Public Works (1898) Department.

3154

G.O., No. 360.61 J

DATED 28th April 1898

13746

[Despatch Abstract.]

Kudikipalem Channel. Revised estimate of Rs. 611 for Compensation for land taken up for Re — a distributary of Cotteru Sait's Canal Penner Anicut System was taken publish with remarks.

Kudikipalem Channel. Forwarding Copy of Rs: sanctioning with remarks the Revised estimate of Rs. 611 for Compensation for land taken up for Re — a distributary of Cotteru Sait's Canal, Penner Anicut System.

28.4.98

Indexed.

[P. W. 28. 1,000—12-2-95.]

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

IRRIGATION.
ACCOUNT.

Government {1898} of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Recd. } 1898. *Enclosures*

Regd. *Spare copies*

G.O., Nos. 360, 361 I., 28th April 1898.

APRIL.

Kudithipalem Channel

Forwarding copy of Proceedings sanctioning, with remarks, the revised estimate of Rs. 611 for compensation for land taken up for the —, a distributary of Jaffer Saib's canal, Peunéru anicut system.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Irrigation.
Account.

Read—the following papers:—

From J. HANNAN, Esq., Superintending Engineer, II Circle, to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, dated Bezwada, 14th January 1898, No. 42 N.L.

I have the honour to forward herewith, for your approval and sanction of Government, a revised estimate and comparative statement for Rs. 611 for compensation on account of land taken up for the Kudithipalem channel, a distributary of the Jaffer Saib's canal, Pennéru anicut system, Nellore division.

2. The original estimate, amounting to Rs. 80, was sanctioned in G.O., No. 613 I., dated 3rd August 1895.

3. Explanation for the cause of excess is given in the remark column of the comparative statement. The Revenue Department was not consulted when the original estimate was prepared.

To Mr. R. A. Srinivasa Aiyangar, Assistant Engineer, Public Works Department, through the Superintending Engineer, II Circle, dated 31st January 1898, No. 17 K.S.

From J. HANNAN, Esq., Superintending Engineer, II Circle, to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, dated Camp Ramapatnam, 23rd March 1898, No. 918 K.W.

With reference to your memo. No. 17 K.S., dated 31st January 1898, I have the honour to forward, in original, two letters from Mr. R. A. Srinivasa Aiyangar, Assistant Engineer, explaining why he omitted making any provision for compensation for trees and buildings on the land required for the Kudithipalem channel.

His explanation is unsatisfactory. It was clear neglect of duty on his part not to have gone over the line finally settled for the channel to see what compensation was required. His excuse that he had no time should not be accepted.

Enclosure.

From M.R.Ry. R. A. SRINIVASA AIYANGAR Avargal, Assistant Engineer, Public Works Department, Guntúr, to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, Madras (through the Executive Engineer, Kistna Western Division, and the Superintending Engineer, II Circle), dated 17th February 1898, No. 333.

With reference to your No. 17 K.S., dated 31st January 1898, I have the honour to inform you that the omission of any provision for the value of the trees and buildings was due to a mistake of the subordinate in charge M. B. Peramrazu Naidu, Delta Superintendent, who did not report the fact. As it would take more than six months to get a reply from the Revenue Department, it was not usual to consult that department at the time of preparation of the estimates.

No. 391 S.

Forwarded.

18th February 1898. (Signed) W. C. LEWIS,
Ex. Engr., Kistna Western Dn.

No. 575 K.W.

The explanation is not complete. He should explain why he did not personally inspect the land and enter, at all events, approximately the amount of compensation that would have to be paid for trees, &c.

28th February 1898. (Signed) J. HANNAN,
Supg. Engineer, II Circle.

No. 120 P.S.

Returned for further explaation.

5th March 1898. (Signed) W. C. LEWIS,
Ex. Engr., Kistna Western Dn.

Enclosure.

From M.R.Ry. R. A. SRINIVASA AIYANGAR Avargal, Officer in charge, Project Sub-Division, Kistna Western Division, to the Superintending Engineer, II Circle (through the Executive Engineer, Kistna Western Division), dated 10th March 1898, No. 453.

In returning herewith your No. 575 K.W., dated 28th February 1898, I have the honour to afford the following further explanation.

Before giving orders for the preparation of the estimate for the diversion, I went over the ground. The line was not, then, finally settled. The proper alignment was, then, laid out on the village plan, and instructions were given to the Delta Superintendent to take levels on the line so laid out and prepare the necessary estimates. I was very busy at the time the estimates were received and could not find time to go over the ground again. Believing that the Delta Superintendent would have prepared the compensation estimate properly, I sent up all the estimates for sanction.

No. 434 S.

Forwarded.

11th March 1898. (Signed) W. C. LEWIS,
Ex. Engr., Kistna Western Dn.

No. 129 I.

Note by the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

The accompanying revised estimate of Rs. 611 for compensation for land taken up for the Kudithipalem channel, a distributary of Jaffer Saib's canal, Pennéru anicut system, is submitted for sanction, in lieu of the provision of Rs. 80 made for this item in the estimate sanctioned in G.O., No. 613 I., dated 3rd August 1895. The original estimate was prepared without the Revenue Department having been consulted and the excess of Rs. 531 is due to higher rate for the land having been paid, and the value of trees and buildings on it having been included by the Collector of Nellore. The omission of the provision for the latter items from the sanctioned estimate is attributed to the Executive Engineer (Mr. R. A. Srinivasa Aiyangar), who prepared that estimate, having neglected to go over the line finally settled for the channel to see what compensation was required. His excuse, that he had no time, is considered unsatisfactory by the Superintending Engineer, in whose opinion the undersigned concurs.

4th April 1898. (Signed) W. HUGHES,
Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

ORDER—No. 360 I., dated 28th April 1898.

The following revised estimate is sanctioned in lieu of the provision of Rs. 80 made for item 1 in the estimate sanctioned in G.O., No. 613 I., dated 3rd August 1895 :—

Capital expenditure not charged to Revenue.
49. Irrigation.
Pennéru Anicut System.
Open Capital Account.
I. Works.
Jaffer Saib's Canal.
3. Distributaries.
Kudithipalem Channel.
Unremunerative Work.
2. Land.
Compensation for land.

	RS.
Estimate	611

2. This sanction is registered as No. 15 I. of 1898–99 and will expire on the 31st March 1899.

3. The Government notes with displeasure Mr. R. A. Srinivasa Aiyangar's careless estimating.

4. Ordered that a copy of these Proceedings be forwarded to the Government of India for information.

[Here enter No. 361 I., dated 28th April 1898.]

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUGHES,
Joint Secy. to Government,
Irrigation Branch.

To the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
" Chief Engineer, Public Works Department.
" Superintending Engineer, II Circle, with two enclosures.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

ENDORSEMENT—No. 361 I., dated 28th April 1898.

Forwarded.

(By order.)

(Signed) W. HUGHES,
Joint Secy. to Government,
Irrigation Branch.

Exd. C M. Thumbuswamy.

Public Works (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 3154

From the Chief Engr for Irrgn

Order

No. 129d

Dated 4-4-98

Received

Registered 7-4-98

Enclosures 7

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Kudithipalem Channel — a distributary of Upper Sabib Canal — Penner Anicut system — Revised estimate of Rs 611 for Compensation for land taken up for the — Note submitted for Sanction the —

129

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

A

His Excellency the Governor.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,

IRRIGATION BRANCH.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Note submitting for sanction revised Estimate of Rs 611 for compensation for land taken up for the Kudithipalem channel a distributary of Jaffersaibs Canal Penner Anicut system

UNDER date the 6 P

READ the following

From the Supg. Engineer, Second Circle. (H.E. No. 42. M. dated 14.1.98)

To Mr. A. Srinivasa Aiyangar, Exec: Engr. P.W.D. through the S.E. Second Circle. (H.E. No. 17-K.S. dated 31.1.98)

From the Supg. Engineer, Second Circle. (H.E. No. 918-K.W. dated 23.3.98)
No 129 J 3-4-58

Note by the Chief Engineer for Irrigation

vide S.E.'s letter flagged B.

The accompanying revised estimate of Rs. 611. for compensation for land taken up for the Kudithipalem channel, a distributary of Jafferaibs Canal, Penner Anicut system, is submitted for sanction, in lieu of the provision of Rs. 80. made for this item in the estimate sanctioned in G.O. No. 613-I dated 3? August 1895. The original estimate was prepared without the Revenue department having been consulted and the excess of Rs. 531. is due to higher rate for the land having been

been paid, and the value of trees and buildings on it having been included by the Collector of Nellore. The omission of the provision for the latter items from the sanctioned estimate is attributed to the Executive Engineer, (Mr. R.A. Srinivasa Aiyangar), who prepared that estimate, having neglected to go over the line finally settled for the channel to see what compensation was required. His excuse, that he had no time, is considered unsatisfactory by the Supdg. Engineer, in whose opinion the undersigned concurs.

4/4/98

1/H

A-360 Dg 28-4-98

Order thereon.

The following revised estimate is sanctioned in lieu of the provision of Rs. 80- made for items in the estimate sanctioned in

G.O. No. 613-I dated 3rd August 1895.

Capital Expenditure not charged to Revenue.

49. Irrigation.

Penner Anicut system.

Open Capital account.

I- Works.

Jaffersaib's Canal.

3. Distributaries.

Kudithipalem Channel.

Unremunerative work.

2. Land.

Compensation for land.

Estimate. —— Rupees 611.

2. This sanction is registered as No. 15-I. of 1898-99 and will expire on the 31st March 1899.

3. The Govt. notes with displeasure, Mr R.A. Srinivasa-Aiyangar's careless estimating.

4. Ordered that a copy of these Proceedings be forwarded to the Govt. of India for information.

— No 361 I

Endorsement 28-4-98

Forwarded.

4/4/98

1/H

4.4.98

To The Chief Engineer for Irrign.
" Chief Engineer, P.W.D.
" Supdg. Engineer, Second Circle with 2 encls.
" Examiner, P.W. Accts.

4/4/98.

J 15

Public Works (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 84 KW

From The Superintending Engineer Kurnool

Order

No. 918 KW

Dated 29 March 1898

Received 1 — 4 — 98

Registered

Enclosures 6

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Kurnool Pulem Channel
Land taken up for - Inva
with remarks & explanation
from Mr. R. A Sreenivasa
Iyengar as to the Cause of
omission for providing in
the Estimate of - the value of Jaw
F. E. I

1/4/98

1/4/98

E. I. Form No. 1 (a)—250—1-11-97.

R.A.E. 4/4/98

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
917 K.S dy	31.1.98
R 162 L	14-1-98

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

No 333 917-2-98

Encl 14

From

M.R.R. R. A. Srinivasa Ayangar Asstl

Assistant Engineer P.W.D

Cuddapah

To

The Chief Engineer for Irrigation

Madras

Through The Executive Engineer Kistna W. Div

&

The Superintending Engineer II Circle

4 Enclosures

L.S.E's Letter

Abstract Est

Comp Est

G.O. no 613 P.W. 8.95

Sir

With reference to your no 17 K.S. dy 31.1.98, I have the honor to inform you that the omission of any provision for the value of the trees and buildings was due to a mistake of the subordinate in charge Mr B. Pirannazn Naidu Delta Supt who did not report the fact. As it would take much more than six months to get a reply from the Revenue department, it was not usual to consult that department at the time of preparation of the estimates.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient humble servant
R. A. Srinivasa Ayangar
17.2.98
Asst: Engr

BACK

No.	

917 KS d
R 16 L

553 K.W

Encls 5

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER'S OFFICE
Regr. No. 1077
KISTNA WESTERN DIVISION
GUNTUR.

(S.E. 396 with 4 Encls

No 575 KW
28.2.98

The explanation is not complete. He should explain why he did not personally inspect the Cans and cuts at the work approximately the amount of Compensation that would have to be paid for trees &c

Hannan
Sup[t] Engr.

No 1208/1
5-3-98 25/2/98
Remd 4
Returned for further explanation

Mdem
2/3/98
Exp. Engr. K.W. Dn
To be returned

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER'S OFFICE
Regr. No. 1430
18 FEB. 1898
GUNTUR.
KISTNA WESTERN DIVISION.

CHIEF ENGINEER FOR IRRIGATION.
1 APR. 1898
No. 874/60

Subject.

Land taken up for Kudthipalem channel.
Forwarding explanation from M.R.R.A. Sreenivasa Ayengar Asst. Asst. Engr. as to why he did not provide the value of trees & buildings on the ____ when preparing the est. for the same

1/17402
10.3.98

No. 3918.
18-2-98 Remd: 4
Forwarded

Mdem

18/2/98
Executive Engineer.
Kistna Western Divn.
4 Encls

No.

Forwarded

Superintending Engineer
I Circle

O.E.S. 3178/98

dated 10-3- 1898.

From, M.R.R.A. Srinivasa Ayengar Asst. Asst. Engr. K.W. Dn

THE OFFICER INCHARGE, Sub-Division.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER'S OFFICE
Regr. No. 1744
10 MAR. 1898
GUNTUR.
KISTNA WESTERN DIVISION.

THE Superintending Engineer
Second circle
K.W. Dn
Triplicane

Enclosures, 1 Ltr No 575 KW with 5 Encls
28-2-98

Sir,

In returning herewith your No 575 K.W. dated 28-2-98, I have the honour to afford the following further explanation:-

Before giving orders for the preparation of the estimate for the diversion, I went over the ground. The line was not, then, finally settled. The proper alignment was, then, laid out on the village plan and instructions were given to the Delta Superintendent to take levels on the line so laid out and prepare the necessary estimates. I was very busy at the time the estimates were received and could not find time to go over the ground again. Believing

Superintending Engineers office
2nd Circle, Camp Ramapatnam
Dated 23rd March 1898.

370

Hannan Esquire,
Superintending Engineer,
2nd Circle.

Chief Engineer,
for Irrigation.

Sir,

With reference to your memo No 874/60 dated 31st January 1898, I have the honour to forward in original two letters from M.R.R.A. Srinivasa Ayengar Asst. Asst. Engineer explaining why he did not make any provision for compensation for trees & buildings on the land required for the Kudthipalem channel.

The explanation is unsatisfactory. It appears that there was want of duty on his part not only in the line finally settled for but also in estimating what compensation should be paid for trees &c. The explanation that he had no time to do so cannot be accepted.

I have the honour to be, Sir, Your most obedient servant

Hannan
Supdg. Engr. 2nd Circle

553 K.W

Encl 6

C.E. No 396 Kistna Western Division

No 575 KW
28.2.98

The explanation is not satisfactory. He knows exactly why he did not personally inspect the cuts and cuts at the work approximately the amount of Compensation that would have to be paid for trees &c

Hamman
Sup[t] Engr.

No 1208 K 25/2/98
5-3-98 Encl 6
Returned for further explanation

Mdem
2/3/98
Exp. Engr. K.W. Div
To be returned

Believing that the Delta Supt would have prepared the Compensation Estimate properly, I sent up all the Estimates for sanction.

Yours &c.

R. A. Srinivasa Ayyangar
Assistant Engineer,
Project Sub Div

Encl 6

No 4348
11-3-98.

Forwarded

Mdem

10/3/98
Executive Engineer
Kistna Western Div

Subject.

Land taken up for Kuddapalem channel.

Forwarding further explanation from A.E. R. A. Srinivasa Ayyangar Asst Asst Engr regarding the ——.

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER SECOND CIRCLE. 1795 Med. 1 APR 98

CHIEF ENGINEER FOR IRRIGATION. 1 APR. 1898 No 8417

Superintending Engrs office.
2nd Circle, Camp Ramapatnam
Dated 23rd March 1898.

No 918. KW
29.3.98

From

J. Hamman Esquire.
Superintending Engineer.
2nd Circle.

To

The Chief Engineer,
for Irrigation.

Encl nos. 6.

2 Letters from the Asst Engr

4 Enclos to Ed's memo

6

Sir,

With reference to your memo No 1715, dated 31st January 1898, I have the honour to forward in original two letters from A.E. R. A. Srinivasa Ayyangar Assistant Engineer explaining why he omitted making any provision for compensation for trees & buildings on the land required for the Kuddapalem channel.

His explanation is unsatisfactory. It was clear neglect of duty on his part not have gone over the line finally settled for the channel to see what compensation was required. His excuse that he had no time should not be accepted.

I have the honour to be
Your most obt servant
Hamman
Supg: Engr 2nd Cir

CHIEF ENGINEER
FOR IRRIGATION.
1 APR. 1898
No. 824

0267
3554/98

Public Works (1897) Department.

IRRIGATION BRANCH.

17KS

ISSUE No. ~~5~~

To

~~The~~ R.A. Spilsbury Esqre
Sub Engr in the Kiosha Wks
Project thro S.E.II.

(Reply to letter No. , dated)

Dated 31. 1. 98

Despatched 3. 2 98

Enclosures * 4

* Particulars of Enclosures.

SUBJECT.

Land taken up for Kudutho-
-polem Cut - Forwards letter
from the S.E.II with a request
to furnish any explanation
he may wish to offer as to
why he omitted to make any
provision for the value of huts &
buildings on the — and did
not consult the Revenue Officer
on the subject when making
his estimate.

Indexed

E. I. Form No. 13—2,000—1-11-97.

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
R1082	14-1-98

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.
K846	29-3-98

Sent to Government with No. I.,
dated 1897.
Printed with G.O., No. I.,
dated 189 .

REMINDERS ISSUED.

No. 1, dated 189 .
„ 2 „
„ 3 „
„ 4 „
„ 5 „

KEEP-WITHS.

No. 17 KS
~~35 Jn~~ 8/ 31-1-98

Memo.

In forwarding letter No. 42-KL dated 14 January 1898, with enclosure received from the Supp. Engineer, Second Circle, Mr. R. A. Srinivasa Aiyangar, Executive Engineer, P.W.D., is requested to be so good as to afford any explanation he may have to offer as to why ~~the original estimate as revised by Therein was prepared~~ without the Revenue department having been consulted as to the value of the land required on the subject when making the estimate.

W.H.
31.1.98

31/1/98

Encls. 4*
Sd's letter
1 Abst. Estt.
1 Comparative Estt.
G.O. No. 613-I dy 3.8.95.
* to be returned with repl.

be omitted to make any provision for the value of trees and buildings on the land required & did not consult

To
R. A. Srinivasa Aiyangar Esq.
Executive Engineer in charge
of Kistna Western dist. projects
Kistna Western dist.

thro' the Supy. Engineer, Second Circle.

27/1/98 28/1/98

Public Works (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 18 N.L.

From The Superintending Engr 2nd Circle.

Order

No. 42 NL

Dated 14 January 1898

Received 17 —Do—

Registered

Enclosures 3

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Revised estimate and Comparative Statement for compensation for land taken up for Kadithi-palem channel, Nellore Dt - Forwards for observation and sanction of Govt a —. F.E.I.

Copy 17/1/98

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.
D 1765	21-1-98
R 814	29-3-98

Fol

No. 42 NL

Superintending Engr's Office
2nd Circle: Bezwada.
Dated 14-1-98

IP

From

J. Hannan Esquire
Superintending Engineer,
2nd Circle.

To

The Chief Engineer,
for Irrigation.

Sir,

Encl: 2
(A Estd
(Com: State
ment.

I have the honour to for-
-ward herewith for your approval
and sanction of Government a re-
-vised estimate and Comparative state-
-ment for Rs 611/ for compensation on
account of land taken up for the
Kudithipalem channel, a distributary
of the Jaffersahib's canal, Penner Anic-
-cut System, Nellore Division.

2. The original estimate amount-
-ing to Rs 80/ was sanctioned in G.O.
No. 613 I, dated 3rd August 1895.

3. Explanation for the cause of
excess is given in the remark column
of

of the Comparative statement.
The Revenue department was
not consulted when the origi-
-nal estimate was prepared.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obt Servt

Superintending Engineer
to Circle

12/90.

CHIEF ENGINEER FOR IRRIGATION. 17 JAN 1898 10 N 2

CHIEF ENGINEER FOR IRRIGATION. 1 APR. 1898 No. 84 Ks

CHIEF ENGINEERS OFFICE No. Registered