

Public Works (1898.) Department.

G.O., No. 599 W

DATED 8th March 1898

[Despatch Abstract.]

Ekambaramatha temple, Conjevaram: Pross;
Public Dept Comrs: with remarks to the Govt
Epigraphist, & the from the Collector of Kanyakumari
on the subject of the inscribed stones in
the —, Comd to S.E. Office.

Indexed.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	3754C						
	4-11-93						
	3659C						
	6-11-93						
	2700W						
	11-10-93						
	file						

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[6]
1,600-2-2-97.

Public Works Educational (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 1843

From

The Public Dept

Order

No: 599 W 3 98.

Communicated
to the S. E. V. under.

No. 325

Dated 25 January 1898.

Received

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Communicating with remarks to the
South Epigraphical letter from the
Collr. of Chingleput on the
Subject of the inscribed stones
in the Ekamrathas temple
at Corijeranam

S. E. V. under

3754C/93

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Copy of letter from the Collector of Chingleput District Ref. on 3151/Genl. of 1897, dated 7th February 1898:

With reference to the Memorandum of Government No. 2647, Public, dated 3rd December 1897, I have the honour to invite attention to this office letters No. 190, dated 26th September 1893 (read in G.O. No. 824, Public, dated 5th October 1893) and No. 240, dated 25th November 1893 a perusal of which will show the action taken on paragraph 2 of G.O. No. 642, dated 14th August 1893.

2. The Executive Engineer informed me in his letter No. 2813, dated 27th November 1893, that he had ordered the Overseer, Chakrapani Row to render what aid he could to the temple authorities in the removal and rearrangement of the stones, as far as he could spare time: Vide copy of his letter 481 M, dated 24th November 1893. The Dharmakatha of the temple in question intimated to the Tahsildar that the stones removed under the superintendence of the Overseer. As the then Dharmakatha died 5 months ago, it is not known whether intimation of the removal of the stones was given to the Overseer.

3. The Gumastha, the Monigar and the architect of the temple say that the Overseer did not superintend the removal of the stones; that the stones of the inner shrine are preserved within the temple compound; that those of the first enclosure have been used in rebuilding it; that some of the stones were found at the time of removal to be broken and the inscriptions mostly worn out; and that the stones used in repairing the walls of a temple near the Sarvathirtham tank were stones that had been found buried in the ground and not those containing inscriptions.

4. I am asking the Executive Engineer to instruct his sub-divisional officer to ascertain from the temple authorities what they propose to do with the stones not yet utilized and to arrange for the superintendence of their re-arrangement. The Tahsildar is also being directed to instruct the

5. The enclosure to the memo are returned.

Public Department.



PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT
Read again G.O. No. 824 Public, dated 5th October 1893.
" " " " 963 " " 30th November 1893, com-
municating to the Government Epigraphist letter from the
Collector of Chingleput regarding the removal &c of the 1st
enclosure of the shrine and of the shrine itself of the
Uttambaranatha temple at Conjeeveram.

Read also the following paper
110 dated 25

Read also the following papers:

From the Collector of CHARGE
No. 3151/Genl. of 1897, dated 7th February 1898.

dated 23rd February 1898

Order No. 325, dated 23rd February 1898.

(True Extract)

for Chief Secretary.

To
The Government Epigraphist.
,, Collector of Chingleput.
Copy to the Public Works Department.

23/2/58

20/9/98