

Public Works (1898) Department.

G.O., No. 2551 W

✓
DATED 4th Oct 1898

35/11/7

7/11/98

Watchmen

[Despatch Abstract.]

Sanctioning with remark the
interim bill of the ——— required in the ———
during 1898-99, & calling for an explanation from
the Executive Engineer, Madras & the Engineer, P. W.
Accounts, in regard to the interment, without
sanction, of a watchman & gardener for the En. Engineer
Mess at Madras.

62/11

Ble
vile

Government (1898) of Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Spare copies

OCTOBER.

Sanctioning, with remark, the entertainment of the — required in the VI Circle during 1898-99, and calling for an explanation from the Executive Engineer, Madura, and the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, in regard to the entertainment, without sanction, of a watchman and gardener for the Executive Engineer's office at Madura.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Establishment.

READ—the following papers:—

STATEMENT showing watchmen employed to look after rest-houses, &c., whose pay is charged to works for the year 1898-99 and requiring sanction of Government (*vide* G.O., No. 1328 W., dated 17th May 1893, VI Circle).

Item number.	No. employed.	Particulars.	Estimate for 1898-99.	Remarks.
<i>Trichinopoly Division.</i>				
1	1	Cannanore bungalow.—Maintaining a watchman for watching the —.	48	Rs. 48, sanctioned in G.O., No. 1567 W., dated 10th June 1897, for 1897-98. Rs. 80, sanctioned in G.O., No. 1567 W., dated 10th June 1897, for 1897-98. Rs. 80, sanctioned in G.O., No. 1567 W., dated 10th June 1897, for 1897-98.
2	1	European Infantry Barracks at Trichinopoly.—Maintaining a sweeper for the —.	80	
3	1	Executive Engineer's office at Trichinopoly.—Maintaining a watchman for watching, keeping the office clean and watering trees in the compound.	80	
<i>Tinnevely Division.</i>				
4	1	Watchman for looking after the storeshed at Nannagaram	36	Rs. 36, sanctioned in G.O., No. 1567 W., dated 10th June 1897, for 1897-98. Rs. 45, sanctioned in G.O., No. 3073 W., dated 5th November 1897. Provision is made for a watchman on Rs. 5 for 3 months and will be extended for should there be necessity. The officer posted to this sub-division has not yet joined.
5	1	Watchman for looking after the sub-division officer's bungalow at Shermadevi.	15	
<i>Madura Division.</i>				
6	1	Executive Engineer's office at Madura.—Maintaining a watchman for watching, keeping the office clean and watering the crotons in the compound.	84	The employment of a watchman is necessary for watching, keeping the office clean and watering the crotons in the compound. Rs. 60, sanctioned in G.O., No. 1567 W., dated 10th June 1897. Rs. 72, sanctioned in G.O., No. 1567 W., dated 10th June 1897.
	1	Watchman for Public Works Department bungalow at Dindigul.	60	
	1	Watchman for Public Works Department bungalow at Uttamapalayam.	72	
			475	
<i>Watchmen for Periyár Main Channel rest-houses.</i>				
..		Peranai rest-house	..	One of the head sluice lascars does the duty of watchman. Rs. 60, sanctioned in G.O., No. 1567 W., dated 10th June 1897. Rs. 72, sanctioned in G.O., No. 1567 W., dated 10th June 1897. Rs. 72, sanctioned in G.O., No. 1567 W., dated 10th June 1897. Rs. 60, sanctioned in G.O., No. 1567 W., dated 10th June 1897. Rs. 72, sanctioned in G.O., No. 1567 W., dated 10th June 1897. A new rest-house constructed at a cost of Rs. 4,038, the estimate of Rs. 3,150 having been sanctioned in G.O., No. 884 I., dated 29th October 1895, watchman is necessary. Admitted already in G.O., No. 3020 W., dated 27th October 1897.
1		Nautchikulam rest-house, first reach	60	
1		Andipatty rest-house, third reach	72	
1		Mettupatty rest-house, fifth reach	72	
1		Kallandy rest-house, eighth reach	60	
1		Pulipatty rest-house, eleventh reach	72	
1		Chittampatty rest-house, ninth reach	72	
1		Melur rest-house, twelfth reach	72	
			480	

Statement showing watchmen employed to look after rest-houses, &c.—*cont.*

Item number.	No. employed.	Particulars.	Estimate for 1898-99.	Remarks.
<i>Watchmen for watching rest-houses and stock articles at Periyár and Theekady.</i>			RS.	
1		Rest-house at Theekady	108	Sanctioned in G.O., No. 1567 W., dated 10th June 1897. The watchmen do both the duties of watching rest-houses and stock articles at Periyár and Theekady. The stock articles at Periyár are required to be retained there for works. Wire ropeway has not been removed. Pay will be charged rateably to "stock" and "repairs."
2		Rest-house at Periyár	216	
1		Stock articles at Periyár	108	
2		Magazine at Theekady containing dynamite and gunpowder.	216	
1		Wire ropeway at Sappanpully	72	
			720	
		Grand total	1,675	

No. 509 T.C.

Submitted to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, for sanction of Government with reference to G.O., No. 1328 W., dated 17th May 1893.

2. The circumstances which necessitated the entertainment of the above establishment at the first instance still prevail.

(Signed) O. V. BODDY, Lieut.-Col., R.E.,
31st March 1898. Superintending Engineer, VI Circle.

Memorandum to the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, No. 2411 C., dated 6th May 1898.

With reference to the statement of watchmen required for the VI Circle during 1898-99, submitted by the Superintending Engineer with his endorsement No. 509 T.C., dated 31st March 1898, the undersigned has the honour to request that information may be furnished on the following points:—

(1) How Rs. 80 have been arrived at in the case of items 2 and 3, viz., maintaining a sweeper for the European Infantry Barracks at Trichinopoly and a watchman for the Executive Engineer's office at Trichinopoly?

(2) Why is the watchman for the Sub-divisional officer's bungalow at Shermadevi required only for three months? It is supposed that a watchman is required whether the sub-divisional officer is there or not.

(3) Why are some watchmen in the Madura division paid Rs. 5 per mensem and others Rs. 6?

(4) Three watchmen are entered for Periyár and three for Theekady. It is considered that two should be ample at each place.

(5) Where is the wire ropeway said to be at Sappanpully?

(Signed) W. B. DEWINTON,
Chief Engineer.

From Lieutenant-Colonel O. V. BODDY, R.E., Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Trichinopoly, 7th September 1898, No. 1512 T.C.

With reference to your memorandum No. 2411 C., dated 6th May last, I have the honour to report as follows:—

(1) The actual amount required for maintaining a sweeper for the European Infantry Barracks at Trichinopoly and a watchman for the Executive Engineer's office at Trichinopoly is Rs. 72 each, but the amount, viz., Rs. 80, entered in the

Papers to be read in Proceedings:—

Again G.O., dated 189 , No.
Again G.O., dated 189 , No.
Current No. 2981 of 1898, dated 31st March, from the S.E. VI Circle
" 7517 " 1898, " 7th September: " — 00 —
" " " 189 , " , ,

ORDER.

No. 2551 W. 24.10.98.

Sanction is accorded to the employment, during 1898-99, of the watchmen required in the VI Circle, as detailed in the statement submitted by the Superintending Engineer with his endorsement No. 509 T.C. dated 31st March 1898.
2. The entertainment, for the whole year, of a watchman on Rs 5 per mensem for the Subdivisional officer's bungalow at Shermadevi in the Tinnevely division is also sanctioned his pay being defrayed by the Sub-division officer from date of taking over charge of the sub-division. If officers refuse to live in the residences provided for them, they must still be held responsible that they are properly

VP.

T

25.9.98.

No. 2551 W., 4TH OCTOBER 1898.

statement of watchmen for the year 1898-99 includes contingent charges such as buckets, ropes, baskets, broomsticks, &c., required during the year to keep the building clean of rubbish, dirt, &c.

(2) In paragraph 2 of G.O., No. 1848 W., dated 24th July 1894, it is ruled that watchmen should be needed only when buildings are vacant. As the Sub-divisional officer's bungalow at Shermadevi was vacant, the services of a watchman to the same was therefore applied for three months only. I am of opinion that a watchman is needed throughout the year whether the building is occupied or not to see that the bungalow, the out-houses, the numerous trees and plants in the compound of the building are not damaged. The necessity for this is fully explained in the statement accompanying.

(3) The pay of the watchmen has to be regulated according to the locality and to the work they have to perform. The men whose duty is only to watch the buildings get Rs. 5 per mensem, while others are paid Rs. 6, as they have to attend to the garden around the rest-houses, besides watching the buildings.

(4) Three watchmen for Periyar and three for Theekady are reported to be absolutely required. The work is considered to be heavy in each place. The nature of the work is clearly specified in the enclosed statement.

(5) The watchman at Sappanpully is in charge of the iron buckets and other loose articles of the iron ropeway, &c. It was expected that the ropeway would be sold or disposed of in some manner. It is now falling down and purchasers are not likely to be obtained. It is therefore proposed to remove the buckets and other articles to near Kuruvanoth rest-house. As there is no watchman to take care of this building and the articles, the services of the watchman at Sappanpully will be employed on the rest-house at Kuruvanoth, where a watchman is absolutely necessary.

2. The statement called for in Public Works Department Circular No. 2462 C., dated 10th May 1898, is herein enclosed.

ORDER—No. 2551 W., dated 4th October 1898.

Sanction is accorded to the employment, during 1898-99, of the watchmen required in the VI Circle, as detailed in the statement submitted by the Superintending Engineer with his endorsement No. 509 T.C., dated 31st March 1898.

2. The entertainment, for the whole year, of a watchman on Rs. 5 per mensem for the Sub-divisional officer's bungalow at Shermadevi in the Tinnevely division is also sanctioned, his pay being defrayed by the sub-division officer from date of taking over charge of the sub-division. If officers refuse to live in the residences provided for them, they must still be held responsible that they are properly cared for.

3. From the statement accompanying the Superintending Engineer's letter 1512 T.C., dated 7th September 1898, it appears that a watchman and garden the Executive Engineer's office at Madura has been entertained since 1896 with sanction of Government, such sanction being required by G.O., No. 1328 W. 17th May 1893. The Executive Engineer, Madura division, will, therefore, be upon to explain why the provisions of the above Government Order were contravened and why a gardener is needed at all, and the Examiner will report how the charge in question was admitted.

(True Extract.)

Under Secretary to Government.

To the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd. Shaik Karim.

properly cared for.

3. From the statement accompanying the Superintending Engineer's letter No 1512 J.C. dated 7th September 1898, it appears that a watchman and gardener for the Executive Engineer's office at Madras has been entertained since 1896 without the sanction of Government, such sanction being required by G.O. No. 1328 W dated 17th May 1893. The Executive Engineer Madras Division will, therefore, be called upon to explain why the provisions of the above G.O. were contravened and why a gardener is needed at all, and the Examiner will report how the charge in question was admitted.

side the portions underlined with blue

Q

Note.

With his endorsement No. 509 J.C. dated 31st March 1898, the Superintending Engineer submitted, for the sanction of Government, a list of watchmen required in the Circle during 1898-99

The S. E. VI Circle.

Exam. P. W. Accounts

B. O. G. H. R. C. + Please remove the statement

put up with statements from other officers with reference to Man No. 2462 C 10.5.98 Rm 3.0

T

DW

Please see the sentence which I have underlined & marked with lead pencil on page 3 of the proof of G.O. 2551 W of 4.10.98. There seems to be some error in it. Apparently it should read thus. "It is now falling down & purchasers are not likely to be obtained."

Orders requested whether I may make the alteration.

Rm

13.10.98.

H.P

721

APK

12.10.98.

1898-99. The Superintending Engineer was then requested to furnish information on the points indicated in memo No. 2411 C, dated 6th May 1898. His replies to the above points are contained in the letter flagged K. 2. In circular No. 2462 C dated 10th May 1898, the undersigned directed Superintending Engineers and the Consulting Architect to have lists of all watchmen in the Department prepared, with a view of determining which of the men can be dispensed with, and to submit the same for final disposal in this office. The lists are being compiled. In the list submitted by the Superintending Engineer VI Circle, it is stated that the Subdivisional officer at Chermadevi, who is a native, refuses to live in Government quarters owing to their isolated locality, and refuses to employ at his own expense, a man for watching the

bungalow and watering the plants in the Compound. The outhouses being of thatched roof and unoccupied, are liable to white ants. The Superintending Engineer states that a watchman is necessary to see that the bungalow, the outhouses and the numerous trees and plants in the compound are not damaged. It is, therefore, proposed to sanction the employment of the watchman and gardeners his pay being defrayed by the Subdivision office.

3. From the List above referred to, it appears that a watchman and gardener, on Rs 7 per mensem for the Executive Engineer's office at Madurai has been employed since 1896, but sanction to the employment of the man in 1896-97 and 1897-98 was not applied for. Under G.O. No. 1328 W dated 17th May 1893, proposals for entertaining watchmen

L
vide the portions underlined with blue

x R

watchmen should be submitted for the sanction of Government. The Executive Engineer Madurai Division will therefore be called upon to state why the provisions of the above G.O. were contravened, and the Examiner will be asked to report how the charge in question was admitted in accounts. A watchman is no doubt necessary to look after the building at night but there seems no reason why a gardener should be employed.

26.5.96
[Signature]

Geo. M. M.

to explain why the provisions of the above G. O.
~~is~~ ^{are} only a ~~provision~~ ^{provisions} is ~~admitted~~ ^{admitted}. Ash
 were contravened, and the Examiner will
 report how the charge in question was admitted.

ACK
17.9.98.

21.5.50

Note With his endorsement, No 509 T.C, dated 31st March, 1898, the S.E submitted, for the sanction of Government, a list of watchmen required in the circle during 1898-99. The S.E was ^{then} requested to furnish information on the points detailed in Memo No 2411C, dated 6th May, 1898. His replies to the above points are contained in the letter flagged K.

2 In Circular No 2462C, dated 10th May, 1898, the undersigned directed S.E. and the Consulting Architect to have lists of all watchmen in the Dept. prepared, with a view of determining which of the men can be dispensed with, and to submit the same for final disposal in this Office. The lists are being compiled. In the list submitted by the S.E. VI Circle, it is stated that the Sub divisional Officer at Shernagari, who is a native, refuses to live in Govt quarters owing to ^{their} isolated locality, and ~~refuses~~ to employ, at his ^{own} expense, a man for watching the bungalow and watering the plants in the Compound. The out houses being of thatched roof and unoccupied, are liable to white ants. The S.E.

To The S. E. VI circle
.. Exas P. W. Accts

* close to a considerable village!

No. the solo must
lay in the watchman

3. ^{Notes} ~~therefore recommends~~ that a watchman is necessary to see that the bungalow, the houses and the numerous trees and plants in the compound are not damaged. It is proposed to sanction the employment of the watchman ^{his pay being Rs 12 per mensem} ~~as a special case.~~

3. From the list above referred to, it appears that a watchman and guarder, on Rs 7 per mensem, for the Executive Engineer's Office at Madura has been ^{employed} ~~entertained~~ since 1896, but sanction to the employment of the man in 1896-97 and 1897-98 was not applied for under G.O. No 1328W, dated 17th May, 1893, proposals for entertaining watchmen should be submitted for the sanction of Government. The Ex: Engr. Madura division, will therefore be called upon to explain why the provisions of the above G.O. were contravened, and the Examiner will be asked to report how the charge in question was admitted in accounts.

A watchman in his dm. h. AK
 took after the party at night 17-9-96.
 Tuesday ~~when the~~
~~is in his~~ but he hit the CR
 being up ~~seems~~ like a P.K.8
 powder should be ~~used~~
 supplied

21.990

Papers to be read in Proceedings:—

Again G.O., dated	189 , No.
Again G.O., dated	189 , No.
Current No. 2981	of 1898, dated 31st March/898, from The S.E. VI Circle.
" "	" 189 , " , "
" "	" 189 , " , "

ORDER.

The employment during 1898
-99 of the watchmen required in the
VI Circle, as detailed in the statement
submitted by the Superintending Engi-
neer with his endorsement recorded
above, is sanctioned with the exception
of a ^{one} watchman on Rs. 7 per mensem for
the Executive Engineer's office at
Madura. Sanction to the entertainment of
this man is deferred pending a report
from the Superintending Engineer as to
how the duties required of the watch-
man were performed in previous years,
and why the services of a new man are
now required.

To
The S.E. VI Circle.
Escrow P.W. Accounts

APR 2.5.98
Cus
PK.S
Note.

The list of watchmen sub-
mitted by the S.E. includes two men
not

Item 2 & 3. In 24/11/88
How is No 20 arrived
at?

Item 5. Why has up
2 months? I suppose
a watchman is up
whether the S.D.
is there or not -

Other items at Mandal
why are some watchmen
land No 5 less mensem.
& others No 6

3 watchmen are ~~sq~~
entitled in Benigar
& 2 in the head -
2 shikhe couple at
each place -

Where is the nine
up way at
Saffaripally?

2.5.98

Q.C. Is there any instance
of a watchman for a
residence being land
by Govt when the
house occupant of the
residence can reside
there if he chooses?

2. Where is Cannanore
in Trichy district?
Whether explain in the
complaint it with

not provided for in the statement
for 1897-98 sanctioned in G.O.No.
1567 W dated 10th June 1897. One of
them is to look after the new rest-
house at Chittampatty and the other
for the Executive Engineer's office
at Madura. As regards the latter,
the S.E. simply states that the
watchman is required to watch, to
keep the office clean and to water
the crotons in the compound. He does
not explain how these duties were
performed in previous years and why
it is now necessary to entertain the
services of a watchman. It is there-
fore proposed to ask the S.E. to
explain these points.

APR
2.5.98

W.
2-5-98

Public Works (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 7517

Order

From

The Super Engineer
H. Circle

No. 1512.T.C.

Dated

7th September 1898

Received

9-9-98

Enclosures

1.

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Watchman. Forwards
a list of employees in
the Circle and reports
on their work etc.

o. L 5388 23/10

FURTHER PAPERS

No.	Date.
2411C	6-5-98
2462C	10-5-98
2418W	24-7-94
1328W	17-5-98

[illegible]

No

1512.T.C

Trichinopoly, 7th September 1898:

From

Dr. C. V. Boddy R.R.
The Superintendent Engineer,
6th Circle.

To

The Chief Engineer,

SIR,

ENCLOSURE.

1 Statement.

With reference to your memorandum
No. 2411-C dated 6th May last, I have the honor
to report as follows:-

(1) The actual amount required for maintaining a sweeper for the European Infantry Barracks at Trichinopoly and a watchman for the executive engineer's office at Trichinopoly is Rs. 72 each, but the amount, viz. Rs. 80, entered in the statement of watchmen for the year 1898-99 includes contingent charges such as buckets, ropes, baskets, broomsticks &c. required during the year, to keep the building clean of rubbish, dirt &c.

(2) In para 2 of G.O No 1548 W. dated 24th July 1894. it is ruled that watchmen should be needed only when buildings are vacant. As the Sub Divisional Officer's Bungalow at Shermadevi was vacant, the services of a watchman to the same was therefore applied for 3 months only. I am of opinion that a watchman is needed throughout the year whether the building

is occupied or not to see that the buildings, the numerous trees and plants in the compound of the building are not damaged. The necessity for this is fully explained in the statement accompanying.

(3) The pay of the watchmen has to be regulated according to the locality and to the work they have to perform. The men whose duty is only to watch the buildings get Rs 5 per mensem, while others are paid Rs 6, as they have to attend to the garden around the Rest houses, besides watching the Buildings.

(4) Three watchmen for Periyar and three for Thekady are reported to be absolutely required. The work is considered to be heavy in each place. The nature of the work is clearly specified in the enclosed statement.

(5) The watchman at Sappampully is in charge of the iron buckets and other loose articles of the Iron Ropeway &c. It was expected that the Ropeway would be sold or disposed of in some manner. It is now falling down and purchases likely to be obtained. It is therefore proposed to remove the buckets and other articles to near Kuvanaanoth Rest house. As there is no watchman to take care of this building and the articles, the services of the watchman

at

at Sappampully will be employed on the Rest house at Kuvanaanoth, where a watchman is absolutely necessary.

2. The Statement called for in P. W. O. Circular No. 2462.C dated 10th May 1898 is herein enclosed.

I have the honor to be your most obedt servt

Supg Engineer, 6th Circle.

The watchman at Sappampully is in charge of the iron buckets and other loose articles of the Iron Ropeway &c. It was expected that the Ropeway would be sold or disposed of in some manner. It is now falling down and purchases likely to be obtained. It is therefore proposed to remove the buckets and other articles to near Kuvanaanoth Rest house. As there is no watchman to take care of this building and the articles, the services of the watchman

Some error here

P. W. D. 6th CIRCLE.

FROM

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,

6th CIRCLE.

TO

THE CHIEF ENGINEER,

NO.

Dated.

189

Received.

Enclosure.

N^o 2411C d/6-5-98

Memorandum.

With reference to the statement of watchmen required for the VI Circle during 1898-99, submitted by the Suptg. Engineer with his endorsement No: 509 J.C. d/31 March 1898, the undersigned has the honour to request that information may be furnished on the following points:-

- (1) How Rs 80 have been arrived at in the case of items 243 viz maintaining a sweeper for the European Infantry Barracks at Trichinopoly and a watchman for the Executive Engineer's office at Trichinopoly.
- (2) Why is the watchman for the subdivisional officer's bungalow at Shermadevi required only for 3 months? It is supposed that a watchman ^{is required} whether the subdivisional officer is there or not.
- (3) Why are some watchmen in the Madurai Division paid Rs 5 per mensem, and others Rs 6.
- (4) 3 watchmen are entered for Periyar and 3 for Theekady. It is considered that 2 should

(1) Reminder issued 22.7.98

B
after J.P.R. order

16/9/98

ample at each place.

(5) Where is the wire ropeway
said to be at Sappanpully?

W. S. S.
to W.

To

The S. C. VI Circle

2/5/98

Public Works (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 2981

Order

From

The Supy Engineer
B. Circle

No. 509. T.C.

Dated 31st March 1898

Received 1. 4. 98

Enclosures - u -

Spare copies

Recd 14/98

File completed by the ward section

SUBJECT.

21-4-98

Statement of Watchmen
Employed to look after
Rest Houses etc & whose
pay is charged to works
during 1898-99 - Submit
for sanction of Government

a -

Please put up the 4 as quoted
paid 6/4/98
W. S. S. of 24/4

B
5.4.98.

O.L.S 67

No. 5

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.
1567W	10-6-97
3073W	5-11-97
3020W	27-10-97
884J	29-10-95
1328W	17-5-93

V1. Circle.

Statement showing watchmen employed to look after Post houses &c whose pay is charged to works for the year 1898-99 and requiring sanction of Government - Vide G.O. No: 1328 W dated 17 May 1893.

Sl. No.	No. Employed	Particulars	Estimated for 1898-99	Remarks.
		<u>Trichinopoly Division</u>		
1	1	Cannanore bungalow. Maintaining a watchman for watching the —	48	Rs 48 sanctioned in G.O. No. 1567 W of 10-6-97 for 1897-98.
2	1	European Infantry Barracks at Trichinopoly. Maintaining a sweeper for the —	80	Rs 80 — — — —
3	1	Executive Engineer's office at Trichinopoly. Maintaining a watchman for watching, keeping the office clean and watering trees in the compound —	80	Rs 80 — — — —
		<u>Tinnevely Division.</u>		
4	1	Watchman for looking after the Stores at Kannagaraam	36	Rs 36 — — — —
5	1	Watchman for looking after the —	45	Rs 45 sanctioned in G.O. No. 3073 W dated 5-11-97.
		244		

No. Employed

Particulars

Estimate
for 1898-99.

Remarks

432

dynamite & gunpowder — 216

to be retained there for works.
Wire ropeway has not been
removed. Pay will be charged
rationally to "Stek" and
Repairs.

72

Wire rope way at Sappanpully

720

Grand Total Repairs — 1676

No. 509. T.C. of 31st March 1898
2/21.

Submitted to the Chief Engineer, P.W.D.
for sanction of Government with reference to
G.O. No. 1328 W dated 17 May 1893.

2. The circumstances which necessitated the
substantiation of the above establishment at the
first instance still prevail.

J. T. Roddy, Asst. Secy. P.W.D.
Supt. Engineer, W. Circle

2/21
21/3/98