

Public Works (1898) Department.

G.O., No. 635 *J*

DATED 20 August '98

[Despatch Abstract.]

Objectionable items: orders on State of
in the accounts of the Treasury Department
unremitted to end of April '98, 4 items.

J

Inguicer for

BACK PAPERS.

G.O. No. Department. Date.

471 3 20.6 98
431 3 23.5 98
279 3 27.31.3 98
164 2 27.4.3.8 98
882 27.12.98

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O. No. Department. Date.

NOTES.

Reference to P. W. D. Code.

Chapter XIV, section ii, paras. 61 to 63 and 65.

1. The object of this Return is to place before Government an analysis of all shortcomings in matters of financial regularity in the operations of each month, with a view to their being remedied. It should be submitted to the local Government on the 1st day of the third month succeeding that to which the accounts relate, and should be drawn up separately for each fund and branch.

2. The statement will be posted from the Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items (Form No. 39) and Schedules of the Divisions concerned. The statement need not be delayed in order to embody the remarks of the Superintending Engineers if these do not reach the office in time. It is desirable, however, that the Superintending Engineer's opinion should be recorded, as far as may be practicable, and in order to ensure this being done, the Divisional Statement of Objectionable items should be checked immediately on receipt and sent to the Superintending Engineer for his remarks before the audit of the other accounts is proceeded with.

3. All Executive Officers who have failed to submit their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items with their Monthly Accounts, as well as those whose statements are generally incomplete, should be specially brought to the notice of Government under "General Remarks" at the end of the statement.

4. Items of irregular expenditure, which are left uninclosed by Executive Engineers in their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items, should be distinctly noted as such, either in a separate supplementary statement or in the original statement in red ink.

5. Columns under all the heads should be filled up in each case, so as to bring out clearly where estimate or appropriation exists, and where it does not.

6. In the case of large projects such important features as the following should be stated with precision:-

- A. Lump sum estimate.
- B. Abstract detail estimate by sub-heads, and so on.
- 1. Submitted to Chief Engineer.
- 2. Submitted to Supdg Engineer.
- 3. Not yet submitted.

7. A large work which is proceeded with on merely a lump sum sanction is still objectionable till an abstract and detail estimate by sub-heads is submitted and sanctioned for it by competent authority.

8. Special mention should be made of works being carried out in portions by authorities, beyond whose powers of sanction it would be to sanction the expenditure as a whole.

9. An important point to notice is the duration of a serious irregularity.

10. Voluminous masses of detail, when their practical significance could be given in a few lines, should, as a rule, be avoided. If, for instance, the state of matters as to sanction in one month does not materially differ from that of a preceding month, it will answer all practical purposes to say so, instead of sending another full report.

11. Too much stress can never be laid on the fact that this statement is not to take the place of Special reports, then and there, of works on which serious irregular expenditure is being largely incurred, either without estimate or appropriation.

12. The entries in the statements should be made by Circles and Divisions so as to enable the form for Divisional registers of objectionable expenditure to be done away with.

13. Serious delays in the submission of completion reports should also be reported in this statement.

14. In the column "Orders of Local Government" in the office copy of the statement, against those items which have been remedied, the number and date of the order or sanction removing the objection should be entered.

15. An extract from this statement of all the more serious irregularities should be submitted to the Accountant General, Public Works Department, and all those items which have to be specially brought to his notice should have marked against them in the column "Orders of Local Government," in the office copy, "Accountant General, Public Works Department," in red ink.

16. This statement, as also the extract referred to above, will be accompanied by an abstract of the objectionable items unremedied in Form No. 62B.

P. W. D. Form No. 62 A.

Cement No. 6448

Madras PROVINCE.

1st Circle

BRANCH— Irrigation

FUND— See funds

MONTH— April 1898 Dupli
046448/98

Statement of Objectionable items in the accounts of Executive Engineers remaining unremedied to end of the month.

No.

Dated the 3- August 1898

Submitted to the Secretary to the Government of Madras

in the Public Works Department.

PROVINCE

Statement of Objectionable items in the accounts of Executive Engineers remaining unremedied to end of

April 1898.

Item No.	Circle, Division and Description of work.	Month in the accounts of which objectionable expenditure first appeared.	COMPARISON WITH ESTIMATE.		
			Amount of estimate.	Expenditure up to date.	Excess over estimate.
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1	Imperial Irrigation 35 Construction. Reductive Irrigation Works Excess Over Estimate — Ganjam — Sivada Reservoir Dam — Constructed; the June 97	June 97	275 000	3,32 132	57 132
2	Bridge to Carry Berhampore Gopalpore road @ 10 7/8 m + 50 ft. No 11 Distributary & Canal Sept 97	Sept 97	740	782	42
3	In and outlet @ 7/6 m of Boranga Distributary Oct 97	Oct 97	680	779	99

COMPARISON WITH APPROPRIATION.			Miscellaneous irregularities.	Expenditure during the month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer with final remarks by the Examiner.	Orders by Local Government or Administration.
Amount of appropriation.	Expenditure of the year.	Excess over appropriation.				
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
					Item 1 Sanction of Government of India is the revised estimate of Rs. 4,26,200/- is awaited - (vide G.O. No. 323 D dated 19 th April 1898)	No 6352 29.8.98 Sanction of Govt of India to the revised estimate of Rs. 4,26,200 is still awaited (vide G.O. No. 323 D dated 19 th April 98)
					Item 2 Orders of Government in Provincial Objectionable Items Statement for March 98 were - "The sanction of Government to the excess should be applied for without further delay"	Govt. to the excess should be applied for without any further delay.
					Item 3 Orders of Government in Provincial Objectionable Items Statement for March 98 were - "The sanction of Government to the excess should be applied for without further delay"	

Statement of Objectionable items in the accounts of Execut

Item No.	Circle, Division and Description of Work.	Month in the accounts of which objectionable expenditure first appeared.	COMPARISON WITH ESTIMATE.		
			Amount of estimate.	Expenditure up to date.	Excess or deficit.
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
42	Major Works. Working Expenses — Godavari Central				
4 M & R 1898-99	Gummaray Canal	April 98	—	710	710
5	— Do — Bank Canal	Do	—	778	778
	Imperial Irrigation —				
42	Major Works. Working Expenses				
	Want of Estimate				
	— Godavari Eastern Division				
6	Raising banks of Biccarda drain	Aug ^r 96	—	2315	2315
7	Repairing No. 4 Steam Bridge	March 98	—	713	713
	Excess Over Estimate				
	— Godavari Eastern Division				
8	Division of Kulla side channel at Mullaavaram	Feb 98	1170	2585	1415

PROVINCE.

Engineers remaining unremedied to end of April 1898.

COMPARISON WITH APPROPRIATION.			Miscellaneous irregularities.	Expenditure during the month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer with final remarks by the Examiner.	Orders by Local Government or Administration.
Amount of appropriation.	Expenditure of the year.	Excess over appropriation.				
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
019	019				Item 4 & 5	Sanctioned as soon as possible
399	399				778	Sanctioned as soon as possible
100	100				Item 6	Orders of Government in Provincial objectionable items statement for March 98 were - "The estimate should be sanctioned as soon as possible"
					Item 7	Orders of Government in Provincial objectionable items statement for March 98 were - "The estimate should be sanctioned as soon as possible"
					Item 8	Orders of Government in Provincial objectionable items statement for March 98 were - "The excess should be sanctioned as soon as possible"

PROVINCE.

Engineers remaining unremedied to end of April 1898.

Statement of Objectionable items in the accounts of Executive

Item No.	Circle, Division and Description of Work.	Month in the accounts of which objectionable expenditure first appeared.	COMPARISON WITH ESTIMATE.		
			Amount of estimate.	Expenditure up to date.	Excess or deficit.
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
	Imperial Irrigation				
42	Major Works - Working Expenses				
	— Excesses Godavari Division				
	— Godavari Eastern Division				
9	Maintenance of Amla and head works for the Season 1897-98 (200 supply) March 98	March 98	1,150	1,907	757
10	Division of Bank Canal at Markapalli	March 98	5,700	7,227	1,527
	— Godavari Western Division				
11	Narasapur Canal Maintenance and repairs (Completed) March 98	March 98	13,500	14,233	733
12	Maintenance of Bank Canal (Completed) 200 Supply		7,350	8,201	851

COMPARISON WITH APPROPRIATION.			Miscellaneous irregularities.	Expenditure during the month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer with final remarks by the Examiner.	Orders by Local Government or Administration.
Amount of appropriation.	Expenditure of the year.	Excess over appropriation.				
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
					Item 9	
					Orders of Government in Provincial Obj. Items Statement for March 98 were "the excess should be sanctioned as soon as possible"	
					Item 10	
					Orders of Government in Provincial Obj. Items Statement for March 98 were "the excess should be sanctioned as soon as possible"	vide orders against item 8.
					Item 11	
					Orders of Government in Provincial Obj. Items Statement for March 98 were "the excess should be sanctioned as soon as possible"	
					Item 12	
					Sanction to admit the excess outlay is awaited	

Madras

PROVINCE.

Statement of Objectionable items in the accounts of Executive

Engineers remaining unremedied to end of

April 1898.

Item No.	Circle, Division and Description of Work.	Month in the accounts of which objectionable expenditure first appeared.	COMPARISON WITH ESTIMATE.		
			Amount of estimate.	Expenditure up to date.	Excess over estimate.
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
13	Provincial Irrigation Major Works. Agricultural Want of Estimate Godavari Eastern Division Improving Canal in Vasista & Goulami branches March 98 Suppl.		"	1215	1215
14	Minor Works & Navigation Want of Estimate Godavari Eastern Division Closing breaches of Konekwar bank of Gummala doddli Nov 1897		"	1650	1650
15	Protecting the eroded portion in the river Mangani below Dindigul. Waram Ancient in 3 rd & 7 th furlop in 1 st mile Jan 98		"	513	513
16	Excess Over Estimate Godavari Eastern Division Division of River bank at Marka. palli from 30 M 7 ft to 54 ft March 98		20800	29250	8750

COMPARISON WITH APPROPRIATION.			Miscellaneous irregularities.	Expenditure during the month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer with final remarks by the Examiner.	Orders by Local Government or Administration.
Amount of appropriation.	Expenditure of the year.	Excess over appropriation.				
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
					Item 13. Sanction to estimate awaited	
					Item 14. Orders of Government in Provincial Objectionable Items Statement for March 98 were "the estimate should be sanctioned as soon as possible" vide orders against item 14.	
					Item 15. Orders of Government in Provincial Objectionable Items Statement for March 1898 were "the estimate should be sanctioned as soon as possible"	
					Item 16. Orders of Government in The further Item 16: By Item 16: excess should be sanctioned for March 1898 were be sanctioned "the excess should be as soon as possible" as possible.	
					Excess	

Madras

Statement of Objectionable items in the accounts of Executive

Item No.	Circle, Division and Description of Work.	Month in the accounts of which objectionable expenditure first appeared.	COMPARISON WITH ESTIMATE.		
			Amount of estimate.	Expenditure up to date.	Excess over estimate.
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
43	Minor works in Godavari & Eastern Divisions				
17	Improvements to Jagappaiah tank of Orakayalappalli	Nov: 97	3250	4332	1082

PROVINCE.

Engineers remaining unremedied to end of April 1898.

COMPARISON WITH APPROPRIATION.			Miscellaneous irregularities.	Expenditure during the month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer with final remarks by the Examiner.	Orders by Local Government or Administration.
Amount of appropriation.	Expenditure of the year.	Excess over appropriation.				
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
					Excess on lay to the extent of Rs 545/- has been passed by a Superintending Engineer in his No 3029 dated 15 th Jan 1898. Sanction of Superintending Engineer to admit the further excess of Rs 329/- is awaited.	
					Item 17 Orders of Government in Prov: Ch: Memo State ment for March 98 were "the excess should be sanctioned as soon as possible" Excess on lay to the extent of Rs 1064/- has been passed by Superintending Engineer in Prov: Ch: Memo statement for February 98. Sanction of Superintending Engineer to admit the further excess of Rs 18/- is awaited.	Vide orders against item 17

Madras

PROVINCE.

Statement of Objectionable items in the accounts of Executive

Engineers remaining unremedied to end of

April 1898.

Item No.	Circle, Division and Description of Work.	Month in the accounts of which objectionable expenditure first appeared.	COMPARISON WITH ESTIMATE.		
			Amount of estimate.	Expenditure up to date.	Excess over estimate.
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
43	Minor Works & Navigation Excess Over Estimate - Godavery Western Division				
18	Clay bridges Vairata Godavery right bank from Chinchinada ferry to Narasapur	March 98 Suppl:	6200	7339	639
19	Diversion of Govtani R.R. from 10 m 4 ft to 11 m 3 ft	March 98 Suppl:	9200	9942	742
	Imperial Irrigation 249 Capital Expenditure - Excess Over Estimate - Godavery Western Division				
20	Excavating N.I. proposed branch of Ramaswaram Channel (Completed)	June 97	940	1053	113
21	Diversion of Bank Canal at Godkellanka set 1896 Compensation for land and trees	March 98 Suppl:	850	930	80

COMPARISON WITH APPROPRIATION.			Miscellaneous irregularities.	Expenditure during the month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer with final remarks by the Examiner.	Orders by Local Government or Administration.
Amount of appropriation.	Expenditure of the year.	Excess over appropriation.				
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
					Item 18 The revised estimate should be sanctioned as soon as possible	
					Item 19 Sanction of Superintending Engineer to pass the excess already awarded	
					Item 20 Orders of Government in April 98 were the sanction of Government to the excess should be applied for without further delay	
					Item 21 Sanction of Government to pass the excess already awarded	

Madras

Statement of Objectionable items in the accounts of Executive

Item No.	Circle, Division and Description of Work.	Month in the accounts of which objectionable expenditure first appeared.	COMPARISON WITH ESTIMATE.		
			Amount of estimate.	Expenditure up to date.	Excess over estimate.
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
	Miscellaneous Irregularities Works reported Completed and for which Completion Statements not yet received - - 42 Nagar works - - Gadavay Eastern Division				
	Diversin of Bank Canal below Markapalli Lock		16,700	15,025	
	Bank Canal excavating irrigation Channel from Shiris R. to 35 1/2 m.		14,80 ~~14,60~~	1,306	

PROVINCE.

Engineers remaining unremedied to end of April 1898.

COMPARISON WITH APPROPRIATION.			Miscellaneous irregularities.	Expenditure during the month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer with final remarks by the Examiner.	Orders by local Government or Administration.
Amount of appropriation.	Expenditure of the year.	Excess over appropriation.				
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
					Item 22 Orders of Government in Provincial Objectionable Items Statement for March 98 were - "The submission of the Completion Statement should be submitted to the Examiner of P.W. Accounts should be expedited" without delay.	
					Item 20 Orders of Government in Provincial Objectionable Items Statement for March 98 were - "The submission of the Completion Statement should be submitted to the Examiner of P.W. Accounts should be expedited" without delay.	

E. a. Decmy

Examiner, P. W. Accounts.

Q-3-8-28

