

Public works
Ministry (1899) Department.

✓ G.O., No. 1024

W

DATED 10th April 99

11/4/99

[Despatch Abstract.]

Establishment

The Continued Employment. During 1899-1900
of gardeners on the 6 per mensem for the office of
the Executive Engineer, S. Arco's, Santhome;
and the Superintendent Engineer, ~~Santhome~~ in power
that the sanction of Govt. to the employment
of gardeners should be obtained annually.

260
14

B.

Ld 11/4

The continued employment, during 1899-1900, of a gardener, on Rs. 6 per mensem, for the office of the Executive Engineer, South Arcot division, sanctioned; and the Superintending Engineer informed that the sanction of Government to the employment of gardeners should be obtained annually.

No. 1024 W., 10TH APRIL 1899.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Establishment.

READ—the following paper :—

From C. A. SMITH, Esq., Executive Engineer, South Arcot Division, to the
Superintending Engineer, V Circle, dated 16th February 1899, No. 107 S.E.

With reference to your No. 604 S.A., dated 28th September 1898, I have the honour to request that you will be good enough to obtain the sanction of Government to the continued employment of a gardener on Rs. 6 per mensem for the office of the Executive Engineer, South Arcot division, during 1899-1900.

2. The necessity for the employment of a gardener was fully explained in this office No. 652 S.E., dated 10th September 1897, and as his duties are the same from year to year, and their proper performance is full work for one such menial servant, I have the honour to request to know whether in a case of this nature, the first sanction accorded by the Government may not be considered as sufficiently operative for audit purposes till the employment lasts and remains unabridged.

3. I am of opinion that employments of the kind under reference are quite different from those of watchmen for tools and plant, vacant buildings, rest-houses, &c., for which Government have ruled for special reasons that their sanction must be renewed every year in each case, and would therefore recommend that this kind of work establishment after first sanction by the Government, should be allowed to be entertained by the Executive Engineer without imposing the necessity of an application for renewal of sanction every year and subject to the same limitations and control of the Superintending Engineer as in the case of the establishment of anicut lascars, &c., charged to works on a duly sanctioned estimate.

No. 126 W.

Copy submitted to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, for favour of obtaining the sanction of Government to the continued employment of a gardener on Rs. 6 per mensem for the South Arcot Divisional office during 1899-1900.

2. Sanction for the employment of the gardener during 1898-99 was accorded in G.O., No. 2447 W., dated 21st September 1898.

3. Orders are solicited on the point raised by the Executive Engineer in paragraph 2 of his letter. The undersigned is of opinion that the first sanction should be considered sufficient as it will save a great deal of unnecessary reference to Government.

24th February 1899.

(Signed) C. C. RAWSON, Col., R.E.,
Supg. Engr., V Circle.

No. 1024 W., 10TH APRIL 1899.

ORDER—No. 1024 W., dated 10th April 1899.

The continued employment, during 1899-1900, of a gardener, on Rs. 6 per mensem, for the office of the Executive Engineer, South Arcot division, is sanctioned.

2. With reference to paragraph 3 of his endorsement read above, the Superintending Engineer will be informed that the sanction of Government to the employment of gardeners should be obtained annually.

(True Extract.)

Under Secretary to Government,

To the Superintending Engineer, V Circle.
" other Superintending Engineers for information.
" the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd. Shaik Karim.

P.W. DEPARTMENT.

Read the following:—

Endorsement from the Superintending Engineer,
V Circle, No 126 W dated 24 February 1899.

Circulated to

ORDER.

No: 1024 W 8 10.4.99.

The continued employ-
ment during 1899-1900, of a
gardener, on Rs 6 per mensem,
for the office of the Executive
Engineer, South Arcot Division,
is sanctioned.

2. With reference to para 3
of his endorsement read above,
the Superintending Engineer
will be informed that the
sanction of Government to
the employment of gardeners
should be obtained annually.

AK
7.4.99

To The S.E. V Circle
Other Suptg. Engineers for
information.
The Examiner, P.W. Accts.

1,000-14-11-98.

21/4/99

great

READ the following:—

1765
40 10 24 2
Endt from the Suptg. Engineer, V Circle No. 126 w dy
24 Feb 1899.

As per as
originally
written

Circulated to His Excellency the Governor
The Hon. Mr. A. J. Arundell C.B.
ORDER.

W Please see H. L's remarks
in the draft order sent to him
for approval, and say whether
this order as originally drafted
may issue.

May

Dr.
well

W The order, as originally
drafted, did stand, unless just
with to make any reference of gardeners, should be
later in H. L's remarks. Dr.

To The S. E. V Circle 6/6/99
" other S. E's for infor-

mation.

The Continued employ-
ment, during 1899-1900, of a
Gardener, on Rs. 6 per mensem,
for the Office of the Executive
Engineer, South Arcot Division,
is sanctioned.

2. With reference to para 3
of his endorsement read above,
the Suptg. Engineer will be

informed that the sanction
when given
should be
obtained from the
Suptg. Engr.

16.2.99. 17/3/99

Note: This Gardener is employed
as a watchman also. Sanction
to his entertainment in previous
years.

years was accorded in G.O.S
2447 W d/ 21-9-98 & 3129 W
d/ 10-11-97.

2. The Executive Engineer
raises the question whether,
in cases of this kind, he
cannot employ the men with
the approval of the Suptg.
Engineer, if the first enter-
tainment has been sanctioned
by Govt. As it is deplorable
that the employment of
men of this class should be
subject to careful scrutiny,
it is proposed to inform the
Suptg. Engineer that the
sanction of Govt. should be
annually obtained to the
entertainment of the men.

AK
16.3.99.

W
17/3/99

This may be allowed as
the annual sanction
is more or less a formality
once the sanction has been
given by Govt.

Note This is based on G.O. No.
1328 W d/ 17-5-93. (Fly 2.)

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Read the following:—

Endorsement from the Superintending Engineer,
V Circle, No. 126 W d/ 24th February 1899.

Circulated to

His Excellency
the Governor.

ORDER.

The continued employment,
during 1899-1900, of a gardener,
on Rs 6 per mensem, for the
office of the Executive Engineer,
South Arcot Division, is
sanctioned.

2. With reference to para 3
of his endorsement read
above, the Superintending
Engineer will be informed
that the employment of
gardeners when once sanc-
tioned by Government
may rest with the Superin-
tending Engineer.

The necessity for annual sanction is a
useful check. It must be maintained.
The G.O. should be altered accordingly.
Sanction is given for 1899-1900.

A.G.H.
30.3.99

The Suptg Engr. V Circle
Other Suptg. Engineers
for information.

The Examiner, P. W. Accounts

[P. W. 4
1,000-14-11-98.]

W
21/3/99

Note

NOTE

2. This gardener is employed
as a watchman also. Same
allowance to his entertainment
for previous years was allowed
in G.O. 2447 W dated 21st
September 1898 and 3129 W
dated 10th November 1897.
3. The Executive Engineer
raises the question whether,
in cases of this kind, he
cannot employ the man
with the approval of the
Superintending Engineer,
if the first entertainment
has been sanctioned by
Government. This may
be allowed as the annual
sanction is more or less a
formality once the sanc-
tion has been given by
Government.

21/3/99
H

23/3/99 R5/4/99

Public Works (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 1765

331W

From The Supg. Engineer
5th Circle.

Order

No. 1264

Dated 24th February 1899.

Received
Registered } 1-3-99

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Gardener and his
manum —

Requesting that the
sanction of Government
may be obtained for the
continued employment
of a — for the Ex. Engr's
office, Cantonment Area
during 1899-1900 —

HC

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
331W	2-2-99✓
2551W	4-10-98✓
2447W	21-9-98✓
2462C	10-5-98✓
3129W	10-11-97✓
3073W	5-11-97✓
3958C	22-9-97✓
1567W	10-6-97✓
8849	29-10-95✓
1848W	24-7-94✓
1328W	17-5-93✓
2923W	30-10-91✓

FURTHER PAPERS.

From C. A. Smith Esquire, Executive Engineer,
South West Division, to the Supy:
Engineer, v. Circle, dated 16th Feb'y 1899,
No. 107 S.F. -

With reference to your No: 604 S.A.
of 28-9-98, I have the honour to request
that you will be good enough to obtain
the sanction of Government to the conti-
-nued employment of a gardener on Rs 6 per
month for the Office of the Executive
Engineer South Arcot Division during 1899-1900.
Yours faithfully,
for the employ-

The necessity for the employ-
ment of a gardener was fully explained
in this Office do: 652 S.E. of 10-9-97, and as
his duties are the same from year to year,
and their proper performance is full work
for one such menial servant, I have
the honour to request to know whether
in a case of this nature, the first sanc-
tion accorded by the Govt. may not be
considered as sufficiently operative for
audit purposes till the employment
lasts and remains unabridged.

3. I am of opinion that em-
ployments of the kind under reference
are

are quite different from those of watch-
men for Tools and Plant, vacant
buildings, rest-houses etc for which
Government have ruled for special
reasons that their sanction must be
renewed every year in each case, and
would therefore recommend that this
kind of Work establishment after
first sanction by the Government
should be allowed to be entertained by
the Executive Engineer without im-
-posing the necessity of an application
for renewal of sanction every year
and subject to the same limitations
and control of the Superintending Engineer
as in the case of the establishment
of ancient lascars etc charged to works
on a duly sanctioned estimate.

No 126W

Copy submitted to the Chief
Engineer P.W.D. for favour of obtain-
-ing the sanction of Government to the
continued employment of a gardener
on

on Rs 6 per mensem for the South Arcot
Divisional Office during 1899-1900.

2- Sanction for the employment of
the gardener during 1898-99 was accorded
in G.O. No: 2447 W of 21-9-98.

3- Orders are solicited on the point
raised by the Executive Engineer in
para 2 of his letter. The undersigned
is of opinion that the first sanction
should be considered sufficient as it
will save a great deal of unnecessary
reference to Government.

Ch. Lawrence
24/2/99 Colonel P.E.
Supty. Engineer,
V. Circle.