(W) 3153 Y

Public Works (1898) Department.

G.O., No. 3878 I

DATED 11 April 1898.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Bomma Sandra Narakanahalli tank in Mysore Territory: Concurring in the opinion of the Chief Engineer for Mines that permission to raise the level of the weir of the — sought by the Mysore Durbar should be refused.

Bomma Sandra Narakanahalli tank in Mysore territory: Stating that the Government of Madras Govt regrets having to withhold its consent to the proposal to raise the weir level of the —.

Indexed.

[P. W. 56—1,000—12-1-98.]

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	
286-D							
4.3.98							

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	

IRRIGATION.

Government {1898} of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Recd. }
} 1898.
Regd. }

Enclosures

Spare copies

G.O., No. 387 I., 11th May 1898.

MAY.

Bommasundra Nayakaralu Tank in Mysore Territory

Concurring in the opinion of the Chief Engineer for Irrigation that the permission to raise the level of the weir of the — sought by the Mysore Durbar should be refused.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Irrigation.

READ—the following papers :—

Proceedings of Madras Government, No. 237 I., dated 19th March 1898.

From the Collector of Anantapur, to the Executive Engineer, Bellary Division, dated 25th March 1898, Dis. No. 1140.

In reply to your letter, No. 633, dated 21st January 1898, relating to the proposal of the Mysore Durbar to raise the water-level of the Bommasundra Nayakaralu tank, situated in the Sreekuntapuram tank group, I have the honour to state that any proposal to increase the storage of water in a tank of the Mysore Province situated within the group will greatly cut short the supply of the tanks within the British territory in years of ordinary rainfall. The figures given in the cultivation statement accompanying your letter above referred to are incorrect and the cultivated area shown therein (even after including the second-crop cultivation) does not agree with the figures now furnished by the Tahsildar (except in the case of Sreekuntapuram) and the extent of paddy cultivation is much less than the total wet crop cultivation. Though the supply of the three tanks referred to in your letter (which are fed by the surplus of tanks above them in the group) is supplemented by a good number of wells under the tanks, the entire ayacut is not used for wet cultivation and the dry crop cultivation is on the increase year after year. This is due to the insufficient supply of water to the above tanks. The accompanying statement gives particulars of cultivation in the past five faslis under those tanks. The ryots of the villages of Kotapi and Pulamati strongly object to the Mysore authorities raising the level of the tank and they bitterly complain that the construction of a new tank at Malipi has already reduced the supply in their tanks. The Head Assistant Collector, to whom the matter was referred, is against the raising of the water-level of the tank by the Mysore Durbar and I agree with him. A copy of his letter, Dis. No. 468, dated 16th March 1898, and the tracing received from you are enclosed.

ENCLOSURE.

STATEMENT showing the ayacut, actual cultivation, &c., under each of the three tanks given below.

Fasli.	Sreekuntapuram tank i. Capacity of tank 31·05 M.C.F.				Pulamati tank ii. Capacity of tank 29·11.				Kotapi tank iii. Capacity of tank 34·20.					Remarks.
	Total ayacut under the tank.	Dry crop cultivation, extent.	Wet cultivation.		Total cultivation.	Paddy cultivation in acres.	Total ayacut under the tank.	Dry crop cultivation, extent.	Wet cultivation.		Total cultivation.	Paddy cultivation in acres.		
			First crop.	Second crop.					First crop.	Second crop.				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
	ACS.	ACS.	ACS.	ACS.	ACS.	ACS.	ACS.	ACS.	ACS.	ACS.	ACS.	ACS.	ACS.	
1302 ..	304·56	20·16	264·80	9·46	288·40	266	68·66	1·15	498·0	49·00	545·35	207	602·70	
1303 ..	..	43·43	264·95	72·90	337·28	217	..	0·75	440·60	10·35	451·40	11	..	33·85
1304 ..	..	47·55	264·95	82·10	394·30	202	..	1·60	476·85	68·10	547·35	102	..	32·58
1305 ..	..	55·60	266·97	147·85	470·42	283	..	0·50	499·75	119·20	612·45	199	..	39·75
1306 ..	..	11·30	266·97	6·70	281·97	8	..	..	458·20	18·35	476·55	1	..	..

(Signed) ARUMUGAM,
for Collector.

ANANTAPUR,
25th March 1898.

No. 6598 I.

Submitted to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, together with the statement, in reply to G.O., No. 236 I. of 19th March 1898.

The figures of capacity have been furnished by the Supervisor in charge of No. 4 Party, Tank Restoration Scheme, and being so very small compared with the respective ayacuts, still further show the importance of not interfering in any way with the source of supply.

(Signed) J. C. LARMINIE,
Superintending Engineer, III Circle.

31st March 1898.

No. 131 I.

Note by the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

The information called for in the proceedings read above has now been furnished by the Collector of Anantapur who forwards a statement showing the ayacut actual cultivation and approximate capacities of three tanks which would be affected by the proposal of the Mysore Durbar to raise the level of the weir of the Bommasundra Nayakaralu tank in Mysore territory.

From this statement it will be seen that the aggregate ayacut of the three tanks is 1,665·90 acres, that the extent of wet cultivation under the tanks for these five faslis ending 1306 is considerably less than the ayacut, and that the extent of paddy cultivation is very much less than the ayacut. The Collector explains that the supply of the three tanks is supplemented by a number of wells and states that, owing to the insufficient supply of water in the tanks, the extent of dry crop cultivation under them is on the increase year after year. As pointed out by the Superintending Engineer, the capacities of the tanks are very small compared with the areas of land under them indicating the special importance of not interfering in any way with the source of their supply.

It is considered therefore that the circumstances fully warrant a refusal of consent to the proposals of the Mysore Government by the Madras Government.

(Signed) W. HUGHES,
Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

5th April 1898.

ORDER—No. 387 I., dated 11th May 1898.

The Government concurs in the opinion expressed by the Chief Engineer for Irrigation that permission to raise the level of the weir of the Bommasundra tank sought by the Mysore Durbar should be refused.

The following letter will be sent.

[Here enter No. 388 I., dated 11th May 1898.]

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUGHES,
Joint Secy. to Government,
Irrigation Branch.

To the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
,, Superintending Engineer, III Circle.
,, Political Department.

Exd. Md. Pahilvan.

IRRIGATION.

Government {1898} of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Recd. } 1898. *Enclosures*
Regd. } *Spare copies*

Letter, No. 388 I., 11th May 1898.

MAY.

Bommasundra Nayakaralu Tank in Mysore Territory

Stating that the Madras Government regrets having to withhold its consent to the proposal to raise the weir level of the —.

No. 388 I., 11TH MAY 1898.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Irrigation.

LETTER—from

W. HUGHES, Esq.,
Joint Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Public Works Department,
Irrigation Branch,

To

THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

SIR,

In continuation of my letter, No. 237 I., dated 19th March 1898, and in reply to your letter, No. 39, dated 11th June 1897, I am directed to inform you that the information supplied by the local officers shows that the proposal to raise the level of the weir of the Bommasundra Nayakaralu tank in Mysore territory would curtail the supply of the marginally-noted tanks in British territory, the ayacut of which amcunts to 1,665·90 acres. It is further shown that the capacity of these tanks is already too small and that any interference with the supply they now get such as would be the case were the storage capacity of the Bommasundra Nayakaralu tank increased would adversely affect the interests of the lands under the British tanks. Under these circumstances I am directed to say that this Government regrets having to withhold its consent to the proposal to raise the weir level of the Bommasundra Nayakaralu tank in the Goribidnur taluk, Mysore State.

		acs.
Sreekuntapuram tank	... ...	304·55
Pulamati	„	668·65
Kotapi	„	692·70

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

(Signed) W. HUGHES,
Joint Secy. to Government,
Irrigation Branch.

OOTACAMUND,
11th May 1898.

Exd. Md. Pahilvan.

Public Works (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 3183

from The Chief Engr for Irrign

Order

No. 131 I

Dated 15-4-98

Received

Registered 17.4.98

Enclosures 2

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Bommasamudram Nayakara Tank — Note refusing to grant permission to the proposal of Mysore Durbar to raise the level of the weir of the —

11/9

Public Works (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 4 a/n

From the Superintg. Engineer
3rd Circle
Bellary

Order

No. 6548 I

Dated 31 — 3 — 98

Received 1 — 4 — 98

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Bommasamudram
Nayakanaka tank.
Furnishing the
required information
regarding ——

D.E.I.

1/4/98 7/4/98 10/4-98

7/4/98

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
R 161 I C	21-3-98

13 11 of

Copy of letter from the Collector of Anantapur to the Exec: Engineer Bellary Division dated 25 March 98. Dis No. 1140.

In reply to your letter No. 633 dated 21st January 1898 relating to the proposal of the Mysore Durbar to raise the water level of the Bommasandra Nayakerla Tank situated in the Srekuntapuram tank group, I have the honour to state that any proposal to increase the storage of water in a tank of the Mysore Province situated within the group will greatly cut short the supply of the tanks within the British Territory in years of ordinary rainfall. The figures given in the cultivation statement accompanying your letter above referred to are incorrect and the cultivated area shown therein (even after including the 2nd crop cultivation) does

does not agree with the figures now furnished by the Sabordar (except in the case of Sreekantapuram) and the extent of paddy cultivation is much less than the total wet crop cultivation. Though the supply of the three tanks referred to in your letter (which are fed by the surplus of tanks above them in the group) is supplemented by a good number of wells under the tanks the entire ayacut is not used for wet cultivation and the dry crop cultivation is on the increase year after year. This is due to the insufficient supply of water to the above tanks. The accompanying statement gives particulars of cultivation in the past 5 faslies under those tanks. The ryots of the villages of Kotapi and Pulamati strongly object to the Mysore authorities raising the level of the tank and they bitterly complain that the construction of a new tank at Malipi has

has already reduced the supply in their tanks. The Head Asstt Collector to whom the matter was referred is against the raising of the water level of the tank by the Mysore Durbar and I agree with him. A copy of his letter Dis No 468 dated 16 March 98 and the tracing received from you are enclosed.

Enclosure 1 statement.

(Copy)

No 6598 I of 31 March 1898

Submitted to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation together with the statement, in reply to G.O No 236 I of 19 March 1898.

The figures of capacity have been furnished by the Supervisor in charge of No 4 Party I.R. Scheme, & being so very small compared with the respective Ayacuts, still further show the importance of not interfering in any way with the source of supply

J C [illegible]

30-3-98

Supt'g Engineer III Circle

CHIEF ENGINEER FOR IRRIGATION.
1 APR 1898
No.

Statement showing the ayacut, actual cultivation etc., under each of the t

Fasli.	Sreekuntapuram Tank. I Capacity of Tank 31·05 M.C.F							Puvernati tank II Capacity of Tank 22·11							Kol Capacit		
	Total ayacut under the tank	Dry Crop cultivation Extent.	Wet cultivation 1st Crop	Wet cultivation 2d Crop.	Total cultivation.	Paddy cultivation in acres		Total ayacut under the tank	Dry Crop Cultivation Extent.	Wet cultivation. 1st Crop.	Wet cultivation. 2d Crop	Total Cultivation	Paddy Cultivation in acres		Total ayacut under the tank	Dry Crop cultivation Extent.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7		8	9	10	11	12	13		14	15	
	acs. cnts	acres															
1302	304·55	29 15	264 80	94 45	388 40	266		668·65	1 15	418	46 20	545 35	207		692·70 tanks	38 50	5
1303		43 43	264 95	72 90	381 85	217		-	- 75	440	10 35	451 90	11		-	33 85	4
1304		47 05	264 75	52 10	364 30	202		-	1 60	476	68 90	547 35	102		-	32 65	3
1305		55 65	286 97	147 85	470 42	253		-	- 0	492	119 50	612 45	199		-	39 75	5
1306		11 30	266 97	6 70	284 97	8		-	- -	458	16 85	475 5	1		-	- -	4

Anantapur Collector's Office
Dated 25th March 1898.

(Signed) V. [illegible] Clerk
Engineer
Bellary Dt

(True co

Note 15/5/98

Re the proposal
Ex-38 of 23 11-5-98

Read P.W.D. No. 237 I dated
4 March 1898—
Letter from the Collector of Anuradhapura
to the Executive Engineer Bedagama
Div. dated 25th March 98 No
1140 and Sup. Engineer
endorsement thereon No. 6598 I
of 31st March 98—
W/31. I dy 5-4-98

Note by S.I.

The information called for
and the Proceedings read
above has now been furnished
by the Collector of Anuradhapura
who forwards a statement
showing the ayacut actual
cultivation and approximate
capacities of the tanks which
would be affected by the
proposal [illegible] the Mayoora
Bubar [illegible] the canal
of the weir of the Bommarandiya
Nagakanda tank in Mayoora
territory—
From this statement it will be
seen that the aggregate ~~area~~
~~of cultivation~~ ayacut of the
three tanks is 1665·90 acres
that the extent of wet cultivation
under the tanks for the
five years ending 1306 is
considerably less than the
ayacut, and that the extent
of paddy cultivation is very
much less than the ayacut—
The Collector explains that

Print with drawings

Stone.

6.4.98 WH

I

Vide 6/4/98

the supply of the three tanks is supplemented by a number of wells and ~~during~~ that ~~the~~ the insufficient supply of water in the tanks the extent of dry crop cultivation under them is on the increase year after year. As pointed out by the Supdt. of Revs. the capacities of the tanks are very small compared with the area of land under them indicating the special importance of not interfering in any way with the source of their supply.

It is considered therefore that the circumstances fully warrant a refusal of consent by the proposals of the Mysore Government by the Madras Govt.

HH

Orders.

The Government concurs in the opinion expressed by the Chief Engr. for Irrigation that permission to raise the level of the weir of the Bommasandra

21/12/98

tank should not be refused. The following letter will be issued:—

No 388 Dated 11-5-98

To The Resident in Mysore.

Sir,

In continuation of my letter No. 237 dated 19th March 1898 and in reply to your letter No. 39 dated 11th January 1897 I am directed to inform you that the information supplied by the Local Officers shows that the proposal to raise the level of the weir of the Bommasandra Nagar-Kasaba tank in Mysore territory owned entirely the supply of the majority of the following tanks in British territory the ayacut of which amounts to 1665·90 acres. It is further shown that the capacity of these tanks is already too small and that any interference with the supply they now get

Sreekantapuram	tank	304·55	acres
Pulamati	tank	668·65	
Kolapi	tank	692·70	

wired 2/16/98
Dr 21/5/98

Such as would be the
case were the Chief
Capacity of the Bommanadoor
Straya tank increased,
would adversely affect
the interests of the lands
under the British tanks
Under these circumstances
I am directed to say
that this Government
regret having to withhold its
Consent to the proposal
to raise the weir level
of the Bommanadoor
straya tank in
any one state

VH
5.4.88

5/10/88

To The Chief Engr. & Secy
" Supdg. Engr. III Circle.
" Political Dept

Bills 7/6