Public Works (189 8) Department.

EXAMINER,
P. W. ACCOUNTS.
6 JUL 1898.
No.

G.O., No. 833-4 W

DATED 28 March 98

[Despatch Abstract.]

Lt. Aff. Close, R.E. Orders that the Govt of India be addressed on the question of granting ~~to~~ ~~awarding~~ ~~pay~~ ~~to~~ ~~him~~ whose services were temporarily lent ~~to the~~ Madras P.W.D. the increase of Staff Salary claimed by him, or of promoting him to Assistant Engineer 2nd Grade.

The Govt addressed accordingly

Saml E
28.3.98.

[P. W. 25. 1,000—2-3-98]

Indexed. 1/4.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	11						

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

14/40
13/

Papers to be read in Proceedings:—

Again G.O., dated 189 , No.

Again G.O., dated 189 , No.

Current No. 505 of 1898, dated 17.1.98, from the S.S. IV circle

" " 1917 " 1898, " 26.1.98, " —— do ——

" " " 189 , " , "

ORDER.

No. 833 W, 28.3.98

The Govt. of India will be addressed.

No. 834 W, 28.3.98

Joint letter from the Govt. of India P.W.D.

Sir,

I am directed to submit the following case for the orders of the Govt. of India.

2. Lieut. A.J. Close, R.S., whose services were temporarily lent to the Madras P.W. Dept. by the Building Dept., joined this Dept. on the 1st August 1894, as an Assistant Engineer 3rd Grade. In May 1897, he submitted a claim to an increase of staff pay of Rs. 50 per mensem from the 1st October 1896, having

A.G.H. 28.3.98

To The Govt. of India

29/3/98

A.G.H.

D/W

completed three years service on the 30th Sept. 1896, but after reference to the Examiner P.W. accounts & to Army Headquarters under Command, he was told that under note 7 to para 10, chap I, Vol I P.W. Code, an increment could only be granted after three years' actual service in the P.W. Dept.

+ now note 6 to para 12 of the same chapter.

3. He has now renewed his claim to the increase from the 1st August 1897, having completed three years' service in the dept. on the previous day, but the Examiner was under the opinion previously expressed by him was not correct, and that as Lieut. Close was appointed to the Dept in August 1894, and drew the staff scale of salary from that date, his pay should be regulated by the rule in para 10, Chap I Vol I P.W. Code, that is, he cannot be granted an increase of pay unless promoted to Assistant Engrs 2nd Grade.

4. Had Lieut. Close been on the permanent establishment of the Madras P.W. Dept. this Govt. would have been at liberty to promote him to Asst. Engrs 2nd Grade after completion of three years service, under clause (2) para 5-9, Chap I, Vol I P.W. Code, but as his pay was charged to the head temporary establishment, the Examiner reports that a reference should be made to the Govt. of India as to whether a temporary promotion to 2nd Grade may be given under the circumstances.

5. I am therefore to request a decision of the Govt. of India as to whether the increase of staff salary claimed by Lieut. Close

should be granted under
note 7 to para 10 of the Code
chapter above quoted, or
whether he may be pro-
moted to Asst. Engr 2nd Grade
under Clause 2 para 5-7, &
be allowed the increase in
this form.

6. The Examiner is of
opinion, & this Govt. agrees
with him, that note 7
to para 10 of the Code
chapter does not apply
to the rate of pay in that
para, but to those specii-
fied in para 12. If this
view be correct, I am
to suggest that the Code
to be ~~given~~ issued
ruling be made clear
than it is at present -
this Govt. has already
suggested that the reference
to Asst. Engrs 2nd Grade in
note 7 be altered to 3rd
Grade.

I am to add that Lieut
Rose was recently recalled

* now note to para 12

note. Since this was written, the note has been transferred to para 12.

to the Military Works Dept
for duty with the Straits Fd
Force, & that any increase
of pay granted to him
will cease from the date
of his relief in the Mily
P. W. Dept.

I have &c

H. Stuart 19.3.14

Cs

Cons 18/3/14.

note - the matter dealt
with in this draft is of
a technical nature - Asst.
Engineers who were in the
Dept. before Sept. 1913, are
allowed to draw the rate
of pay granted under the
old classification to officers
of the rank of Ex. Engrs 4th
Grade & downwards; e.g.
under the old classification
the rates were -

Ex. Engrs 4th Grade - Rs 600 per mensem
Asst Engrs 1st " - " 500 " "
" " 2nd " - " 350 " "
and Engrs 2nd Grade after
3 years approved
service - " 400

Seen 29/3/14

S.A.W. Cs
E.A.

^ bringing to the fact that
Lieut. Rose has attached
to the Temporary, & not
the Permanent, establish-
ment of this Presidency.

Seen A.H.

under the new classification, the 4th Executive Grade was abolished, & the rates of pay for Asst. Engrs were

1st Grade, Rs 550 per mn
2nd —"— — 450 —"—
3rd —"— — 350 —"—

Some officers of the old 4th Grade Executive became Asst Engrs 1st Grade in the new classification, & 1/3rd & 2nd Grade men became 2nd & 3rd — To prevent these officers from losing pay, they are allowed to draw, —

Asst Engrs 1st Grade Rs 600 per mn.
—"— 2nd —"— — 500 —"—
—"— 3rd —"— — 350 —"—
— "— 3rd Grade after 3 years approved service — 400 —"—

Under the new classification, there is no increase of pay for Asst. Engrs 3rd Grade after 3 years service, but a 3rd Grade officer is eligible for promotion to 2nd Grade after 3 years' service —

Lieut. Close, as a military officer, [illegible] Asst. Engr 3rd [illegible]

staff salary at Rs 200 per mensem in addition to his military pay — Under the old classification he would have been eligible to draw Rs 250 staff salary after 3 years approved service — under the new classification this increase is not given, but he would have been eligible for promotion to 2nd Grade after 3 years' service, & this would have given him the same thing, i.e. Rs 250 staff salary —

He joined the P.W.D. in August 1894. & thus comes under the new classification, in which the increase is not recognised, and being counted against the temporary establishment it is doubtful whether he can be promoted to 2nd Grade without a reference to the Govt. of India —

The Code rules have been somewhat [illegible] by which & at page being placed under [illegible]

10 instead of under para 12 - The 2nd Grade of asst. Engrs mentioned in this note refers to the old classification, & it should have been altered to 3rd Grade when the rules regarding the new classifications were embodied in the Code - The Govt. of India has already been asked to make the necessary correction with respect to the latter point.

Cr.

19.3.98

* Since this was written correction slips have been received altering 2 Grade to 3rd Grade, & transferring the note to para 12 -

C/No-1917 W 8334 Dt-2-98

Code corrected & resubmitted. necessary correction & note have been made in the draft.

Para 10, chap I Vol I of the code, gives the rate of pay under the new classification - It does not require the increment to 3rd Grade asst Engrs after 3 years service - Such officers are now eligible to promotion to 2nd Grade after 3 years service.

Cr
21.3.98

N Asst
WHS
21.3.98

) Department.

CURRENT No. 1917

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS. 6 - JUL. 1898 No.

From The Examiner, P.W. Accts. Nassau

No. 2173/E.

26th January 1898

Dated 1st March 1898

Received 2.3.98

Enclosures 2

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Lieuts A. J. Close R.E — Furnishing remarks in connection with the increased ratio of admissibility of pay to —

10 instead of under para 12 - The 2nd Grade of Asst. Surgs mentioned in this note refers to the old classification, & it should have been altered to 3rd Grade when the rules regarding the new classification were embodied in the Code - The Govt. of India has already been asked to make the necessary correction with respect to the latter point.

Cr.

19.3.98

Para 6 of the draft letter to India can now be struck out, as also the last para of the note appended to the draft -

Cr
19-3-98

Vs - Please see Genl Branch opn Para 3.

24.3.98

I tendency of Under Secy's letter is to decide

Given

(398) Department.

CURRENT No. 1917

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS. 6 - JUL. 1898 No.

From The Examiner, P. W. Accts. Madras

No. 2173/E.

Dated 26th January 1898 recpd 15th March 1898

Received 2.3.98

Enclosures 2

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Lieuts A. J. Bluse R-E — Furnishing remarks in connection with the increased rate of admissibility of pay to —

10 ‡ instead of under from 12 - The 2nd Grade of Asst. Surveyor mentioned in this note refers to the old classification, & it should have been altered to 3rd Grade when the rules regarding the new classification were embodied in the Code - The Govt. of India has already been addressed to make the necessary correction with respect to the latter point.

Cr.

W. Hall 19.3.98

‡ Since this was written

Keep the rules here

Vide Rule Nos 260 & 261 which I have up to date - Hall 19 3 98

W The S.O.'s will be found in the enclosed file (Nos 260 & 261), which I now for the first time open I had submitted this despatch 2nd Grade has now been altered to 3rd Grade in the note, & the note itself has

2,223-98 R24-3-98

Public Works (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 1917

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS. 6 - JUL. 1898 No.

Order

From The Examiner, P. W. Accts, Nassau

No. 2173/E.

Dated 26th January 1898 ~~1st March 1898~~

Received 2-3-98

Enclosures 2

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Lieuts A. J. Close R.E — Furnishing remarks in connection with the increased rating of inadmissibility of pay to —

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	391C	P.W.	21.1.98
	4455C	..	2.11.97
	1135D	..	6-8-97
	673D	..	30-6-97
	2812C	..	9.6.97
	2604W	..	13.10.97(CC)

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

P.W.D

From Lieut a.j. Close R.E.
Assist. Engr.

To The Executive Engineer
Salem District

EXAMINER,
P. W. ACCOUNTS.
24 JAN. 1898
No.....

EXAMINER,
P. W. ACCOUNTS.
6 - JUL. 1898
No.....

Salem
Jan.y 10th 1898

Sir,

I have the honour to draw attention to the fact that on the 1st August 1897 I completed my third year of actual service in the Public Works Department.

I have therefore the honour to claim an increase of Staff Salary of Rupees fifty per month with effect from the above date

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

A.J. Close
Lieut RE
AE

10/1/98.



No. 27 SL
14 JAN 98
SURVEY OF INDIA
FOURT-U
5/1
10 JAN 98

No. 22/S.E of 11-1-98

Forwarded to the Supg. Engineer IV Circle for disposal.

Lt. Lambourn
Ex. Engineer
Salem
11-1-98.

No. 97 No. 18/W

Submitted to the Chief Engineer P.W.D for disposal.

Camp Kollegal
17.1.98.

Supg. Engineer 4th Circle

Public Works (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. ~~505~~ 917/98

EXAMINER,
P. W. ACCOUNTS.
24 JAN. 1898
No.

EXAMINER,
P. W. ACCOUNTS.
6 JUL 1898
No.

No. 391C dated 21st January 1898.

From The Supg. Engineer 4th Circle

Order O.M.

No. 18/W

To C

in favour of

remarks.

Dated 17th January 1898.

Received 20.1.98

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Lieut. A. J. Close, R.E. Assistant Engineer

Submits for disposal letter from — claiming an increase of staff salary from August 1897.

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

E. No. 27.
1,000—6-9-97.

A

KEEP-WITH CURRENT No. GOVT. OF MADRAS. } 1897-8 { P. W. DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

dated 189 .

Madras, 26th January / 1st March 1897-8

OFFICE NOTE No. 217 A/E.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS. 6 JUL 1898 No.

To

W.

Your Office Note No.391 C dated 21st.January 1898.

I have already noted on Lt.Close's title to increment in my Office Note No.314 A dated 25th.June 1897,but, on reconsideration do not think the opinion therein expressed is correct.

6730 30-6-97

2.Having been appointed to the P.W.Department on 1st.August 1894 and drawn the staff scale of pay from that date,Lt.Close's pay is regulated by the rule in para 10,Chapter I,Volume I of the P.W.Code,and unless promoted to the 2nd.grade he cannot be granted an increase of staff pay.

3. In my opinion the rule in note 7 to para 10 of the Code rules above quoted does not apply to the rates of pay laid down in that para,but only to the scale of pay admissible to officers in the Military Works Department and to Assistant Engineers falling under Code para 12 of the same Chapter Vide Government of India Resolution No. 2523 G dated 28th.September 1893,paras

2

2,3 and 4 communicated with G.O.No. 2604 W dated I3th.October I893, and I fancy our Code ruling will sooner or later be made clearer than it at present is on the point.

4. Had Lt.Close been on the permanent list,he would have completed 3 years service on Ist.~~October~~ August I897, and thus have been eligible for promotion,under P.W.Code Volume I,Chapter I para 59 (2). I would therefore suggest,that,if Government are willing to promote him,the Government of India be asked to state whether a temporary promotion to Assistant Engineer,2nd.grade,can under the circumstances,be given.

5. Please also see my Office Note No.796 A/E dated 27th.October I897 informing you that Lt.Close's pay has been passed against the Temporary list of the Madras P.W.D. Scale of Engineers,from I5th.July I897.

4455C
2.11.97

W/1.3.98

C.

9/13