Public Works (1898) Department.

G.O., No. 3088 W.

DATED 2nd Dec '98

[Despatch Abstract.]

Reclamation of the foreshore at Tuticorin

Stating that the construction of a new pier and the ______ will be treated as a single work.

9/13

Indexed. 3/12

[P. W. 1 / 809—11-10-98.]

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
							7

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Public Works (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 9773

3/

From
The Examiner P. W. Accts,
Madras & Coorg

Order No. 3088 W dy 2.12.98

The construction of a new pier and the reclamation of the foreshore at Tuticorin will be treated as a single work and the expenditure accounted for accordingly.

AK
28. 11. 98.

No. 4000 B/A

Dated 24th November 1898
Received
Registered } 25-11-98
Enclosures ~~10~~ 4 (3 sketches).
Spare copies

29.11.98

Mmf
to
The S. E. VI Circle with enclos
" Exam. P. W. Accounts

Dz 3/12/98

SUBJECT.

Tuticorin pier & Reclamation of the foreshore at Tuticorin —
Stating the reason for the necessity of the formal approval of Government to the excess expenditure on the —

P 29/11/98

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
4838 C/	4-11-98
5111 C/	17-11-98
2970 W/	22-11-98
Me	

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

No. 810. T.W.

· OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
6TH CIRCLE,
Trichinopoly, 15th October 1898.
D/15

EXAMINER,
P. W. ACCOUNTS.
17 OCT. 1898
No.

EXAMINER,
P. W. ACCOUNTS.
7 - NOV. 1898
No.

EXAMINER,
P. W. ACCOUNTS
19 NOV. 1898
No.

From

Lt Col. O. V. Boddy R.E.
Superintending Engineer,
6th Circle.

To

The Chief Engineer,
P.W.D.

SIR,

Thro' The Examiner of
P. W. accounts
Madras

2 ENCLOSURES.
1 List
1 Sanctd Este.

P O 3 S put up immediately below these papers

Adverting to item 1 in the orders of Govt No: 1569 W. d/23 June 1898, passing orders on the statement of objectionable items in the account of Executive Engineers remaining unremedied to end of March 1898, I have the honor to inform you that the correct amount of the estimate for Reclamation of foreshore at Tuticorin is Rs 32,970, as per details shown in the enclosed list and not Rs 25,670 as entered in the statement of objectionable items and to request therefore that the Examiner of P. W. accounts may be authorized to admit the outlay of Rs 32,433 incurred up to end of March

BACK PAPERS.	
No.	**Date.**
4838 C.E.	4·11·98
5111 C.E.	17·11·98
2970 W.	22·11·98
etc	

FURTHER PAPERS.	
No.	**Date.**

No. 810. T.W.

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
6TH CIRCLE,
Trichinopoly, 15th October 1898.
D/15

From

Lt Col. O. V. Boddy R.E.
Superintending Engineer,
6th Circle.

To

The Chief Engineer,
P.W.D.

EXAMINER,
P. W. ACCOUNTS.
17 OCT. 1898
No.

EXAMINER,
P. W. ACCOUNTS.
7 - NOV. 1898
No.

EXAMINER,
P. W. ACCOUNTS.
19 NOV. 1898
No.

SIR,

Thro' The Examiner of
P. W. accounts
Madras

2 ENCLOSURES.
1. List
1. Sanctd Estd.

P.O.D.S. put up immediately below this paper

Adverting to item 1 in the orders of Govt. No: 1569 W. d/23 June 1898, passing orders on the statement of objectionable items in the account of Executive Engineers remaining unremedied to end of March 1898, I have the honor to inform you that the correct amount of the estimate for Reclamation of foreshore at Tuticorin is Rs 32,970, as per details shown in the enclosed list and not Rs 25,670 as entered in the statement of objectionable items and to request therefore that the Examiner of P.W. accounts may be authorized to admit the outlay of Rs 32433 incurred up to end of March list on the work in question.

2. In G.O. No: 280 W d/23 January /96 sanctioning the revised estimate amounting to Rs 1,86,000 for Constructing a new Pier at Tuticorin and for the Reclamation of the foreshore, the amount for Reclamation of foreshore, including a new bank, from the new pier to shore is stated to be Rs 24,041. This is not correct as several items which really form part of the Reclamation of foreshore have been erroneously added to the amount for Pier and other works, as will be seen from the enclosed list.

Flag B of File 205 - immediately below this file.

3. The sum of Rs 25,670 entered in the statement of objectionable items as the amount of estimate is taken from G.O. No: 2633 W dated 21st September 1897. This is also incorrect as the amount provided in the original estimate sanctioned in G.O. No: 2327 W dated 13th September 1894 has been taken into account instead of the amount sanctioned in the Revised estimate.

Page 133 of File 205.

Flag A of File 205 immediately below this file.

4. The Revised Estimate of Rs 1,86,000 sanctioned in G.O. No: 280 W dated 23 January 1896 is herewith forwarded for information and return when done with.

I have the honor &c
Sir,
Your Most obedt Servant.

J. V. Bodley Lt Col. R.E.
Superintending Engineer
IV Circle

14/10/98

a/4

Public Works (1898.) Department.

CURRENT No. 5.9

9307/58
5773

EXAMINER,
P. W. ACCOUNTS
7 NOV. 1898
No.

P. W. ACCOUNTS
19 NOV. 1898
No.

EXAMINER,
P. W. ACCOUNTS
17 OCT. 1898
No.

Order

No. 1236 A/A dated October 1898.

To.
W.

Forwarded.

2. The outlay on the reclamation work was compared by this office with the Estimate of Rs.25,670 as sanctioned in G.O.No.2633 W dated 2Ist.September 1897. If however, under the circumstances stated by Superintending Engineer 6th.Circle the Estimate is altered to Rs.32,970, the Estimate for the Pier work will also require revision and will stand at Rs.I4I200 after deducting the two items aggregating Rs.II,830 referred to in G.O. No.2233 W dated I7th.August I897. The total amount of the estimate will therefore stand at Rs.I,74,I70 instead of Rs.I,86,000 (vide G.O.No.280 W dated 23rd.January I896).

W
21.10.98

C.

From

The Supdg Engineer
VI. Circ

Thro' The Examiner of P.W.A.

No. 810. T.N.

Dated 15th October 1898.

Received

Enclosures 2.

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Reclamation of the fore-shore at Tuticorin — Gives certain information as to the outlay incurred on the work of the — with reference to remarks in the O.I. statement for March 1898.

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

0/4 B

Public Works (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. ~~8808~~ 510

~~9387~~
9773/58

EXAMINER,
P. W. ACCOUNTS.
19 NOV. 1898
No.

EXAMINER,
P. W. ACCOUNTS.
7- NOV. 1898
No.

No. 4838 C d/4 Novr. 98.

× Sent to H. C. D/ 11/11/98.

From The Examiner, P. W. Accts. Madras

~~Order~~

To C

No. 1236 $\frac{A}{A}$

As the whole expenditure on the reclamation and the pier works appears to be less than the total of the sanctioned estimates for both the works, please say whether item 1 of the objectionable items statement for March 1898 cannot be removed from future returns.

2 It is observed that G. O. No: 280 W d/ 23 January 1896 sanctioned a single estimate for both the works.

AlK
2. 11. 98.

Dated 21st October 1898

Received
Registered } 22. 10. 98

Enclosures 8 (3 sketches)

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Reclamation of the Fore shore at Tuticorin — Forwards with remarks letter from Supg. Engr 6 Circle giving certain information as to the outlay incurred on the work of the —

DW
Mi/ No. 3839 $\frac{B}{A}$ dated 11th November 1898

To W.

Yes, this will be done if Government wishes it

W 11.11.98

C. 11/11/98.

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

[illegible] by 19/1 B a/4

Public Works (1898) Department.

A.B. For report W 19.11.98

CURRENT No. 9387 2"
9773/98

EXAMINER
P. W. ACCOUNTS.
19 NOV. 1898
No.........

No. 5111C - d/17th Novr. 98.

From The Examiner P.W. Accounts, Madras & Coorg

~~Order~~
To C

No. 3339 B/A

Please say why the intervention of Govt. is necessary if there is no audit objection

ASK
16-11-98.
D.W.

Mis

Dated 11th November 1898.
Received } 14-11-98
Registered }
Enclosures 8 (3 sketches)
Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Reclamation of the Fore shore at Tuticorin — States that the objection regarding the expenditure of — may be removed.

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

E- No. 27.
1,000—16-5-98

Keep-with
dated 189 .

Current No.

GOVT. OF MADRAS. } 1898. { P. W. DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 24th November 1898.

Office Note No. 4000 B/A

To

W.

Your O.N.No.5III C dated I7th November I898.

Although a single estimate was sanctioned in G.O.No.280 W dated 23rd January I896 for both the Pier and Reclamation of the foreshore at Tuticorin yet the amount to be expended on each was distinctly specified. Again, in G.O. No.2633 W dated 2Ist September I897, the expenditure on the Reclamation Work was separately dealt with and limited to Rs: 25,870/- It means, in other words, an alteration in the amounts definitely fixed by Government to be expended on each portion of the Estimate, and this is why I consider the formal approval of Government should be obtained.

W/24.11.98

C.

24/11/98