

Public Works (1898.) Department.

G.O., No. 1191 25

DATED 12th May 1898

[Despatch Abstract.]

Lawyer's fees, sanctioning the pay-
of the bill amounting to Rs. 343-4-0 on
account of - interest in connection with
the defence of the charge of assault preferred
by a constable against Overseer in American street
& despatching a copy of the indictment &c. to be submitted for
perusal.

Dr
125/8

Indexed.

[illegible]

O.L.S. 74R71

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
3469	11-12-97
2812	8-10-97

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

S. C. L.

Before submitting this
to H.S. I should like
to know if the Magistrate
in dismissing the
case. Did not make
any order about
costs being paid
by the plaintiff.
He certainly ought to
have

W
2.5.98

It is only in civil cases
that the successful party in a
suit gets costs from the opposite
party. In criminal suits no
costs whatever are allowed.
If however, the Magistrate considers
that the charge is a frivolous
or vexatious one, he may award
compensation not exceeding Rs 50.
Kindly see section 560, page 356
of the Criminal Procedure Code.

Noted. Sent in file
in under Mr. 13/5/98.

Ch. 3418

Letter No 137 dated 11 April 98
Johnston Esquire Esq
School Dir. to the Suptg
Police Bellary.

re to your No 4019
Communicating same
document for the am-
of a vakil on behalf
ment in a case brought
by U.S. Manicka alias
overseer, I have the
forward herewith
for Rs 343.4.0 recd
Bigwood Attorney at
law of your early
dated 1. December 1897
in accordance with
as contained in G.O.
No 15.2.94.
wood was chosen
as it was Considered
most independent of

No.	Date.
3469	11-12-97
2812	8-10-97

Copy of letter No 137 dated 11 April 97
from J. C. Johnston Esquire Esq
Engineer Huron Div to the Suptg
Engineer 3rd Field Battery.

With reference to your No 4019
9th October 97 Communicating sanc-
tion of Government for the em-
ployment of a Vakil on behalf
of the Government in a case brought
against Mr V. S. Manicka alias
Temporary Overseer, I have the
honour to forward herewith a
revised bill for Rs 343.4.0 received
from Mr Bigwood Attorney at
Law for favours of your early
sanction.

2. The bill is in accordance with
the instructions contained in G.O.
No 373 Judicial of 15.2.94.

3. Mr Bigwood was chosen
for the defence as it was considered
he was the most independent of

not recd.

copy obtained

all the dangers in the district
and are least likely to be influenced
either one way or the other.
Engineer's Office
(Copy)

1898 dated 18 April 1898
Submitted to the Chief Engineer
P.W.D. Madras with a request that
it will be good enough to sanction
payment of the bill and deposit
same to office Contingencies.
suit to be defended by Govern-
ment was sanctioned in C.O. No.
112 dated 8-10-97. The result
reported in this office letter No 60C
dated 1st December 1897.
2. The bill is in accordance with
the provisions contained in P.
No. 373 of 18-2-94.
3. The proposed was chosen
for the defence as it was considered
be was the most independent of

all the dangers in the district
and are least likely to be influenced
either one way or the other.
Engineer's Office
(Copy)

1898 dated 18 April 1898
Submitted to the Chief Engineer
P.W.D. Madras with a request that
it will be good enough to sanction
payment of the bill and deposit
same to office Contingencies.
suit to be defended by Govern-
ment was sanctioned in C.O. No.
112 dated 8-10-97. The result
reported in this office letter No 60C
dated 1st December 1897.
2. The bill is in accordance with
the provisions contained in P.
No. 373 of 18-2-94.
3. The proposed was chosen
for the defence as it was considered
be was the most independent of