S.W.

~~Marine~~ (1898) Department.

G.O., No. 2766 W

DATED 28th October 98

Jamie

[Despatch Abstract.]

Trans: Their Despatch → Embodying the Statement of the Cost of ——— to Govt — on the Civil Debts of the Madras Govt → for the year 1897-98, herewith.

D.

31/10

[W. 6.
2,000—23-10-98.]

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

P.W. Current No: 8784

Government {1898} of Madras.

FINANCIAL.

2287 W 3.9.98

Recd. 22.10. *Regd.* 22.10. } 1898.

Enclosures -

Spare copies 11

G.O., No. 880, 12th October 1898.

No: 2766 W 28.10.98

B

OCTOBER.

Recorded.
A.H.
25.10.98.
D.W.

To
The Secretary, P.W. accts with thanks
" C.E. for Irrigation etc. (4 Copies)
& Board

Famine.

Recording statement of the cost of — to Government in the Civil Department of the Madras Presidency in the year 1897–98.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following paper :—

Statement of the cost of the Famine to Government in the Civil Department of the Madras Presidency in the year 1897–98.

Statement of the Cost of the Famine to Government in the Civil Department of the Madras Presidency in the year 1897–98.

Major head of account.	Charges, direct and indirect.				Revenue.								Authority for any estimates which enter into the statement.
					Estimated increase of revenue.				Estimated loss of revenue.				
	Imperial.	Provincial.	Local.	Total.	Imperial.	Provincial.	Local.	Total.	Imperial.	Provincial.	Local.	Total.	
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
I. Land Revenue ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	59,000	59,000	6,05,000	2,02,000	1,881	8,08,881	These figures have been furnished to me by heads of departments under the orders of Government.
IV. Stamps	..	..	..	..	18,525	55,575	..	74,100	..	..	..	..	
V. Excise	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	5,25,000	1,75,000	..	7,00,000	
VI. Provincial Rates ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	59,000	59,000	..	..	45,319	45,319	
VIII. Assessed Taxes ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	5,000	5,000	..	10,000	
IX. Forest	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	75,000	75,000	..	1,50,000	
X. Registration ..	..	..	..	..	1,17,970	1,17,969	..	2,35,939	..	..	..	..	
XIX. Education	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	3,460	3,460	
XXV. Miscellaneous ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	2,681	2,681	
XXXII. Civil Works ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	15,508	15,508	
Total ..	..	..	..	..	1,36,495	1,73,544	1,18,000	4,28,039	12,10,000	4,57,000	68,849	17,35,849	
3. Land Revenue	..	1,36,153	11,587	(a) 1,47,740	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	(a) Exclusive of an increase of Rs. 2,36,000 over budget in the charges for "Searches in registration offices" which is met by an increase in the receipts under X. Registration.
5. Salt	6,771	2,256	..	9,027	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
9. Customs	..	335	..	335	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
10. Assessed Taxes	2	2	..	4	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
11. Forest	1,359	1,359	..	2,718	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
12. Registration	539	539	..	1,078	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
15. Post Office	..	1,695	..	1,695	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	The figures under the expenditure heads have been taken from the books of this office.
18. General Administration ..	598	24,874	958	26,430	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
19A. Law and Justice—Courts of Law.	..	9,999	..	9,999	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
19B. Law and Justice—Jails.	..	1,175	..	1,175	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
20. Police	..	43,717	..	43,717	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
22. Education	..	2,398	2,178	4,576	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
23. Ecclesiastical	101	..	..	101	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
24. Medical	..	211	19,866	20,077	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
25. Political	..	41	..	41	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
26. Scientific and other Minor Departments.	..	69	..	69	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
30. Stationery and Printing ..	..	306	..	306	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
32. Miscellaneous	..	49	243	292	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
33. Famine Relief	6,37,456	13,46,000	5,00,000	24,83,456	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
43. Minor Works and Navigation.	..	42	..	42	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	Pending final orders as to the amounts to be recovered from Local Funds, the expenditure has been distributed between Imperial, Provincial and Local as shown in the respective columns—*vide* paragraph 8 of G.O., No. 670, Financial, dated 5th August 1898.
45. Civil Works	..	..	768	768	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Total ..	6,46,826	15,71,220	5,35,600	27,53,646	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	

No. 4452.

To the Comptroller-General, Calcutta, with reference to his letters, No. 1626, dated 11th November 1897, and No. 826, dated 15th August 1898.

(Signed) T. HESKETH BIGGS,
Accountant-General.

No. 4453.

Copy forwarded to the Chief Secretary to Government, Financial Department, with reference to G.O., No. 986, Financial, dated 26th November 1897.

Fort St. George,
27*th September* 1898.

(Signed) T. HESKETH BIGGS,
Accountant-General.

Order—No. 880, Financial, dated 12th October 1898.

Recorded.

(True Extract.)

A Edgington

for *Chief Secretary.*

To the Revenue Department.
" Local and Municipal Department.
" Public Works Department.
" Accountant-General.

Exd. W. D'Moss.

Public Works (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 5862

Order

From The Supp. Engineer
4th Circle

No. 368/W

Dated 10th July 1898

Received

Registered 14.7.98

Enclosures 7 (1 Plan)

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Wire fence to Government Compound Containing Public offices at Tirupattur in Salem District.

Resubmitting estimate for erecting a plain — together with one for a mud wall & filed copies with the opinion

O.S. 2247 & 2382

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
2685 W	21-9-96
2409 C	6-5-98
1299 W	22-6-96
2922 W	15-11-94
2151 W	31-8-94
1762 W	13-7-94
1501 W	18-6-94
739 W	17-3-93
358 W	9-2-93
2540 W	12-9-92
2432 W	10-9-91
1409 W	29-5-89

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

No. 368/C

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE,
4th Circle.
Coimbatore 10th July 1898

From,
A. H. Garrett Esq
~~The~~ SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
4th Circle.

To
The Chief Engineer
P. W. Department

Sir,

ENCLOSURES X.
2 Detailed Estis
2 Abstract o
1 Report
1 Tracing
1 Statement
5

I have the honor to resubmit the estimate returned with your No. 2409 C dated 6th May 1898 together with an estimate for a mud wall and tiled coping.

2 In the latter estimate the Executive Engineer has not allowed for gates. The cost of wooden gates may be put down at Rs 80, so that by having a mud wall instead of an iron fence there would be a saving of Rs 440.

3 When good earth is obtainable I much prefer a mud wall to a wire fence

"When good earth is obtainable" mud walls may do. General Revision is against them

Put up with C.E. No. 5540 dated 15 W 12-7-98

fence. The latter gets out of order in a very short space of time and requires constant attention.

4 In the present case, however, it has to be remembered that 1320 Running feet of wire fencing have already been purchased as explained in the report.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obed.t servant

Sup.g Engr 4 Circle

S.D.S.
8/7/98

Public Works Department.

No. 2409c dy 6-5-98

Memorandum.

The undersigned is directed to return the estimate of Rs. 1800, for erecting a plain wire fence to the compound containing the Public offices at Tirupatur in the Salem District, received with the letter from the Supty Engineer IV circle No: 80 W dy 13th February 1898, and to request that an estimate for a mud wall may be submitted instead of that for a plain wire fence.

2. The opinion of the S.E. is also requested as to the advisability of substituting stiffening piers for wire fences in all cases. ~~as to the practicability of doing without a material increase in cost.~~

To The S. E. IV circle with plan and estimate (5) dc. 7/5/98

To be returned to S.E.W. after issue.

SE/W 6/5/98.

ASR

2-5-98

With reference to the 2nd para of the above

7/5/98

2-5-98

radically unsound. It is not every locality where wood and mud walls can be made, and in any district in the Presidency they are liable to tumble down during the prevalence of monsoon rains. If they are so very suitable as these figures would indicate, why is it they are so little used in civilized countries? The soundest policy is to determine each case by the local conditions, the relative cost of fencing & walls, & the question whether the cost is justifiable. Of course walls are best in all cases, but I doubt believe in mud walls except where facilities for building them, & other walls are far more expensive than fencing. The I branch might send up a note giving rate per 100 ft for

Masonry walls
Mud walls with wood uprights
Wire fencing

Vide G.O. No 3291 W. dated 24-11-97. Also Comml's No. 6467 & 6723 of 1897.

ADK 29.4.

and Public Offices of Council.

Ten.

In G.O. No 2685 W & 11 Sept 96 a wire fence was sanctioned for the compound of the public offices at Tirupatur in the Salem district.

The Collector however preferred a mud wall as less costly & less costly to repair but the Board recommended the wire fence.

The fence is now estimated to cost 1800 Rs (vide P.W.D. draft sent for concurrence).

In G.O. No 554 W. 28th Jany 97, a mud wall with tiled coping was ordered in lieu of a wire fence for the Collectorate Offices at Tindivanam. From the P.W. enclosed in the file it would appear that the P.W.D. then reported that a wire fence

P.W. DEPARTMENT.

Papers [illegible] edings:—

Again G.O., dated 189 , No.

Again G.O., dated 189 , No.

Current No. 1448 of 1898, dated 13th Feby 1898 , from the S.E. IV circh

" " " 189 , " , "

" " " 189 , " , "

Note by the Chief Engr. P.W.D dy, Sd/ Superseded

ORDER.

The estimate, amounting to Rs 1.800, for erecting a plain wire fence to the compound containing the Public Offices at Tirupatur in the Salem District, is sanctioned in lieu of the provision of Rs 770 made for the work in the estimate of Rs 45.000 sanctioned in G.O. No 1299W dated 22nd April 1896 for a first class Taluk Office. The latter estimate will thus be raised to Rs 46.030.

2 Attention is drawn to the Chief Engineer's note recorded above.

3 This sanction has been registered as Provincial No W of 1897-98 and classified as "Civil Buildings, Original Works".

By order, [signature] 24.3.98

Note. This estimate has been dealt with in

To the S.E. IV circh with 10 enclos
Escort, P.W. Accts
Revenue Dept.

[P. W. 4. 500—27-1-98.]

To the Secy to Govt Revenue Dept for favour of Counter sanction

To

Item taking short cuts & making paths across the grounds as ale directions.

It is submitted that a mud wall might be substituted for the wire fencing at the Tirupatur - the subject of the present draft order.

[illegible] 15/4/98

I think a mud wall should be substituted.

JS 25/4/98

To do I. wire fences are difficult to erect & maintain & local labour have not half sufficient as walls - Stoned for rather for the expense, if necessary (1) Mr. McCrone (2) Mr. Thompson usually it is not, of a brick, or stone, and chun mole, than hedge wire fences.

RS 27/4/98

To D.P.W. 40.

[illegible] 28/4/98

the accompanying technical note.

W 28.3.98

P.W. Dept.

Note by the Chief Engineer, P.W.D.

In the revised estimate of Rs 45.000 sanctioned in G.O. No 1299 W dated 22nd April 1896 for the construction of a first class taluk Office at Tirupatur in the Salem District, a provision of Rs 770 was made for a plain wire fence round the building with a gate. On a representation by the Collector and the Board of Revenue that a wire fence might be erected so as to enclose the Taluk cutcherry as well as the other public offices within the Compound, orders were issued for the submission of the necessary plan and estimate vide G.O. No 2685 W dated 21st September 1896. The Supdg Engr. IV circle, accordingly submits an estimate of Rs 1.800 for the work and this estimate provides for Shani's fencing.

D.W/W

The

28/3/98.

The Chief Engr considers that this should be substituted by Martins fencing, the cost being practically the same, the latter superior to Shaws

E. W. 25-3-98

Maj

Cl. W.S. 25/3/98.

T. I dont like Shaws fencing. The standards always either bend, break or get pulled up - what owner the cost amount to if Martins fencing, were used instead → (note that the quotation for Martins fence includes gates)

Dr. £1960 instead of 1800 vide calculations attached

E. W. 8-3-98. T. 8-3-98

Dr. By using Martins fence the costs will be as under :-

2470 Rft of Martins 6 line fencing with 345 oval galvanized iron posts & wrought iron straining posts with gates &c complete at £2000 per mile.	£ 936.
12 additional straining posts for corners, &c. 16 required, of which 4 will be available under the ratio of £2000 at £26 each post	312
2 heavy field gates at £58 each inc. standing posts &c complete	116
7 minor gates with posts complete at £35 each	245.
Fencing & rail chances for Boundary	100.
Repairing above hedge & levelling ground.	45.
total £	1754
deduct for one gate & one hand gate with sweeps	111
	1643
add for Contingencies &c.	117
total Estimate	1760

E. W. 8-3-98

Public Works Department.

Current No. 1448

Letter No. 80/W

Dated 12th Feb 1898

From the Supg Engineer 1st Circle.

NOTE BY TECHNICAL SECTION.

Name of work Taking Office at Tirupattur.

Amount of Estimate £ 1800.

W for orders

I propose to substitute Martins fencing for Shaws -see Richardson & Cruddas p. 248- the cost will be practically the same - vide noting T. herewith

SROM 8/2/98

approved E. W. 8-2-98

With reference to Govt. W. 2685 W dated 21st Sept. 1896, the SE 1st Circle, submits for sanction, an estimate amounting to £ 1800, for erecting a plain wire fence to the Govt. compound containing Public Offices at Tirupattur.

The fence will be of shaws patent No. 2 fences, 4 feet high with 5 lines of plain wire &c. for a length of 2470 feet, fitted with straining posts &c. complete and laid on two sides of the compound adjoining the public roads, — the existing aloe hedge with the small portion of walling on the other two sides will be retained, as they are reported to be in good order.

Provision has been made for only two iron gates at places marked B & B on the survey plan and not for the other places marked P P in pencil, leading to buildings.

[P. W. 60 250—30-9-97.]

Provision has also been made for removing the above hedge adjoining the public [illegible] wonder & for levelling the ground [illegible]

The details agree with those R & C Quotations. (Richardson & Cruddas)

The cost of the fences is proposed to be distributed between the various Depts occupying buildings within the compound & the charge is based on the plinth area of the buildings occupied by each Dept.

The estimate has been countersigned by the Collector of the District.

[illegible]
7-3-98

Public Works (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 1448

From The Supt. Engineer
to the Circle

Order

No. 80
W.

Dated 13th February 1898

Received

Registered 16.2.98

Enclosures 8 & 10 (Plan)

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Taluq Cutcherry at Tirupatur

Submits for sanction of Government plans & estimate amtg. to Rs 1,800 for putting up a plain wire fence to the Government Compound of the —

1/ for sanction
[illegible]
21-2-98

[illegible]
6.5

[illegible]
18 Mar/98

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
2685 W	21-9-96
1299 W	22-4-96
367 W	9-2-93

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Tirupatur Municipal Council, 9/24th November/97

Present

× × × × ×

(2) Read letter Ref on Cos: 2737/cur dated 10th November/97. from the Collector of Salem requesting to be informed whether the council is willing to meet the share of the cost of putting up a wire fence in the compound wall as per enclosed statement.

Resolution.

The council has no funds during the current year.

× × × ×

sdj T.V. Gopalaswami Iyer
chairman.

/True Extract/

sdj K. Narasinga Rao.
for chairman.

/True copy/

sdj
for Ag Collector.

/True copy/

Executive Engineer
Salem Dt.
26-1-98.

"Encl: No 7 to letter No 80" Municipal Office, Tirupatur.
5

Letter from M.R.Ry T.V. Gopalaswamichetty Avl B.A.
chairman, Municipal council, Tirupatur to the
Collector of Salem; No. 1977/Engg dated 27th November/97.

With reference to your letter Ref on No. 2787/Cur dt/10th Inst.,
I have the honor to inform you that the Council
has no funds during the current year for con-
tributing towards the cost of putting up a wire
fence for the compound containing public
offices.

2. a copy of the council's resolution accompanies.

Endorsement No. 2787/Cur dated 1-12-97.

Communicated to the Executive Engineer
Salem Dn with reference to his letter No. 864
dated 19.10.97. Other replies will be forwarded on
receipt.

Sd/
For Ag Collector.

To

The Executive Engineer
Salem Dn

/True Copy/

Executive Engineer
Salem Dn
20-1-98.

"Enc to: No 8 to letter No 80"
dt

Extract from the Proceedings of the District Board Salem, passed at the meeting held on the 26th November 1887.

Present

(* * *)

Read letter from the Collector of Salem Reg No 2737 dated 10th Instant requesting to inform if the Board is willing to contribute Rs 19 being its share of the cost of wire fencing the Compounds containing the public buildings at Tirupatur (C. S. Cuddapah Office)

Resolution

Resolution

The Board has no objection to contribute their share Rs 19 if agreeable to the Govt.

Sd/ C. H. Mathews
President

(True extract)

No 1824 Dated 29th November 1897. Despatched 3-12-97

Communicated to the Collector, the Executive Engineer, and the Treasury Deputy Collector Salem for information

(Sd) S. Mr. Moosman Sahib
for President

No 2737/Cu dt 7.12.97

Endorsement

Endorsement

Communicated to the Executive Engineer Salem Division with reference to his letter no 864 dated 19th October 1897.

(Sd)
for Dy Collector.

(True copy)

Executive Engineer
Salem Division

"Encl: to letter No 80"
5

No 14

District Court, Salem
Dated 8th January 1898

From.

W. J. Tate Esqr.
District Judge,
Salem.

To

The Collector of Salem.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No 2737 dated 10th November 1897, I have the honor to inform you that there is no Budget provision for the Courts in this District for putting up a wire fence, but I will ask the High Court to sanction the amount by transfer.-

I have &c

14/1/98 Sd/ W. J. Tate
District Judge

(True copy)

Ref 2737 Cust Endorsement dated 10 January 1898
Communicated to the Executive Engineer
Salem Division. Sd/ S Ramachandra Iyer
For Ag Collector

(True copy)

26-1-98 Executive Engineer

"Enclosure No 6 to letter No 80" Office of the Supdt of Police,
W Salem District
11th January 1878.

From

E. S. B. Stevenson Esqr
Deputy Inspector General of Police
in charge of Salem District.

To

The Collector of Salem.

Sir,

In continuation of this office Ref
on C No 2463/Genl dated 19th November 1877 I have
the honor to inform you that the Inspector
General of Police has sanctioned in his
letter No 4632 dy 15th December 1877 the wire
fencing of the Police lands of other depart-
-ments on the understanding that the
cost to be borne by this Department does
not exceed Rs 32.

I have &c

Signed E. S. B. Stevenson
Dy Insp Genl of Police
in charge of the Dist

Endorsement 2737 dy 20-1-98
Cnk

Communicated to the Executive Engineer

Salem Division

(Signed) S Ramachandra Iyer
For Ag: Collector

True copy

Executive Engineer

26-1-98

No. 80/W

Supty: Engrs Office 1st Circle
Coimbatore 13th February 1898.

From,

A. H. Garrett Esq.
Supty: Engineer 1st circle.

To,

The Chief Engineer
Public Works Department.

Sir,

Encls: 8.
1. Estimate.
1. Report.
1. Tracing.
1. Statement.
4. Copies of replies of various Departments.

Adverting to G.O. No. 2685 W. dated 21st September 1896. I have the honor to submit herewith for sanction of Government a plan and an estimate amounting to Rs: 1800 for putting up a plain wire fence.

I also enclose a statement prepared in Communication with the Collector showing the distribution of the cost between the various Departments based on the plinth area of the buildings belonging to each.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
your most obedt Servt

Supty: Engineer 1st Circle