

Public Works (1898) Department.

G.O., No. 533 W

DATED 2nd March 1898

[Despatch Abstract.]

Reut. sanctioning the recommendation of the
S.E. Civil that - be removed at annas 8 per
maensem for the Old Municip's Court house
at Soorappauchavadi South Arcot DC
for the period it was occupied by a Euro-
pean styled Constable.

2.
2.3.58

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	760C		8				
	9.2.98						
	3389W						
	1.12.97						

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Public Works (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 1689

Rest cur
8574 1689

No

Order

No. 533W of 2-3-98.

The recommendation From The Engineer, P.W. Dept.,
in the Supply and is sanctioned. works

On

From
28/2/98

No. 541B/A

Dated 22^d February 1898

Received 23.2.98

Enclosures 23

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

District Munsiff's Court
house at Sinappachavadi
— stating that the Local
Govt may sanction the reduced
rate of rent for the —

S.B.V. cur

for the

2/3/98

760C

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

P. W. D. FORM No. 61.—(outer sheet).

Cladrino PROVINCE.

Examiner's Audit Note on the Accounts of the London Anti Division for the month of October 1897.

No. of Item.	Remarks by Examiner.	No. of Item.	Replies by Executive Engineer.	No. of Item.	How disposed of.
	<i>June Hundred notes for sundry 197 - 189 -</i>				
66	The circumstances now explained as to the conclusion of the Building will be a sufficient warrant for applying the sanction of Government for the reduced rate of rent and please apply for the same. We cannot accept the sanction of our officer of another department. <i>- Smart -</i>		<i>(Signed) Mr. H. Benthall for Transmitter, P.W. accounts. / See extract /</i>		
			<i>S. H. Comiling, Executive Engineer 8.1.98.</i>		

NOTES.

Reference to P. W. D. Code.

Chapter XIV, sec. ii, para. 45.

EXAMINER'S OFFICE
P. W. D. Form No. 61.
10 FEB. 1898
10 JAN. 1898
PROVINCE.

Examiner's Audit Note on the Monthly Divisional Accounts.

NOTES FOR GUIDANCE OF DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTANTS.

1. In this form will be entered all points noticed in the accounts as requiring attention or further explanation from the Executive Engineer, and which do not require to be referred to the Superintending Engineer in the Divisional Statement of Objectionable Items (Form No. 39). The accounts are taken up and examined in the order given in the List of Accounts (Form No. 31), and the Audit Notes should follow the same order, as far as possible, the name of the Return being entered first and the remarks made upon it in regular succession.

2. All remarks should be briefly but clearly worded, and the rule under which an exception is taken or a demand made should be quoted, as far as possible, in each case, so that the Executive Engineer may rest satisfied that no unnecessary trouble is given.

3. Irregular and excess expenditure can, in certain instances, be passed upon the authority of the Examiner; but it is not intended that such expenditure shall be passed without explanation, satisfactory to the Examiner, being given, or without its being demanded, if not given. Such remarks as "the excess is within the Examiner's power to pass" are not to be accepted. This may be a warrant for the Examiner passing an excess, but is not a reason for an Executive Engineer incurring the excess.

4. All returns which require an explanation are to have an intelligent explanation given, and if an Executive Engineer forwards a return incompletely filled in, it is to be returned to him to be properly completed, or the necessary explanation obtained.

5. It is not enough to rest content with repeating the same remark, month after month, for the removal of any irregularity; if the irregularity is not at once stopped, or the objection removed, a special letter is to be written to the Officer concerned, and, as a rule, the matter should receive the personal notice of the Examiner.

6. There is a great tendency when inconvenience is experienced for want of information, for want of classification, and from various other causes, to direct an officer to pursue a particular course "in future." The fact being overlooked that such an order may result in changing the system of accounts, in adding a new form, or modifying an existing one; it generally does result in adding work for the future. Remarks of this nature are not to be made thoughtlessly and on the spur of the moment, but with very great care and deliberation.

7. All items not fully explained or finally disposed of will be marked as such and brought forward in subsequent Audit Notes under a distinct head.

IMPERIAL—CIVIL WORKS—		
Civil buildings	Rs.	3,000
PROVINCIAL—CIVIL WORKS—		
Civil buildings	Rs.	53,000

and the Examiner intimates that a charge of Rs. 500 made by the Executive Engineer under Imperial is transferred to Provincial, to which Fund it is properly debitable, the entries in the Executive Engineer's book would be as follow:—

IN THE CASH ABSTRACT BOOK.		
	IMPERIAL.	CIVIL WORKS.
	Civil buildings.	PROVINCIAL.
	Rs.	Civil buildings.
	Rs.	Rs.
Ad—	3,000	53,000
Corrections advised by Examiner	—500	500
TOTAL	2,500	53,500

The corrected figures would then be posted into the Cash Account-current and into the Imperial and Provincial Schedules of Expenditure.

6. Similarly a correction affecting, say, the charge to "Miscellaneous advances," would have to be carried through the Abstract book, Account-current and Schedule of Miscellaneous advances; and so on in other cases.

6. Corrections which do not affect the Accounts-current will not be entered in the Abstract books, but only in the particular documents affected. Thus, on a work, the necessary correction would be made only in the Schedule of Expenditure, the Work voucher, and the Register of Works.

7. As a rule, the accounts to be corrected are clearly indicated by the Examiner in the Audit Notes, and the Divisional Accountant should rarely be at a loss how to carry the corrections through his books.

8. Accountants must understand that their advancement and increments depend on the manner in which their work is sent up to the Central office, and the manner in which instructions issued to them by the Examiner are carried out. Delay to reply to an Audit Note may at times be unavoidable, but neglect to comply with instructions therefor, which involves a reiteration of those instructions in subsequent Audit Notes, is inexcusable.

From. A. G. Romilly Esq., Exce
Engineer, South Arcot. Divi
to the Supt. Engineer & line
Cuddalore, 8 January 1898, ho

In forwarding an extra
The Examiner's audit note on the ac
of the Division, I have the honour to
- form you that the old District
- Siffi Court at Soorappanaiten Cha
(ordered to be sold vide G.O. No. 3359
of 1-12-97) had been abandoned, and 878
to take care of itself. One Lurshay
European head constable took up the
lodgings there of his own accord, and
on this matter coming to notice it was
proposed to charge rent, and at the inst
instance of the District Superintendent
of Police, Lurshay was charged a rent
of annas 8 per mensem, that being the
rate of rent which according to the Supt.
head constables occupying Police lines
had to pay. Had the head constable

1. In the
quiring att
which do
Divisional
taken up at
31), and the
name of the
regular suc
2. All
which an
possible, it
no unnee
3. Irre
upon the
penditure
being give
"the exce
This ma
reason fo
4. Al
explanat
ly filled
sary exp
5. I
month a
not at ca
to the t
al notie
6. Inform
an offi
that
a new
work
lessly
ration
7. such

that rent would be demanded he
not have occupied it at all.

The Examiner insists that
the formalities prescribed in P.W. Code
I, Chapter I, para 28 for fixing the
of habitable houses should be observed
the case of the building in question,
I doubt whether his view is correct.
whether a statement giving data
the calculation of rent is at all
necessary in the case of this building,
which is and has been in a neglected
condition and unfit for occupation.

Encls: - 1 Extra
from Exr's audit note

No.
Copy submitted to the Chief
Engineer P.W.D. with the recommendation
that in the circumstances reported by the
Executive Engineer, South Arcot, sanction
of Government may be obtained for
the recovery of rent at the rate of Annas 8
for the old Municipi's Court House at Soorap.
- pen Charadi for the period during which
it was occupied by European Head constable
Lurshay.

[Signature]
22/1/98

Colonel R.E.

Supy. Engineer & Secy.

Jan'y 1898.

Public Works (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 857
1682/98

EXAMINER,
P. W. ACCOUNTS.
10 FEB. 1898
No.

No. 750 dated 9th February
1898

Order O.U.

T-C

For favour of
remittance.

From
5/2/98
S.D.

From Free Supg Engineer,
V. C. C.

No. 482

Dated 22nd January 1898

Received 31.1.98

Enclosures /

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Old District Municipi's
Court house at Soorap.
- pen Charadi -

Requesting to obtain
the sanction of Govt.
for the recovery of
rent at 8 annas
per mensem for the
period, occupied
by Mr. Lurshay -

Dec 2
1897

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

KEEP-WITH

CURRENT No.

dated

189 .

GOVT. }
OF MADRAS. }

1898.

E. No .27.
OC—6-9-97.

P. W.
DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 22^d February 189⁸.

OFFICE NOTE No. 541 OB/A

To

W.

Your Office Note No.760 C dated

9th. February 1898.

Under the circumstances now brought to notice, viz that the building was occupied for a period of 4 months and 24 days only; that it was in a neglected condition and unfit for occupation and that it has been ordered to be sold, the Local Government may sanction the reduced rate of rent for the period above referred to without the formalities required by Code I. Chapter X, para 28 II, i-e, without calling for a data statement.

62.2.98

•

APK
22/2/98

Jan'y 1898.

Suppy. Engineer V. Leirick.

