

Public Works (1898) Department.

G.O., No. 863 W

DATED 30 March 1898

[Despatch Abstract.]

Verandah for the Port Office building at Panbham. Orders
stating in the remarks, that funds are not available
for the construction of a permanent —

1200-3-98

Indexed.

6/4

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[P. W. 4.
500-27-1-98.]

$\frac{T}{B}$ $\frac{A}{P}$

V.S. VI curia
lucina dno.

Public Works
Marine (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. ~~265~~ 2093

No. 141 S. d. 5-3-98

Ends

Transferred to the
S.W. D.

From

S.P.
Order

From
S.W.
D.W.

The Presidency Port Officer,
Madras

No. $\frac{137}{83}$ G

Under Secy. Mr. P. H. C. J. S.

Dated 28 February 1898

For order as

Received

to whether an estimate

Registered

for the verandah and

Enclosures

for may be ordered.

Spare copies

I think the handal may be left.

A hand ltr. screened by for?

SUBJECT.

when well may I think

Pointing out the inconvenience

quite so. We haven't

experienced for want of

the money for the permanent

to the Port office building

Verandah asked for. But what

at Pamban.

was the P.P.O. about when he

passed the design for the 6-ft

Verandah which he now says

is insufficient?

With reference to the H.M.'s query it

is submitted that Commander

Powell was P.P.O. in 1894 when the

estimate was sent up. The Commander

Street can not be held responsible.

14/3/98

16.3.98

Hand. 11-3-98 R.15/3/98.
15/3/98 R.19/3/98

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.
~~595~~	~~2-8-56~~
2322W. 8	12-8-56 ✓
1406W. 8	2-5-56 ✓
81W. 8	15-1-55 ✓
2177W. 8	3-8-54 ✓
~~450~~	~~16-11-84~~
324	18-5-84
337	20-8-54
771D	14-8-54 ✓

No.	Date.

No. 137/ 839

Presidency Port Office,

Madras, 28 February 1898.

Reading

From

Commander H.A. Street R.I.M.,

Presidency Port Officer,

Madras,

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

MARINE DEPARTMENT,

CHEPAK.

Sir,

During a recent visit to Pamban my attention was drawn to the fact that the verandah at the entrance to the Port Office building did not afford sufficient protection from the glare of the sun as it is only six feet in width, and that the sides of the building have been left without any verandah protection whatever. After ^{taking} over the building in May last year the Port Officer found ^{it} absolutely necessary to put up some kind of protection from the glare and caused a thatched pandal to be erected to protect the three sides of the house. The Executive Engineer of the district considers this means of protection objectionable, and suggests bamboo blinds being used instead. The Port Officer points out, and I agree with him, that these blinds would not afford the protection required unless the verandah at the entrance is made wider and carried round the sides of the building. Under the existing conditions the blinds would simply keep out the air, and make the building more than uncomfortably warm. The width of the verandah, as it stands at present, is only 6 feet and besides affording little or no protection from the glare is too small to meet the requirements of people such as native Tindals and others calling at the Port Office on business.

Sanctioned in E.O.
374
18-8-54

The

2. The pandal erected by the Port Officer extends 11 feet in front of the verandah and 10 feet on each side of the building and allows the wind to pass through the building without admitting any glare.

3. In my opinion, a deep verandah - say 10 feet - is necessary for the entrance and the two sides of the building, and I beg that either the Superintending Engineer, VIth Circle, may be directed to prepare estimates &c. for the construction of such a protection from the sun, or that the existing pandal screen may be allowed to continue and be renewed when necessary. It cost originally Rs 24.

I have the honor to be,
sir,
your obedient servant,

H. A. Street

Commander, R.I.M.,
Presidency Port Officer.

Cur 2093

ow

With reference to the H.M.'s remarks as to the Prop. Port Officer passing the design, it is submitted that the estimate was sent up in 1894. When Commander Powell was P.P.O.

Commander Street cannot therefore be called to account.

Perhaps it is hardly necessary to send the file back to the H.M. to explain this.

Cur
15/3/98

I think this should be explained in fairness to Com^d Street. I shall therefore do so. C.D.W. 16.3.98.