

Public Works (1898.) Department.

G.O., No. 918 I

DATED 29th Nov '98

~~21/12/98~~
11/12/98

[Despatch Abstract.]

Thoortha Channel

Revised Estimate of Rs 2880 for Compa-
sation for land taken up for cross bank
head slice of the —, B. M. S., sanctioned.

110/1

Registered

30-11-98

Indexed 30/11

R. I

30/11/98

Revised estimate of Rs. 2,880 for compensation for land taken up for cross-bank and head sluice of the —, Bellary division, sanctioned.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Irrigation.
Account.

READ—the following papers:—

From the Superintending Engineer, III Circle, dated 12th Sept. 1898, No. 2190 I.
 To the " " " " 19th " " " III/42 B.L.
 From the " " " " 2nd Nov. " " " 2844 I.

ORDER—No. 918 I., dated 29th November 1898.

The following revised estimate is sanctioned in supersession of the estimate of Rs. 740 sanctioned in G.O., No. 328 I., dated 21st April 1898:—

Irrigation.
 43. Minor Works and Navigation.
 Minor Works for which neither Capital nor Revenue Accounts are kept.
 Bellary Division.
 Original Works.
 Compensation for Land taken up for Cross-bank and Head Sluice of Thoortha Channel.

Estimate RS.
 2. This sanction is registered as No. 96 I. of 1898-99. ... 2,880

(True Extract.)

Under Secretary to Government,
 Irrigation Branch.

To the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
 „ Superintending Engineer, III Circle.
 „ Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd. T. K. Narayana Aiyangar.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the

READ the following

Compensation for land taken up for cross bank and head sluice of Thoortha Channel. Estimate of Rs. 2,880 for—

From the Subg. Engineer 3rd Circle — No. 2190 I. dated 12th Sep/98.
To do do do No. 42/31 dated 19th Sept/98.
From do do do No. 2844 I. dated 2nd Nov/98

Order thereon No. 918 I. dated 29.11.98.

The following revised estimate is sanctioned in supersession of the estimate of Rs. 740 sanctioned in G.O. No. 328 I. dated 21st April 1898:—

Irrigation

43. Minor works and Navigation
Minor works for which neither Capital nor Revenue Accounts are kept.

Bellary Division.
Original works.

Compensation for land taken up for cross bank and head sluice of Thoortha Channel.
Estimate Rs. 2,880.

A. S. H.
22.11.98

Isone

24/11
22

23/11/98

Please not papers to be printed

28/11

2. This sanction is registered
as No 96-I of 1898-99.

17-11-98 W.H.

To,
The Chief Engineer for Irrigation
Supg. Engineer 3rd Circle
Examiner P.W. Accounts

17-11-98. HE 17-11-98 Rels 23/11.

Read the following:-

Endorsed by the C.E. in No 2190 I dated 12th Sept 1898.
Memo to do do from the Chief Engr. for
No. III 42/134 d. 19 Sept. 1898

Endorsed by do do No 2844 I dated 2nd Nov 1898.
with enclosures -

FOR ORDERS.

In G.O. No 778 I d. 30 Dec 90
sanction was given for the
construction of a lead sluice
to the Shoorla Channel in
the Bellary district, but
no provision appears to have
been made for compensation
for the land required for
the lead sluice itself and
for a short cross bank
connecting the lead sluice
with high ground some 2
or 3 acres - It would
appear from the papers
submitted, that the
occupier of the land
one J. Somasundra Rowan
an instant inspector of schools
has sold to the Shasidhar
when giving up the lands
that a flood bank should
be made to protect his
other land situated above
the lead sluice from flood

50 No. 9189
29-11-98

9189
29-11-98

H.E.

FOR ORDERS.

Submergence which would be caused by the construction of the head sluice and cross bank. The Executive Engineer appears to have agreed to this being done in 1896 and an estimate providing for this work was prepared, but as the then Sup^d Engineer was of opinion that the land in question (some 14 or 15 acres) would not be damaged, compensation was only sanctioned for the 2 or 3 acres occupied by the head sluice and cross bank. This was sanctioned in G.O. No. 3281 dated 21st April 1898. The occupier will not rest satisfied with this and claims either that the flood bank should be made or that the land in question should be acquired by Govt on payment of compensation. It has been submitted that a sufficiently strong flood bank would cost

FOR ORDERS.

over Rs 3000 whereas the compensation for the land is only Rs 2138 and as this may be resold the second alternative is by far the cheaper of the two. The Collector and Asst Collector who have been consulted by the Exec^{ve} Engineer are of opinion that the occupier would be sure to take the case into court & should Govt refuse to adopt either alternative and that he would have a very strong case. The Sup^d Engineer is of opinion that the case would go against Government as after inspecting the site he is convinced that water must now remain on the land longer than before the head sluice was constructed. He accordingly recommends the estimate for compensation being sanctioned. A draft order sanctioning the est^d is submitted accordingly.

Mr. Lammie who knew the spot was of opinion that the head sluice caused no damage to the land, but in view of the opinions of the local District officers & the G.O. it seems undesirable to let the matter go to court.

NH. 11.98

22.11.98

16/11/98

FOR ORDERS.

Public Works (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 82 B.L.

Q1.

May the salt be sanctified or
closed the claim to be repudiated by
Govt. - Mr. Simons does acceptance
of the conditions in dated 1876
but the choice & bank were
sanctified in 1870 and
Order the land required for the works
was apparently taken up in 1871.
~~It is a matter of fact that~~
~~the conditions~~ and T. Simons
Law says he made the conditions in
1871 -

8/11/98

MA 11/11

From the Suptg. Engineer
S. L. L. L.
Necessary

No. 281.6.1

Dated 2 - 11 - 98

Received } 4 Nov 98.
Registered }

Enclosures 8 - 12 papers.

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Thoortha channel

Returns papers

regarding land cam-
-pensation for land
required to head sluice
to — with Suptg.
Engineer's opinion.

P.D.E.L.

8/11/98

Less
7/11/98

11/11/98

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

Letter from H. E. Clark Esquire, Executive Engineer,
Bellary Division to the Superintending Engineer,
III circle, Bellary. No. 7263 dated 30th September 98.

CHIEF SECTION.
FOR INFORMATION.
4- NOV. 1898
No. 82 B1

I have the honour to return the papers received with your Endorsement No. 2348 dated 22nd Instant, on Chief Engineer for Irrigation No. $\frac{III}{42}$ B. L. of the 19th Idem, with the following remarks.

1 The conditions laid down by the owners of the
lands were accepted by Mr. Someroe when
Executive Engineer vide his letter to Collector
No. 6667 of 20th November 1896 (copy enclosed)
From other correspondence it appears that the
late Executive Engineer was of opinion that the
lands was rendered more liable to submergence
by the construction of the head sluice.

2. In speaking of M.R. Ry. T. Sonvasundarabasa as owner of the land I was inaccurate. The land in question is Temple Inam belonging, I believe, to Hampai and Sonvasundara Basa is only the occupier. I understood that by his share he means compensation for his right of occupancy. Full details are given in the application statement.

3. I have discussed this question with the Collector

And

Public Works (1898) Department.

IRRIGATION BRANCH.

ISSUE No. 42 ^{III} B. L.

To
The Supg Engineer
3rd side

(Reply to letter No. , dated)

Dated 19. Sept 1898

Despatched do do

Enclosures * 7.

SUBJECT.

Head since to Thowtha
Channel - states that
under the present
arrangements, he cannot
recommend just to admit
the claim for compensation
for land taken up for the

* Particulars of Enclosures.

- 1 Report
- 1 Rate
- 1 Collis's Report of 29. 4. 98
with one state taken from Somendro Rao
- 1 Rs 4331. 3/13. 6-58 (Ch)
- 2 Tracings
- 1 Collis's Report of 21. 8. 98
with one state taken from Somendro Rao
- 1 Collis's Report of 24. 8. 98 + 7. 8. 98.

I suppose the rate
mentioned in the Report
should be returned to the

Mr
22/9/98

See his letter. Vagabond for
deduced

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
R 7032	12-8-98

Sent to Government with No. I.,
dated 189 .
Printed with G.O., No. I.,
dated 189 .

REMINDERS ISSUED.

No. 1, dated	189 .
" 2 "	
" 3 "	
" 4 "	
" 5 "	

KEEP-WITHS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.
R 8232	2-11-98

Papers.
R 7032/98
Co. 3283/98
Co. Rev. 714/89
Co. 7763/90
Co. 4962/89

270M/98

Enc 7.

III
R 04232 9/19-9-98

To P. 2. II.

and refer to the P. 2.
No. 2190 J. K. 12 Sep. 98
the hps has the honor
to observe that there is ~~no~~
~~very~~ little or nothing in
the papers submitted to
show that the 15 acres
of land to what extent
the 15 acres of land for
which compensation is asked
is really damaged by the
construction of the H. S. sluice
and cross bank and the
hps believe from his
knowledge of the place
that the construction of the
H. S. sluice & cross bank do
not damage the land at
all. It is observed that
the Ex. Inf. accepts the
liability of Govt. to make
a flood bank to protect
the land or to require
it under the terms of a
condition which the owner
is said to have made
in a statement to the
Hassidim when the
land for the H. S. sluice
& cross bank was being

taken up, but there is
nothing in the papers to
show that the condition
was accepted by competent
authority or if the
condition was accepted
by the Thaidan that
any reference was
made to the P.W.D. on
the subject. This also
observed that in R.D.
J. Somasundaram Rao
who in the R.D. report
is called the owner of the
land, in the statement now
sent talks of "his share
in the land" and again
says he would accept
"Rs 200 an acre for my
share only" -
Under the circumstances the
up is not prepared to
recommend for to admit
the claim; it is considered
that the land has not been
prejudicially affected by the
construction of the Hoas Sluice
& cross bank and there does
not appear to be sufficient
reason for Govt. being bound
by the condition said to have
been made by Mr. J. Somasundaram Rao
when the land for the Hoas Sluice & cross bank
taken up -

Public Works (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 70 B.L.

Order

From The Suptg. Engineer,
III Circle,
Bellary
No. 2190-S.

Dated 12th September 1901.

Received

Registered

Enclosures 7. (Sent by book post)

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Head Sluice to the
Thoortha channel -
Submitting for sanction
of Govt. a revised estimate
for compensation for
land taken up for the
— D.S. provides
if the revised estimate may
be sanctioned.
CCB 1318/15

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
R 2579	12-5-98
Papers sent to H.E. with despatches	
J 42 Bm	15 9 98
R 82 Bm	2 11 98
G.O. 328 D	21 4 98
G.O. 728 D	30 12 90
G.O. 438 D	12 10 89
Ran 10 714	17 8 89

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.
J 42 Bm	19.9.98
R 82 Bm	2-11-98

Letter from H.E. Clerk Esq., Exe: Engineer,
Bellary Division, No 6694 dated 8th September
1898 to the Suptg: Engineer, III Circle, Bellary.

Reference:— Yours No 605
14-5-98

Enclosed are

- 1 Report.
- 1 Estimate.
- 1 Collector's Report.
No 59 of 29.4.98
with one state-
ment taken from
Commodore's
Memo office No 433/
of 13.6.98.
- 2 Tracing.
- 1 Collector's R. Dis.
No 215 of 28.8.98
containing one
abatement and
H.E. Asst Collector's
nos 295 and 70/
2.8.98 24.8.98
All to be kindly
returned.

I have the honour to forward herewith
a revised estimate for compensation for land
taken up for the Head Sluice to the Thurtha
channel. The reasons for the excess are
explained in the report and I append in original
correspondence with the Collector on the subject.
I request early sanction to the estimate and
allotment of funds.

No 2190 of 12th September 190.

Forwarded to the Chief
Engineer for Irrigation for favour of necessary
sanction in supersession of the one given in
G.O. No 328 I dated 21st April 1898.

Wm Bannan
Lieut. Col. R.E.
Suptg: Engineer, III Circle.

10-9-98

Letter from M. E. Lusk, Civil Engineer,
 1892 to the Civil Engineer, U.S. Army,
 1892 to the Civil Engineer, U.S. Army.

Reference: June 1892
 No. 100

Have the honor to forward herewith
 a revised estimate for compensation for land
 taken up for the West River to the Atlantic
 channel. The reasons for the same are
 explained in the report and of record in the
 correspondence with the State in the
 report and in the estimate and
 statement of funds.

Respectfully,
 Engineer for Navigation for James F. Kennedy
 in supervision of the one given in
 J. O. N. 3281 dated 21st April 1892

SEAL
 CIVIL ENGINEER
 FOR
 13 SEP 1898
 10 P.M.

Reference: June 1892
 No. 100
 1. Estimate
 2. Report
 3. Statement of funds
 4. Correspondence
 5. Report of the State
 6. Report of the Civil Engineer
 7. Report of the Civil Engineer
 8. Report of the Civil Engineer
 9. Report of the Civil Engineer
 10. Report of the Civil Engineer

Reference: June 1892
 No. 100
 Have the honor to forward herewith
 a revised estimate for compensation for land
 taken up for the West River to the Atlantic
 channel. The reasons for the same are
 explained in the report and of record in the
 correspondence with the State in the
 report and in the estimate and
 statement of funds.

Respectfully,
 Engineer for Navigation for James F. Kennedy
 in supervision of the one given in
 J. O. N. 3281 dated 21st April 1892