Public Works

Marine (1898) Department.

G.O., No. 269 W

DATED 17th October 98

[Despatch Abstract.]

Respectfully Regrets

Acknowledgement of ——
in the account of Executive Engineer Umaing
amended to end of June 1898, 1 Circle.

B.

[ M. 6.
2,000—23-10-98. ]

D 15/10

# BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | |

# FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | |

## NOTES.

**Reference to P. W. D. Code.**

*Chapter XIV, section ii, paras. 61 to 63 and 65.*

1. The object of this Return is to place before Government an analysis of all shortcomings in matters of financial regularity in the operations of each month, with a view to their being remedied. It should be submitted to the local Government on the 1st day of the third month succeeding that to which the accounts relate, and should be drawn up separately for each fund and branch.

2. The statement will be posted from the Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items (Form No. 39) and Schedules of the Divisions concerned. The statement need not be delayed in order to embody the remarks of the Superintending Engineers if these do not reach the office in time. It is desirable, however, that the Superintending Engineer's opinion should be recorded, as far as may be practicable, and in order to ensure this being done, the Divisional Statement of Objectionable items should be checked immediately on receipt and sent to the Superintending Engineer for his remarks before the audit of the other accounts is proceeded with.

3. All Executive Officers who have failed to submit their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items with their Monthly Accounts, as well as those whose statements are *generally incomplete*, should be specially brought to the notice of Government under "General Remarks" at the end of the statement.

4. Items of irregular expenditure, which are left unincluded by Executive Engineers in their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items, should be distinctly noted as such, either in a separate supplementary statement or in the original statement in red ink.

5. Columns under all the heads should be filled up in each case, so as to bring out clearly where estimate or appropriation exists, and where it does not.

6. In the case of large projects such important features as the following should be stated with precision :—

A. Lump sum estimate.
B. Abstract detail estimate by sub-heads, and so on.
{1. Submitted to Chief Engineer.
2. Submitted to Supdg Engineer.
3. Not yet submitted.

7. A large work which is proceeded with on merely a lump sum sanction is still objectionable till an abstract and detail estimate by sub-heads is submitted and sanctioned for it by competent authority.

8. Special mention should be made of works being carried out in portions by authorities, beyond whose powers of sanction it would be to sanction the expenditure as a whole.

9. An important point to notice is the duration of a serious irregularity.

10. Voluminous masses of detail, when their practical significance could be given in a ... ss, should, as a rule, be avoided. If, for instance, the state of matters ... sanction in one month does not materially differ from that of a preceding month, it will answer all practical purposes to say so, stead of sending another full report.

11. Too much stress can never be laid on the fact that this statement is to take the place of Special reports, then and there, of works on which ...ous irregular expenditure is being largely incurred, either without estimate or appropriation.

12. The entries in the statements should be made by Circles and Divisions so as to enable the form for Divisional registers of objectionable expenditure to be done away with.

13. Serious delays in the submission of completion reports should also be reported in this statement.

14. In the column "Orders of Local Government" in the office copy of statement, against those items which have been remedied, the number d date of the order or sanction removing the objection should be entered.

15. An extract from this statement of all the more serious irregularities hould be submitted to the Accountant General, Public Works Department, nd all those items which have to be specially brought to his notice should e marked against them in the column "Orders of Local Government." the office copy, "Accountant General, Public Works Department," in red k.

16. This statement, as also the extract referred to above, will be accomanied by an abstract of the objectionable items unremedied in Form 62B.

24/11 W dy 20.9.98

P. W. D. Form No. 62 A.

Madras PROVINCE.

I Circle

BRANCH— Buildings & Roads

FUND— All Funds

MONTH— June 1898

Rec'd 30-9-98

## Statement of Objectionable items in the accounts of Executive Engineers remaining unremedied to end of the month.

*No.*

*Dated the* 29-September *1898.*

Submitted to the Secretary to the Government of Madras.

in the Public Works Department.

With orders

C. G. P.—No. 311 P W—14 3 96—4000.

Estimates

P. W. D. Form No. 62 A
(Outer sheet.)

## Statement of Objectionable items in the accounts of Executive

| Item No. | Circle, Division and Description of work. | Month in the accounts of which objectionable expenditure first appeared. | Comparison with estimate. Amount of estimate. | Expenditure up to date. | Excess over estimate. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. |
| | 45 Civil Works Provincial<br>Want of Estimate<br>Vizagapatam Division | | | | |
| 1 | Maintenance of Sitkavalsa Koraput road for 1897-98 | Augt 97 | | 10497 | 10497 |
| 2 | Constructing quarters for the officers at Koraput | Sept 97 | | 1380 | 1380 |
| 3 | Improvements to the buildings in the Lunatic Asylum at Waltair | March 98 | | 657 | 657 |

3 96--4000.

PROVINCE.

P. W. D. Form No 62A.
(Inner sheet.)

## Engineers remaining unremedied to end of June 1898.

| Comparison with appropriation. Amount of appropriation. | Expenditure of the year. | Excess over appropriation. | Miscellaneous irregularities. | Expenditure during the month. | Opinion of the Superintending Engineer with final remarks by the Examiner. | Orders by Local Government or Administration. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | | |
| | | | | | 1<br>Orders of Government in Provincial objectionable Items Statement for April 1898 were—<br>"The attention of the superintending Engineer is drawn to the orders against this item in the objectionable Items statement for March 1898 supplementary in view to the objection being removed without further delay." | B/Sec't No. 2698 W/3 17.10.98<br>1 & 2<br>The items have been outstanding for a long time. Very early arrangements should be made to regularise the expenditure in each case. |
| | | | | | 2 & 3<br>Orders of Government in Provincial Obj: Items statement for April 98 were—<br>"Arrangements should be made to expedite the submission of Estimate | 3<br>S.E should arrange to submit an estimate with the least possible delay. |

P. W. D. Form No. 62A.
(Inner sheet.)

Madras

PROVINCE.

P. W. D. Form No 62A.
(Inner sheet.)

## Statement of Objectionable items in the accounts of Executive Engineers remaining unremedied to end of June 1898.

| Item No. | Circle, Division and Description of Work | Month in the accounts of which objectionable expenditure first appeared. | Comparison with estimate. Amount of estimate. | Expenditure up to date. | Excess over estimate. | Comparison with appropriation. Amount of appropriation. | Expenditure of the year. | Excess over appropriation. | Miscellaneous irregularities. | Expenditure during the month. | Opinion of the Superintending Engineer with final remarks by the Examiner. | Orders by Local Government or Administration. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | | |
| | 45 Civil Works Provincial Want of Estimates Viz Agency Pattah Division | | | | | | | | | | Estimates for these works." | 4/ Very early |
| 4 | Maintenance of Sikat Vaka Koraput Road | April 98 | | 5074 | 5074 | | | | | 2543 | Orders of Govern- ment in Provincial objections stated in Statement for April 98 were:— "Early removal of the objections is desirable | 5/ The estimate has been received and is under Consideration |
| 5 | Fixing iron palisading to inner and outer circle of Central Jail Rajahmundry | March 98 | 12500 | 1469 | 1469 | | | | | | Orders of Govern- ment in Provincial objections stated in Statement for April 98 were:— "Steps should be taken to remove the objections at early | 6/7 The completion reports of these works are under Consideration |
| | Excess over Estimate Original | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | Constructing a combined office for Stationary and Town sub magistrate at Berhampore Completion | Augt 95 | 14400 | 15294 | 894 | | | | | | 6 to 10 Orders of Govern- ment in Provincial objections stated in Statement for April 98 were:— "The | |

J G P—No. 265 P W—5 11 97—6,000

P. W. D. Form No. 62A.
(Inner sheet.)

Madras

PROVINCE.

P. W. D. Form No 62A.
(Inner sheet.)

## Statement of Objectionable items in the accounts of Executive Engineers remaining unremedied to end of June 1898.

| Item No. | Circle, Division and Description of Work | Month in the accounts of which objectionable expenditure first appeared. | Comparison with estimate. Amount of estimate. | Expenditure up to date. | Excess over estimate. | Comparison with appropriation. Amount of appropriation. | Expenditure of the year. | Excess over appropriation. | Miscellaneous irregularities. | Expenditure during the month. | Opinion of the Superintending Engineer with final remarks by the Examiner. | Orders by Local Government or Administration. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | | |
| | 45 Anl Works Original Excess over Estimate Ganjam | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Constructing a court and record rooms and verandas attached to the Deputy Tahsildar's office building at Parlakimidy (Completed) | March 97 | 6500 | 7604 | 1104 | | | | | 2543 | "The attention of the Supdg. Engineer is again drawn to the inordinate delay in the submission of the completion reports for the two works. Stringent orders should be issued to the Divisional officers concerned to lose no time in the preparation and the objections to these items. Orders of Government on Provincial objectionable items statement for April 98 run:— "The completion report is under consideration" | 8 to 10 The submission of the completion reports should be expedited and the causes of delay explained. |
| 8 | Proposed additions and improvements to the Divisional building attached to the Collector's cutchery at Cocanada (Completed) | Dec 96 | 12500 | 14692 | 2192 | | | | | | | |
| 9 | Constructing Revenue Inspector's quarters at Tadepalli (Completed) | Dec 97 | 2945 | 3499 | 554 | | | | | | | 11 The completion report is under consideration |
| 10 | Constructing 2nd class Tahsildar's cutchery at Kovvur (Completed) | March 97 | 35270 | 37629 | 2359 | | | | | | | |
| 11 | Vizagapatam Division Constructing a road from Potnuru to Korapat (Completed) | March 92 | 280205 | 309068 | 28863 | | | | | | | |

P. W. D. Form No. 62A.
(Inner sheet.)

Madras

PROVINCE.

P. W. D. Form No 62A.
(Inner sheet.)

## Statement of Objectionable items in the accounts of Executive Engineers remaining unremedied to end of June 189[illegible].

| Item No. | Circle, Division and Description of Work | Month in the accounts of which objectionable expenditure first appeared. | Comparison with Estimate. Amount of estimate. | Expenditure up to date. | Excess over estimate. | Comparison with Appropriation. Amount of appropriation. | Expenditure of the year. | Excess over appropriation. | Miscellaneous irregularities. | Expenditure during the month. | Opinion of the Superintending Engineer with final remarks by the Examiner. | Orders by Local Government or Administration. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | | |
| | 45 Civil Works Executive Viz. Agency Division | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | Constructing 22 Police huts at Koraput | August 96 | 7560 | 8727 | 1167 | | | | | | "The attention of Orders of Government in Provincial objectionable Items Statement for April 98 invites the Executive Engineer further delay in the removal of the objection to this item." | 12 & 13 / The completion reports have been returned to the E.E. for resubmission with full information |
| 13 | Constructing 22 huts for the Dalrik Police at Jeypore | Sept. 96 | 7100 | 8870 | 1770 | | | | | | 13. Orders of Government in Provincial objectionable Items Statement for April 98 invites "This item has been outstanding for about two years. The Supg. Engineer should arrange to submit the completion report without further delay." | |

J G P—No. 265 P W—5 11 97—6,000

P. W. D. Form No. 62A.
(Inner sheet.)

Madras

PROVINCE.

P. W. D. Form No.
(Inner sheet.)

## Statement of Objectionable items in the accounts of Executive Engineers remaining unremedied to end of June 189 8.

| Item No. | Circle, Division and Description of Work. | Month in the accounts of which objectionable expenditure first appeared. | Comparison with estimate. | | | Comparison with appropriation. | | | Miscellaneous irregularities. | Expenditure during the month. | Opinion of the Superintending Engineer with final remarks by the Examiner. | Orders by Local Government or Administration. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Amount of estimate. | Expenditure up to date. | Excess over estimate. | Amount of appropriation. | Expenditure of the year. | Excess over appropriation. | | | | |
| | | | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | | |
| | Court of Wards | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Want of Estimate Establishment | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Kasam Kabbi Estate | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Vizag Agency | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | Clearing silt in Kasbah Channel | March 98 | | 715 | 715 | | | | | | 14 Orders of Government in Provincial objectionable Items statement for April 98 were:- "Very early removal of this objection is awaited" | 14 There seems to be no further delay in removing the objection to this item. |
| | Vizagapatam Divisional Miscellaneous Irregularities | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Irregular payments of Municipal Tax. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Building | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Unsatisfactory Establishment | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 15 | Reading room included in C.B. Vr. No. 29 of February 96 for Rs 38-14-0 | Oct 96 | | | | | | | 38-14-0 | | 15 Orders of Government in Provincial objectionable Items statement for April 98 were:- "No further delay should be allowed to occur in removing the objection to this item." | 15 This item has long been outstanding. No further delay should be allowed to occur in removing the objection |
| 16 | Outlay in excess of Contribution debited to Miscellaneous Establishment for Landing. | | | | | | | | | | 16 Orders of Government in Provincial objectionable Items statement for April 98 were:- "Early | 16 Early recovery is awaited |
| | Kimati Tank Co Railway affecting tank | April 95 | | | | | | | 120-12-1 | | | |

C G P—No. 265 P W—5 11 97—5,000

P. W. D. Form No. 62A.
(Inner sheet.)

Madras

PROVINCE.

## Statement of Objectionable items in the accounts of Executive Engineers remaining unremedied to end of June 1898.

| Item No. | Circle, Division and Description of Work. | Month in the accounts of which objectionable expenditure first appeared. | Comparison with Estimate. | | | Comparison with Appropriation. | | | Miscellaneous irregularities. | Expenditure during the month. | Opinion of the Superintending Engineer with final remarks by the Examiner. | Orders by Local Government or Administration. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Amount of estimate. | Expenditure up to date. | Excess over estimate. | Amount of appropriation. | Expenditure of the year. | Excess over appropriation. | | | | |
| | | | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | | |
| | Delay in the submission of vouchers drawing [illegible] September 96 S.D. No. [illegible] | | | | | | | | | | "Early recovery is awaited." | |
| 17 | [illegible] | | | | | | | | | | 17 Orders of Government in Provincial objectionable items statement for April 98 were:— "The superintending Engineer should arrange to submit the vouchers to the Examiner without further delay." | 17 The submission of the vouchers to the Examiner should not be delayed. |
| 43 | [illegible] | April 97 | 32-8 | | | | | | 35-8-9 | | | |
| 92 | 188 E | Dec 97 | 33-4 | | | | | | 33-4-11 | | | |
| 101 | 29 E | | 150-13-3 | | | | | | 150-13-3 | | | |
| 18 | Vizag agency and Pinnacotta Want of sanction of Government to debit the Completion work charges if [illegible] trials at site | | | | | | | | | | 18 Orders of Government in Provincial stationery statement for April 98 were:— Orders on the Completion report (which is under check) will settle this question. | 18 This will be dealt with along with the completion report which is under consideration |
| 18 | Completing Poling to Kosapet Road (Vide item 21 of Transfer Report on the relief of Accountant on R.R. Section by M.R.R. Govindarajulu Nairu) | Nov 96 | | | | | | | | | | 19 The objection to this item should be removed without further delay. |
| 19 | Want of sanction of Government for the purchase and stationery for Famine Relief Dispensaries | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Cost of stationery supplies to Famine Relief dispensary | April 98 | | | | | | | 12-7-4 | | 19 Orders of Government in | |

P. W. D. Form No. 62A.
(Inner sheet.)

Madras

8

## Statement of Objectionable items in the accounts of Executive

| Item No. | Circle, Division and Description of Work. | Month in the accounts of which objectionable expenditure first appeared. | Comparison with estimate. | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Amount of estimate. | Expenditure up to date. | Excess over estimate. |
| | | | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. |
| | Want of sanction of Government for the entertainment of watchmen. | June 98 | | | 33-0-0 |
| 20 | 1 Watcher to look after the Police Assistant Superintendents quarters at Narsapatam at Rs 5. p.m. | June 98 | | | 5-0-0 |
| 21 (a) | Godavari Eastern Division delay in adjustment of items outstanding in the schedule of stoppages Mrs. V. A. Brodie Collector of Godavari. Steamer charges outstanding from Sept 97 | Janj 98 | | | 166-8-0 |
| (b) 23 | Repairing Steamer Sophy by Workshops outstanding from September 97 | do | | | 320-2-0 |

P. W. D. Form No. 62A.
(Inner sheet.)

PROVINCE. Madras

Engineers remaining unremedied to end of June 1898.

| Comparison with appropriation. | | | Miscellaneous irregularities. | Expenditure during the month. | Opinion of the Superintending Engineer with final remarks by the Examiner. | Orders by Local Government or Administration. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Amount of appropriation. | Expenditure of the year. | Excess over appropriation. | | | | |
| Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | | |
| | | | | | in Original objectionable Items statement for April 98 were:- "The superintending Engineer will take early steps to remove the objection" | |
| | | | 358-9 | | | |
| | | | 33-4-11 | | | |
| | | | 5-0-0 | 5-0-0 | Sanction of Government for entertainment of the Watchmen is awaited. | |
| | | | 166-8-0 | | Statement for April 98 were:- "Very early action should be made to adjust the items at | |
| | | | 320-2-0 | | | |

P. W. D. Form No. 62A.
(Inner sheet.)

Madras

## Statement of Objectionable items in the accounts of Executive

PROVINCE.

P. W. D. Form No. 62A.
(Inner sheet.)

Engineers remaining unremedied to end of June 1898.

| Item No. | Circle, Division and Description of Work. | Month in the accounts of which objectionable expenditure first appeared. | Comparison with Estimate. | | | Comparison with Appropriation. | | | Miscellaneous irregularities. | Expenditure during the month. | Opinion of the Superintending Engineer with final remarks by the Examiner. | Orders by Local Government or Administration. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Amount of estimate. | Expenditure up to date. | Excess over estimate. | Amount of appropriation. | Expenditure of the year. | Excess over appropriation. | | | | |
| | | | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | | |
| | ~~If Superintending Engineer's~~ delay in adjustment of items outstanding in the accounts of | | | | | | | | | | in Provincial objectionable Items Statement 22 (a) to (c) were:- | 22(a)(b)(c) Early adjustment should be effected |
| 22 (a) | Extra charges supplied for use on the 2 second class staff boats of Deputy Collectors, Ellore and Narsapur outstanding from October 97 | June 98 | | | | | | | 40-4-10 | | Delay in adjustment brought to notice of Govt. | |
| (b) | Charges of steamer for conveying Collector & Bandier Esqrs. in November 97 outstanding from November 97 | Do. | | | | | | | 202-0-0 | | Orders of Government in Provincial objectionable Items Statement for April 98 have:- | 23 (a) & (b) Early recovery is awaited. |
| (c) | Charges of Steamer for conveying Famine Commissioner, Le Hardy Esq in Oct 97 outstanding from October 97 | Do. | | | | | | | 360-8-0 | | "Early recovery is awaited." | |
| | ~~Godavari Harbour Division~~ Workshop Jobs debited without preparation of the estimates | | | | | | | | 166-8-0 | | | |
| 23 | Cost of the job of the Subdivision in which excess of the amount deposited | | | | | | | | Balance in deposit | Amount of Expenditure | | |
| (a) | Private Parties | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | N. Subbadu Pantulu | June 1898 | | | | | | | 12-3-1 | | | |
| | DC Visvanatham | | | | | | | | 16-6-2 | | | |
| | Mr. Joyce | | | | | | | | 45-8-10 | | | |
| | Godavari Coal Company. | | | | | | | | 98-13-11 | | | |
| | N. Somayazulu | | | | | | | | 10-8-8 | | | |
| | D. Narasimhulu Pantulu | | | | | | | | 1-4-5 | | | |

P. W. D. Form No. 62A.
(Inner sheet.)

Madras

## Statement of Objectionable items in the accounts of Executive

| Item No. | Circle, Division and Description of Work. | Month in the accounts of which objectionable expenditure first appeared. | Comparison with estimate. Amount of estimate. | Expenditure up to date. | Excess over estimate. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. |
| | Godavari Eastern Division | | | | |
| 2 | Works kept Jobs undertaken | | | | |
| 10 | without prepayment of the | | | | |
| | estimated cost of the job but | | | | |
| | the outlay on which is in | | | | |
| 23 | excess of the amount deposited | | | | |
| | members of the Department | | | | |
| (b) | Mrs J. M. Parker Assistant Engr. | June 1898 | | | |
| | Mrs N. M. W. Ringrow overseer | | | | |
| | Mrs A. G. Annitty Exe Engineer | | | | |
| | Mrs D. Marshall Asst Engineer | | | | |
| | Works reported Completed for which Completion reports & statements should have been submitted 45 Civil Works ended April | | | | |
| 24 | Ganjam | | | | |
| (a) | Repairs to the post office building @ Parlakimidy (Last outlay in December 1896) | | 36 | 34-11-4 | |
| | 45 Civil Works. Provincial Vizagapatam Division | | | | |
| (b) | Constructing 22 Police huts at Koraput 1896-97 | | 7560 | 8727 | |

## Engineers remaining unremedied to end of June 1898.

| Comparison with appropriation. Amount of appropriation. | Expenditure of the year. | Excess over appropriation. | Miscellaneous irregularities. | Expenditure during the month. | Opinion of the Superintending Engineer with final remarks by the Examiner. | Orders by local Government or Administration. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | | |
| | | | Balance in Deposit | Amount of Expenditure | | |
| | | | 4-5 | 25-2-4 | 24 | 24(a) The submission of the completion report to the Examiner should be expedited. |
| | | | 1-- | 1-5-2 | | |
| | | | --- | 1-1-4 | (a) Orders of Government in the Provincial objectionable Items statement for April 98 were:- "The Completion report should be submitted to the Examiner without further delay." | 24(a) The completion report has been returned to the Suptg. Engineer. |
| | | | --- | 13-5-11 | | |
| | | | | | (b) Orders of Government in the Provincial objectionable Items statement for April 98 were:- "The resubmission of the Completion Report returned to the superintending Engineer should be expedited." | Apl 14.10.98. Orr P.K.S. To the S.E. I circle thro the Exam P.W. Secty. |

R. Lee
Deputy Examiner, P. W. Acc...
in charge.