

Conductor Cope in addition to his actual work at Mangalore (his Head Quarters) has to pay visits to Brickfields etc. and so far I have disallowed his claim for carriage hire.

Madras
17th March 99

17/3/99 Superintendent of works
Light House Division

H.S. 23/3/99 R 7/4/99

Public Works Department.

Read the following:—

Letter from the Superintendent of Works, Lighthouse Division, No. 1427, dated 26th November 1898.

Office Note from the Examiner, P. W. Accounts, No. 33 $\frac{A}{8}$, dated 9th January 1899.

Travelling allowances

Circulated to

His Excellency the Governor.

FOR ORDERS.

Flag Q

Can the Supdt. quote actual cases, in which there is a necessity for maintaining camp equipage, or in which a large amount of travelling at or near head-quarters, is likely to arise?

A.G.H.
19.2.99

Under para 3 of G.O. No. 130 W dated 18th January 1898, upper subordinates of the Lighthouse Division falling into the charge of more works than one are exempted from the ten day limit imposed by Article 1159 C.S. Regulations, i.e. they can draw daily allowance for more than ten days of a halt at one place. The sanction is, however, coupled with the condition that the men are to have fixed head quarters at which they will draw no travelling allowance at all.

2. The Superintendent of Works now asks, if this ruling means that the upper subordinates are not to

C.A.N.

[P. W. 43 / 500—14-11-98.]

Letter

to draw the conveyance allowance admissible under Article 1183(a) or actual expense of maintaining camp Equipage under Article 1164(a) of C.S.R. With regard to the former, conveyance allowance (amounting to Rs 30 per mensem in the case of upper subordinates of P.W.D) may be granted, if such subordinate has a large amount of travelling at or near head quarters. It is intended to cover the cost of keeping a horse or carriage or both, and is forfeited whenever daily allowance is drawn. The words 'no travelling allowance at all' were not, it is submitted, intended to prohibit the grant of this conveyance allowance, which is invariably given to all upper subordinates who are certified to fulfil the condition above quoted, and the Superintendent of Works may be informed that this conveyance allowance will be sanctioned on his certifying that the condition is fulfilled.

3. In like manner the upper subordinates in question may be permitted to draw the actual expense of maintaining camp equipage (apparatus for moving a camp) when it is necessary to do so, during a halt at head quarters of not more than 10 days, provided that if the camp equipage and the 'conveyance' for which allowance is granted under the last para are one and the same, they cannot draw both.

7 day 2

4. The other question raised by Mr Ashpitel is whether the concession granted to upper subordinates in G.O. No 130 W of 18th January 1898 may be extended to

(a) Sub divisional clerks doing duty with upper subordinates &
(b) lower subordinates.

5. These men when away from head quarters will be living under the same conditions as

as upper subordinates, and may perhaps receive equal treatment in the matter of daily allowance

I think they may.

A.H.D.
17.2.99

P.W.
291

Note for H.S.

The Supdt's object in making a reference on this question was to obtain an interpretation of para (3) of G.O. No. 130W dated 18th Jany. 1898.

It appears to me that conveyance allowance is permissible, if actual expense has been incurred for conveyance and also for maintenance of camp equipage, if a camp is really used or is necessitated by the nature of the subordinates' work. The Supdt. should satisfy himself on these points before passing any such allowances.

2. There are apparently no precedents.

21/3/99

Fair copy and send to

Public Works DEPARTMENT.

ing:-

nt of Works, Lighthouse Division

ted 26th November 1898.

ner P.W. Accounts No. 33 A/E

nuary 1899.

ing Allowances

DERS.

under para 3 of G.O. No

0W dated 18th January 1898,

pper subordinates of the

ight House Division falling

to the charge of more works

an one are exempted from

e ten day limit imposed by

rticle 1159 C.S. Regns, i.e., they

n draw daily allowance

or more than ten days of

halt at one place. The

nction is, however, coupled

ith the condition that the

en are to have fixed head

uarters at which they will

raw no travelling allowance

~~of any kind~~ at all.

2. The inquiry of the

uperintendent of Works must,

therefore, be answered in

the

as upper subordinates, and
may perhaps receive equa
treatment in the matter o
daily allowance.

W

Supt's No. 2268 d/17 Mar 99

H.E. asks.

(1) whether the Supt. can quote actual cases in which there is a necessity for maintaining camp equipage at head quarters, and

(2) cases in which a large amount of travelling at or near head quarters is likely to arise.

The only actual case mentioned is that of Conductor Cope who "has to pay visits to Brickfields, &c" – but it is not specifically stated that these visits involve, in the terms of Article 1183(a) C.S.R. "a large amount of travelling".

It is thought that the Supt's answer, which will have to be laid before His Excellency, should be made more precise.

No answer whatever is given to question (1) above.

R.S.

18 Mar 99

H.E.
therefore, be answered

Public Works DEPARTMENT.

READ the following:—

Letter from the Superintendent of Works, Lighthouse Division, No. 1427 dated 26th November 1898.

Office Note from the Examiner P.W. Accounts No. 33 A/E dated 9th January 1899.

Travelling Allowances

Circulated to

His Excellency the Governor.

Flag A

FOR ORDERS.

Under para 3 of G.O. No 130 W dated 18th January 1898, upper subordinates of the Light House Division falling into the charge of more works than one are exempted from the ten day limit imposed by Article 1159 C.S. Regns, i.e., they can draw daily allowance for more than ten days of a halt at one place. The sanction is, however, coupled with the condition that the men are to have fixed head quarters at which they will draw no travelling allowance ~~of any kind~~ at all.

2. The inquiry of the Superintendent of Works must, therefore, be answered in the

2.

The S of Govmnt notes if this ruling means that they upper subs are not to draw the conveyance allce

P. W. 43
500—14-11-98.

the affirmative.

3. The allowances at head quarters which the upper Subordinates in question lose under the operation of G.O. No 130 W dated 18th January 1898 are as follows

(1) Conveyance allowance Art. 1183 (a) C.S.R.

(2) Actual expenses of maintaining camp equipment. Art. 1164 (a) C.S.R.

4. The other question raised by Mr. Ashpitel is whether the concession granted to upper Subordinates in G.O. No 130 W dated 18th January 1898 may be extended to

(a) Sub Divisional clerks doing duty with upper Subordinates and

(b) Lower Subordinates.

5. These men when away from Head Quarters will be living under the same conditions as upper Subordinates, and may perhaps receive equal treatment in

in the matter of daily allowance.

admissible under Art 1183(a) or actual expense of maintaining camp equipage under Art 1164(a) of C.S.R. – With regard to the former, conveyance allowance, amounting to Rs 20 per mensem, in the case of upper subs of P.W.D. may be granted if such subordinate has a large amount of travelling at or near head quarters. It is intended to cover the cost of keeping a horse or carriage with which is forfeited whenever daily allowance is drawn. The words 'no travelling allowance shall' were, it is submitted, intended to prohibit the grant of this conveyance allowance, which is invariably given to all ~~the~~ upper subs who are compelled to fulfil the conditions above quoted the rest of the rules may be informed that this conveyance allowance will be sanctioned when certifying that the condition is fulfilled.

3. In like manner the ~~at~~ upper subs in question may be permitted to draw the actual expense of maintaining camp equipage (apparatus for moving a camp) when it is necessary to do so, during a halt of not more than 10 days at head quarters, provided that if the 'camp equipage' and the 'conveyance' ~~granted~~ in which allowance is granted under the last head are one and the same, they cannot draw both.

R.S.

Resubmit

Encd
GoR

Public Works (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 291
~~261~~

Order

From

The Examiner P.W. Accounts,
Madras & Coorg

No. 33 $\frac{A}{2}$

Dated 9th January 1899.
Received
Registered } 10. 1. 99
Enclosures 1
Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Travelling allowance — Furnishes further information in connection with drawing at Head Quarters of — by the Upper & Lower Subordinates of the Light House Dn.

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
130-131W	18.1.98 S.C.
215W	27.7.96 S.C.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

OFFICE OF THE SUPT. OF WORKS, LIGHT-HOUSE DIVN.,
Egmore, Madras,

Dated 26 November 1898.

EXAMINED
P. W. ACCOUNTS
5-JAN. 1899
No.

No. 1427

From

F. W. ASHPITEL, Esq.,
SUPERINTENDENT OF WORKS,
LIGHT-HOUSE DIVISION,
Madras,

To

THE Chief Engineer
P. W. Department

SIR,

With reference to para 3 of G. O. No. 130 W. dated 18th January 1898 I have the honor to enquire (1) whether the ruling that the upper subordinates are not to draw "travelling allowance at all" at fixed head quarters means that they are not to draw conveyance allowance (article 1183 (a) Civil Service Regulations) or actual expense of maintaining camp equipage (article 1164 (a) C S R) and (2) whether exemption from the operation of article 1159 C. S. R. may be allowed as well to sub divisional clerks doing duty with the upper subordinates and to lower subordinates.

× pages 155 & 156 file 150 (C)

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt. servant,

F W Ashpitel
Superintendent of works
Light House Dn.

C 25.11.18.

GWS.1
29/55

Public Works (1898) Department.

No: 44C d/ 3rd Jany: 99

Office to W

To C

For favour of remarks —

22.12.98

~~Order~~

CURRENT No. ~~9819~~ 291/59

EXAMINED
P. W. ACCOUNTS
5 - JAN. 1899
No.........................

From the Supdt. of works, Light House Dn. Madras.

No. 1427

Dated 26th November 1898

Received
Registered } 28-11-98

Enclosures

Spare copies

No. 33 A/E dated 9th January 1899.

To

W.

Under para 3 of G.O.No.130 W dated 18th: January 1898 Upper Subordinates when at Head Quarters can ~~not~~ draw "Travelling Allowance" at all and as this term is used in the Civil Service Regulations includes the allowances referred to by the Superintendent of Works Light House Division the orders have been strictly followed-

2. With regard to the exemption of clerks and Lower Subordinates from the operation of Article 1159 Civil Service Regulations, the sanction of Government in

SUBJECT.

Travelling allowance —

Requesting certain instructions on the ruling about — to be drawn at head quarters by the upper & lower subordinates of the Light house Dn.

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	D

in the Financial Department will be necessary vide also my O.N.No.1466 A/E dated 17th: December 1898. It is for Government -- however to consider whether any general sanction should be given, or whether the Superintendent of Works may not be asked to submit each case for orders.

* CWD 10487
sub-bw
on 6/1/99

8 2/1/99

J. E. L. 9.1.99
For C.
9/1