Public Works (1898) Department

G.O., No. 2574 W

DATED 7th October 98

[Despatch Abstract.]

Stores

Letter from the Director Gl of Stores, India office, Stating that at this distance of time it will be impossible to maintain any claim against the Suppliers for damage sustained by certain —— Shipped to Madras by the S.S. Orissa on the 21st Aug 97 and Suggesting that in future similar cases, the earliest & fullest possible intimation be given, Communicated to the S. E. Vacuole with remarks.

Indexed. 17/10

P. W. 23.
1,000—23-6-98.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Public Works (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 7977

From The ~~Govt~~ Director General of Stone, India Office London.

No. S. 9649.

Dated 2nd September 1898.

Received 21st Do.

Registered 26.9.98

Enclosures

Spare copies

B

Order No: 2574 W dy 7.10.98.

Communicated to the Supdg Engr V circle for information & guidance and for explanation of the delay in returning the packing account.

A&R

Cn 1-10-98.

It seems necessary that the contents of all cases of stores should be examined in receipt, & discrepancies, breakage &c brought to immediate notice

[illegible]

S.E. V circle 7.10.98

7/10/98

SUBJECT.

Rough plate glass. Stating that it would be quite impossible after so long an interval to maintain any claim against the suppliers for the 11 pieces of — found broken in case No 726. and that in all cases where a claim for damage has to be made it is essential that it should be made at the earliest possible date

P. W. 29.
1,000—7-12.

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
723-24W	27-2-96
699W	26-2-96
293W	24-1-96
460W	5-2-96
312C	18-1-96
38C	7-1-96
3617C	22-11-95
2850W	8-11-95

FURTHER PA[illegible]

No.	Date.

PAPERS

on this ... in any further communication
number ... under-mentioned letter and
The ... and the reply addressed to
General of Stores,
India Office,
Whitehall,
London, S.W.

S.9649.

India Office,
Whitehall,
London, S.W.

2nd September 1898.

Sir,

The returned packing account of Remittance Stores shipped to Madras by the S.S."Orissa" on the 21st August 1897, which reached me on the 22nd August 1898, shows that 11 pieces of rough plate glass were found broken in Case 726, the breakage being considered to be due to bad packing.

After so long an interval it would, I fear, be quite impossible to maintain any claim against the suppliers for the loss, which, in view of the fact that no breakage occurred in either of the other cases, may perhaps be due to a fall or a blow.

In all cases where a claim for damage has to be made against a supplier it is essential that it should be made at the earliest possible date, and that evidence should be produced as to the absence of any signs of external injury.

Where bad packing is alleged it is most important that full

The Chief Engineer,
Madras.

Y 1728. 5 rms.—8/97. 1. 1324.

0.

l particulars of the faultiness should be given, and it

should be stated whether the package shows any signs of

having been opened.

I have the honour to be, Sir,

Your obedient Servant,

Director General of Stores.