Public Works

Marine (1898) Department.

G.O., No. 2649 Marine
2650

DATED 12th October 1898.

In Dept.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Directing that the Dft of Stores, India Office, be informed that the rate of £0-2-2 p. Cent entered against items 385 to 389 & 404 to 406 in P.O. —— No 1 of 9th March 98, is a mistake for £1-2-2 p. Cent, and requesting that the Supdt P.O. Stores will not allow such mistakes to occur.

Mr D.F. of Stores addressed.

[M.—6. 300—16-7-98.]

Indexed.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Public Works (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 8105

Order No. 2649 W 8 12-10-98

The Director Genl of Stores, India Office will be addressed -

2. The Govt. of P.W. Stores will take steps to prevent such errors occurring in the preparation of indents

H.S. to 2650 W 8 7-10-98.

To The Director Genl of Stores. India Office London.

Sir.

In reply to your Telegram of the 2nd Sept. 1898, I am directed to state that the rate ~~£~~ 0-2-2 per cwt. entered against items 385 to 389 and 400 to 406, in Public Works indent No. 1 of 9 March 1898, is a mistake for £ 1-2-2 per cwt., & to request that the indent may be corrected accordingly & the demand complied with in full - The quantities

From The Supdg Engineer 5th Circle

No. 6087

Dated 28th September 1898

Received 29.9.98

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Reports that the Estimate rate provided in the annual Indent is a mistake It must be £ 1-2-2 & not £ 0-2-2 per Cwt. and the Indent may be corrected accordingly.

R 13/10/98

P20
0284 29 R 10/10

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.

indented for of each size if iron not cannot be stored, & it is possible that the extra cost may be covered by savings on other items.

Thank you

A.H
2.10.98.

Cy

note. Items 3856 3857 & 4006 4006 of the annual indent are "nuts iron hexagon" of various sizes, and 9¼ tons altogether have been indented for. The unit entered in the indent is £0-2-2 per cwt., whereas it should have been £1-2-2 per cwt. The total cost at the indent rate would be £20-0-10, while the actual cost is £205-0-0, the difference being £185. A.H 7.10.98.

Cy (The heavy file relating to the indent has been sent to Registry).

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

To the S.S.E. Circle

2478 C 27-9-98
3932 C 8-9-98
3479 C 8-9-98
3426 C 3-8-98
3425 C 3-8-98
1132 C 7-5-98
1131 C 7-5-98

Copy of a letter from H.A. Moss Esquire, General Superintendent, P.W. Stores, to the Supg. Engineer, 5 Circle, No. 15458/5 dated 17 September 1898:-

With reference to your endorsement No. 410 P.W.S. of 9th September 1898, I have the honour to report that the estimated rate provided in the annual indent is a mistake. It must be £1-2-2 and not £0-2-2 per cwt. The indent may be corrected accordingly the excess outlay being met from the small savings expected on other items, as the quantity indented for of each size cannot be reduced.

—.

No 6088

To the Chief Engineer, P.W. Department for information with reference to his No. 3932 C. dated 8 September 1898.

28/29 September 98

Colonel RE
offg Supdtg. Engineer
5 Circle.

26.9.98