

Public Works (1898) Department.

G.O., No. 1454

DATED

14 June 98

[Despatch Abstract.]

Administration Report of the P.W.D. Irrigation
Branch : Letter from the Govt of India enquiring
if there is any objection to Statement III F in the
being compiled for the official year as in
the Census Statement IV E, communicated to the
Chief Engineer for Irrigation, & the Secy. Dept.
requested to call for the opinion of the
Board of Rev. on the proposed change.

[P.W. 35.
1,000-12-25]

Indexed 9

[P.W. 35.
1,000-2-3-98]

Indexed 10

Government {1898} of Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Spare copies

JUNE.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Irrigation.

READ—the following paper:—

From O. C. LEES, Esq., Under Secretary to the Government of India, Public Works Department (Civil Works—Irrigation), to the Joint Secretary to the Government of Madras, Public Works Department, Irrigation Branch, dated Simla, 13th May 1898, No. 86 I.

I am directed to acknowledge receipt of copy of the Proceedings of the Government of Madras, No. 317 I., dated 18th April 1898, ordering the substitution of revised statements III E and IV E for those printed in the Administration Report of the Public Works Department, Irrigation Branch, for 1896-97.

2. In the concluding sentence of paragraph 5 of the Board's Resolution No. 98, dated 1st March 1898 (which is embodied in those Proceedings) it is explained that the difference between the figures of the two statements is due partly to the fact that statement IV E relates to the official, while statement III E relates to the fasli year.

3. The Government of India are aware of no reason why the practice of compiling statement III E for the fasli year (which is an inconvenient one for purposes of comparison) should not be discontinued and the statement compiled in future for the official year as is done in every other province. I am to enquire whether the Government of Madras have any reasons to urge against such a change being made.

ORDER—No. 454 I., dated 14th June 1898.

Communicated to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

2. The Board of Revenue (Revenue Settlement, Land Records and Agriculture), will be requested in the Revenue Department to report on the change proposed in paragraph 3 of the Government of India letter.

(True Extract.)

Under Secretary to Government,
Irrigation Branch.

To the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
" Superintending Engineers.
" the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
" the Revenue Department.

Exd. Shaik Karim.

Public Works (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 4445

India's letter re - discontinuance of the practice of compiling stat. III E for the Administration Report of the Irrigation Branch
No. 454 I. 8/14.6.98.

Order thereon.

A.H. 5698

From the Govt. of India

Communicated to
the Chief Eng. for Irrigation.
2. The Board of Rev,
Rev Sett. Land Records
& Agriculture, will be
requested in the Rev
Dept to report on the
change proposed in
para 3 of the Govt of
India letter.

No. 86 I

Dated 13th May 1898.

Received } 23 - 00 -

Registered }

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Inquiring whether the Madras Govt. have any objection to urge against the discontinuance of the practice of compiling statement III E for the fasli year & the adoption of the practice of compiling the statement for the official year as is done in other provinces.

To

The Chief Eng. for Irrigation.
Superintending Engineers.
Exd. Shaik Karim.
Rev Dept.

L.C.

1,000-23-4-98.

2P

The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Public Works Department.

Simla, the 13th May 1898.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge receipt of copy of the Proceedings of the Government of Madras, No. 317 I, dated 18th April 1898, ordering the substitution of revised statements III E and IV E for those printed in the Administration Report of the Public Works Department, Irrigation Branch, for 1896-97.

2. In the concluding sentence of paragraph 5 of the Board's Resolution No.99 dated 1st March 1898 (which is embodied in those Proceedings) it is explained that the difference between the figures of the two statements is due partly to the fact that statement IV E relates to the official, while statement III E relates to the fasli year.

3. The Government of India are aware of no reason why the practice of compiling statement III E for the fasli year (which is an inconvenient one for purposes of comparison) should not be discontinued and the statement compiled in future for the official year as is done in every other province. I am to enquire whether the Government

01

of Madras have any reasons to urge against such a change
being made.

I have the honour to be, Sir,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

O. L. Lees

Under Secy. to the Govt. of India.

Documents accompanying :-

8/13/5

Nil.

3/13