

PLW
Marine (189) Department.

G.O., No. 2731 *W*

DATED 21st Oct '98

[Despatch Abstract.]

Engineer Student *O.S. Ramaswami Aiyar*

*Granting a leaveance of Rs 15-
 per month to ——— for the term of his practical
 course in the Calcutta Dy.*

22/10

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Read.

Mean:

(1) S. 9's ho. $\frac{422^\circ}{\omega}$ d/3 Aug. 98

(2) C's " 1004 $\frac{1}{2}$ " 22 " " "

(3) " " 1084 $\frac{1}{2}$ " 14 Sep " "

(4) " " 1184 $\frac{1}{2}$ " 8 Oct " "

From The Examiner, P. W.

Auto. Major

Order

No. 2731 W 21.10.98

Order

A choice allowance
at the rate of Rs 12 per mensem
will be admitted to the
English Students in
question for the period
of his practical course
in the Combarlow Dis-
sem, under the terms of
the Govt of India sanction
communicated with No
3082 wd of 29 November 1893.

20

Date
Rece
Ret
En
Sp

No. 1004 $\frac{A}{E}$

Dated 22nd August 1898

Received

Registered

Enclosures 2

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

O. S. Ramaswamy Aiyer.

Engineer Sub. Student —————

stating that the Will allow-
-ance claimed by — will
require the sanction of the G. G. S.

R.S.

The S. EW Circle
" En Plwa


o. L. S. 341

C.S.
R^s/9
*My climate
for Mr's
store file
J.E.
130 days*
Relates
New York
off
7/3573C

FURTHER PAPERS.

Public Works (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. ⁸⁴⁰⁰~~7700~~



D
No. 10000, 29th September 1898.
La B

It is not a question of applying to the Govt. of India for sanction, under Article 1183(a) C.S.R., but ^{Order} whether the sanction already given by the Govt. can with effect be acted on. To assume, as you do in your office letter to the Secy to Govt. of 22nd Aug 88, that it is only during the second year of training that horse allowance can be drawn is not so far as I can see reasonable.

From The Examiner, P. W.
Acad. Madrid

No. 1084 $\frac{A}{S}$

Dated 14 September 1898

Received
Registered 16. 3. 38

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

It is advisable whether Horse all advance to Engineer
he is put on works in his Students — States that he
second or any other year.

Please inform whether you are still unable to take the view of the sanction - otherwise, a reference to the Local Govt to the — provisionally pending its approval by the G. Govt.

* ndef 03082wa/29
Nov 1893 O.L.S 391 Page 137 file 148 II

BACK PAPERS.

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.
38980	6-9-98
35730	12-8-98
34680	8-8-98
538	20-4-98
1671W	18-6-97
15340	10-4-95
1823W	20-7-94
3082W	29-11-93

The Govt. of India will become necessary on a point which seems perfectly plain.

W 23-9-98

No. 1184 $\frac{A}{E}$ dated 8th October 1898

To. W

On reconsideration I accept the views stated by you above. The Government of India's sanction already accorded may be acted on.

Rel 8.10.98
Dy. C. in Charge

Rel

G.O. No. 3082 W dated 19th. November 1893.


29?

KEEP-WITH

CURRENT No.

dated

189 .



GOVT. OF MADRAS. }

189⁸

E. No. 27.
1,000-6-9-97.

{ P. W.
DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 14 September 189⁸

OFFICE NOTE No. 1084 $\frac{A}{E}$

To

W.

Your Office Note No. 3899 C dated 6th. September 1898.

Under the circumstances mentioned, should the Madras Government sanction Conveyance allowance to Engineer students (subject to the conditions laid down in article II83 C.S.R) when employed on inspection of works, I will accept the sanction provisionally pending confirmation of the action of the Local Government by the Government of India to whom a report should be submitted.

per Mr. 8th 11/10/98
Page 700
7th 11/10/98

10-14.9.98
C.

14/9/98

No. 3899C

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Madras, 6-9-1898.

OFFICE NOTE.

From

W

To

C

Your office Note No: 1002^A
dated 22 August 1898.

The horse allowance to
Engineer Students authorised
in G.O. No: 832 Educational
dated 13 November 1893 was
for the period of training on
"Works." The Government of
India used the term "Second
year's practical course" because
there was then a first year
which was spent in Workshops.
One year's practical course
has been abolished, but the
year of training on "Works"
still remains, and there is
not the least doubt that
the

6/9/98

7/9/98

[P. W. 48.
240-23-2-37.]

cated in G.O. No. 3032 dated 1898

November

25/8/98
8408/50

the allowance was intended
to cover the maintenance of
a pony when students were
compelled to do a large
amount of travelling at
headquarters in the inspection
of works. The circumstances
have in no way altered by
the abolition of the Workshop
course and there appears to
be no reason why the G.O.
should not be still in force.

Please reconsider the
point.

(Sd/-) W B de W

W

KEEP-WITH

CURRENT No.

dated

189 .

E- No. 27.
1,000-16-5-98

GOVT.
OF MADRAS. }

1898.

{ P.W.
DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 22 August

1898.

OFFICE NOTE No. 1004 $\frac{A}{Z}$

To
W.

Your Office Note No. 3573 C dated
12th. August 1898.

The Engineer student was attached
to the Coimbatore Division for a period
of only 25 days, or probably less. He
was relieved in the West Coast Division
on the afternoon of the 30th. June on
transfer to Coimbatore Division, and
was again relieved in the latter Divi-
sion on the afternoon of the 25th. July
on transfer to the Consulting Architect's
Division for completion of his equi-
tation course. Under E.W's No. 3438 C
dated 8th. August 1898 he will probably
not return to Ootacamund.

2. The proposal of the Superin-
tending Engineer to grant the Engineer
student a Hill allowance will require
the sanction of the Government of India,
so also the Executive Engineer's pro-
posal to grant him a conveyance allow-
ance, as the orders of India communi-
cated in G.O. No. 3032 W dated 29th.

November

November 1893 do not apply seeing
that the practical course in Madras
has since been curtailed, and the
Engineer student is undergoing his
first and only practical course.

22.8.98

22.9.98

No. 3898C of 6-9-98

P.W. Department.

3898C attached

Memo.

With reference to the
endorsement from the
Superintending Engineer,
TV Circle, S-422 W dated
3rd August 1898, the
undersigned has the honour
to state that the grant
of Hill allowance to Engineer
Student V.S. Ramaswamy
Aiyar will require the sanc-
tion of the Government of
India and that it is not
considered necessary to
refer the question to that
Government, as the student
was only 25 days on the
Hills.

note there are
2 issues here
in two files

CS

W. S. S. S.
E. S.

May

The S. E. TV Circle

INDEXED

7/9/98

Cus 7029

OW

This is an application from Engineer Student O.S. Ramaswami Aiyar, who was posted for duty under Mr. Somers for a bill of Rs. 25 in addition to his subsistence allowance of Rs. 25 per mensem.


The Ex. Engr. in forwarding the application remarks that he looks upon the pay given as an assistance only and not as a remuneration, & he would prefer that student should be allowed travelling allowance for a long when necessary.

The rules do not provide for the grant of bill allowance to students, & the Engr. reports that any such allowance will require the sanction of the Govt. of India -

There is no doubt, as remarked by the Ex. Engr.,

20/7/98 2/11/98
Public Works (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 6541



2/11/98
No. 3573C. d/12 August 98.

Order O. u.

To C

For favour of
Remarks.

APR
12.8.98.
OW

Cu

From The Supp. Engineer
4th Circle.

No. 422
W

Dated 3rd August 1898

Received }
Registered } 6.8.98

Enclosures ✓

Spare copies ✓

SUBJECT.

Bill allowance to
O.S. Ramaswami Aiyar
Engineer Student

Recommends the grant
of — as the request appears
reasonable.

FURTHER PAPERS.

Ootacamund
23 July 1898

From

O. S. Ramaswami Aiyar,
Engineer student.

70

J. F. Somers. Exec Eng.,
Executive Engineer,
on special duty.

Sir

I beg that the sanction of Government may be obtained for granting me a suitable hill allowance in addition to the subsistence allowance of Rs 25 per mensem which sum I have found quite insufficient to maintain myself in such a cold and hilly station as Ootacamund.

2 As far as I am aware, no students before me were posted to this station for practical training, and in fixing the subsistence allowance at Rs 25 it is improbable that Government would have taken into consideration such special cases.

that the subsistence allowance granted to ~~these~~ students is only an assistance. There is no question of exemption, for they are still students completing their prescribed course, whatever work they may do only helps them towards obtaining their college diplomas.

The ~~the~~ student quotes as a precedent, the case of the men who were in the III circle in 1897, and received a famine allowance in addition to the subsistence allowance. The case of those men was quite exceptional. They were working in famine stricken districts & could not maintain themselves on the subsistence allowance.

With regard to the Engr's suggestion that ~~any~~ allowance should be granted to students when necessary, it will be

seen that G.O. No. 832 of 13.11.93 authorizes the grant of house allowance at Rs 15 per mensem to

Engineer Students when Govt. considers it advisable -

The Examiner considers that this G.O. is no longer in force, because the R.G.D. mentioned the allowance for the second year of practical training, whereas now there is only one year of such training, the course having been curtailed.

It is submitted that the Examiner is not right on this point. Formerly the "works" course for students was known as the 2nd year of training, as the first year was spent in the P.W. Workshops. The workshops course has been abolished, but the "works" course still remains. The ~~any~~ allowance was authorized for the period of training on works -

It happens that this particular student

was only 25 days at ~~Delaware~~,
and even hardly worth
while to raise the question
of the grant of an extra
allowance to him. He left
only for lecture on 25th
July - to undergo an
equitation course, which
probably remain in the
C.A. division for the rest
of the year -

The general question
of the grant of house allow-
ance to Engineer students should
however be further argued
with the Examiners, as there
may be other cases in which
such a grant may be
very necessary.

The S.S. IV circle
might perhaps be told
that it is not considered
necessary to consider the
question of an extra
allowance to Student O. S.
Ramanamuni ^{under training} as the
was only 25 days ~~on duty~~
on the hills -

The following draft
of a note to the Ex-
is submitted for
approval: -

The house allow-
ance to these students autho-
rized in G.O. no 822 G.O.
of 13.11.93 was for the
period of training on "works".
The Govt. of India used the term
"about year's practical course"
because there was then a
first year which was spent
in Workshops. One year's
practical course has been
abolished, but the year
of training on "works" still
remains, & there is not
the least doubt that the
allowance was intended to
cover the maintenance of
a pony ~~and~~ when students
~~are~~ ^{were} compelled to do a
large amount of travelling
as had workers in the
inspection of works. The
circumstances have in no
manner been altered by the abolition
of the Workshops course

of them appears to be no
reason why the S. should
not be still in force.

Please reconsider ~~the~~
the point -

London.

W

The O. N. to C
might incl. A

The reply to P. W. as pro-
posed by S. A. 10 above.

AK

10.8.98.

Ho

1.9.98

7700

CAW

This paper has now
developed itself into a
question of travelling
allowance, & I therefore
leave it to you for
disposal -

There is also in
the file, Cus 7101,
which you asked
me to return to you
with C. reply to office
note no 3899C of
6.9.98 -

In his office note
no 1004 of 22.8.98,
the Sec gave it as his
opinion that as there
allude to Eng. students
was mentioned by
the L. of S. for the
second year of their
practical course, &
as there is now no second

08/6/98
1/300

year, a great number
from India named as
obtained to the front
of the alley during the
single year of practical
training.

This seems to be
hair splitting pure
& simple, for the
alley was manifestly
not given ~~for~~ for-
tunately for the 2nd year,
but for the year in
which the students
are employed on
works.

After viewing
our note no 3899 C
of 6.9.98, the Govt.
changes front, &
says that this Govt.
may sanction a
convergence alley under
Art-1183 C.S.R., but
that the sanction should
be confirmed by

the G. I. D.

It seems in-
comprehensible why
the sanction conveyed
in G.O. no 832 G.I. D
13.11.93 should not
still be acted on -
The Govt. thinks not
because the order of
the year ~~is~~ has
been altered, but
he does not seem to
consider that the
object of the alley
remains exactly the
same.

In the papers
recorded in G.O. no 1823W
of 20.7.94,
the G. I. D. refused
to extend the concession
of horse alley to
business Subordinate
Students, but said
that travelling alley
might be granted

to such students when
undergoing their second
year's practical course
when necessary.

The Eng. master
with equal reason
refuse to have travelling
allowance to subordinate
students, & ask for
a fresh sanction from
India, because there
is now no precedent
for it, but apparently
this point has not
struck his office.

The Eng. Dept
Chief does not con-
sider India's sanction
to be affected by
the curtailment of
the practical course,
for in the revised
rules of the College
last issued (No.
530 of 27.5.94
para 14) provision
is made for the

grant of travelling allow-
ance to students under
training on works.

It is almost
certainly the Eng. Dept.
did not also insert
a clause about the
grant of leave allowance
to Eng. students,
but the omission
must be a pure
oversight, for
the point has not
been ^{mentioned} ~~inserted~~ in
any previous set
of rules.

Aug 7/101 refers
to the grant of a
monthly allowance to
an Engineer Subordinate
student - de Ho.
No 18234 of 20.7.94
The Govt. distinctly
declined to concede
the concession of

of home allies to make
 students, ~~and~~
 that they should
 get travelling allies
 only. Students U.S.
 Subordinaries
 can't therefore
 be granted a month's
 allies, ~~can~~ only
 be given actual
 expenses of travelling
 travelling, not counting
 the allies for 3 class
 office, if he can
 make out a bill
 for the same.

to the Engineer class. Cyl 17-8-98

Ferguson made
 a mistake in informing
 you that officers with
 LTC Lt 3899 C 57
 6.9.98 related to
 the front of home
 allies to Engineer
 Subordinate students
 H-related being

08/5/98
 1/300