

Public Works (1898) Department.

G.O., No. 2972 W

DATED 22nd Apr 98

[Despatch Abstract.]

Objectionable Items

Order or Statement of _____ in the accounts of
Executive Engineer remaining unrendered to Secy of
July 98, Varde.

R.

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

NOTES.

Reference to P. W. D. Code.

Chapter XIV, section ii, paras. 61 to 63 and 65.

1. The object of this Return is to place before Government an analysis of all shortcomings in matters of financial regularity in the operations of each month, with a view to their being remedied. It should be submitted to the local Government on the 1st day of the third month succeeding that to which the accounts relate, and should be drawn up separately for each fund and branch.
2. The statement will be posted from the Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items (Form No. 39) and Schedules of the Divisions concerned. The statement need not be delayed in order to embody the remarks of the Superintending Engineers if these do not reach the office in time. It is desirable, however, that the Superintending Engineer's opinion should be recorded, as far as may be practicable, and in order to ensure this being done, the Divisional Statement of Objectionable items should be checked immediately on receipt and sent to the Superintending Engineer for his remarks before the audit of the other accounts is proceeded with.
3. All Executive Officers who have failed to submit their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items with their Monthly Accounts, as well as those whose statements are generally incomplete, should be specially brought to the notice of Government under "General Remarks" at the end of the statement.
4. Items of irregular expenditure, which are left uninclosed by Executive Engineers in their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items, should be distinctly noted as such, either in a separate supplementary statement or in the original statement in red ink.
5. Columns under all the heads should be filled up in each case, so as to bring out clearly where estimate or appropriation exists, and where it does not.
6. In the case of large projects such important features as the following should be stated with precision :—
 - A. Lump sum estimate.
 - B. Abstract detail estimate by sub-heads, and so on.
 1. Submitted to Chief Engineer.
 2. Submitted to Supdg Engineer.
 3. Not yet submitted.
7. A large work which is proceeded with on merely a lump sum sanction is still objectionable till an abstract and detail estimate by sub-heads is submitted and sanctioned for it by competent authority.
8. Serious mention should be made of works being carried out in portions by authorities, beyond whose powers of sanction it would be to sanction the expenditure as a whole.
9. An important point to notice is the duration of a serious irregularity.
10. Voluminous masses of detail, when their practical significance could be given in a few lines, should, as a rule, be avoided. If, for instance, the state of matters as to sanction in one month does not materially differ from that of a preceding month, it will answer all practical purposes to say so, instead of sending another full report.
11. Too much stress can never be laid on the fact that this statement is not to take the place of Special reports, then and there, of works on which serious irregular expenditure is being largely incurred, either without estimate or appropriation.
12. The entries in the statements should be made by Circles and Divisions so as to enable the form for Divisional registers of objectionable expenditure to be done away with.
13. Serious delays in the submission of completion reports should also be reported in this statement.
14. In the column "Orders of Local Government" in the office copy of the statement, against those items which have been remedied, the number and date of the order or sanction removing the objection should be entered.
15. An extract from this statement of all the more serious irregularities should be submitted to the Accountant General, Public Works Department, and all those items which have to be specially brought to his notice should have marked against them in the column "Orders of Local Government," in red ink in the office copy, "Accountant General, Public Works Department," in red ink.
16. This statement, as also the extract referred to above, will be accompanied by an abstract of the objectionable items unremedied in Form No. 62B.

P. W. D. Form No. 62 A.

P. W. D. Form No. 62 A.
P. W. Current No: 9071

Madras PROVINCE.

• BRANCH— *Buildings & Roads*

FUND— *All Funds*

MONTH— *July 1898* *La Balle*

Dec: 2-11-98

11-11-98

Statement of Objectionable items in the
accounts of Executive Engineers
remaining unremedied to end
of the month.

No.

Dated the 1st November 1898.

Submitted to the Secretary to the Government
General Branch.

in the Public Works Department

2 $\frac{R}{23} / 11/4$

With order

Machras

PROVINCE

Statement of Objectionable items in the accounts of Executive

Item No.	Circle, Division and Description of work.	Month in the accounts of which objectionable expenditure first appeared.	COMPARISON WITH ESTIMATE.		
			Amount of estimate.	Expenditure up to date.	Excess over estimate.
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1	Original Works, Major Negapatam Division	July 98			
	Miscellaneous Irregularities Negapatam Division				
2	Repairs to Kallinandi tank	June 98			
(a)					
(b)	Repairs to Keelacheri tank	Dec			

Engineers remaining unremedied to end of *July* 1898.

COMPARISON WITH APPROPRIATION.			Miscellaneous irregularities.	Expenditure during the month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer with final remarks by the Examiner.	Orders by Local Government or Administration.
Amount of appropriation.	Expenditure of the year.	Excess over appropriation.				
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
	463	463			Item 1 Repl. to Divisional - Objectionable Items State- ment - for July 1898 ad- yet received. Early adjustment of funds is awaited No. 2972 W/O 22.11.98. Early Allotment of funds by the Local and Municipal Dept	
					Item 2 The Executive Engineer states that these sums will be recovered by the Collector by instituting Civil suits, after the completion of the work as the parties concerned refuse to pay the Contribution - Early adjustment is awaited	

Madras

Statement of Objectionable items in the accounts of Executive

Item No.	Circle, Division and Description of Work.	Month in the accounts of which objectionable expenditure first appeared.	COMPARISON WITH ESTIMATE.		
			Amount of estimate.	Expenditure up to date.	Excess over estimate.
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
	Miscellaneous Irregularities Want of sanction of Government to the Local purchase of English Stores P. W. Workshops.				
3	10 mild steel plates 6' x 3' x 1/8" cut off V. No. 132 D. 4 of December 1897 Bought of Messrs. Massey & Co.	Dec-97			
4	Glass panes 16' x 12' Rs 302-11-0 D. 12' x 10' " 166-11-0 D. 9' x 7' " 96-11-0 567-10-0 Deduct amount billed & recovered C.B.U. No. 67 of Feb. 97 Rs 243-12-0 vide G.O. No. 1653 W dated 16 th June, 1897 D. July 97 " 90-0-0 " 2921 W " 19 th October 1897 Rs 333-12-0 Total paid in March 98 Rs 253/14/0 Bought of C. K. Lappa Naiker D. 10/82 March 98				

PROVINCIAL

Engineers remaining unremedied to end of July 1898.

COMPARISON WITH APPROPRIATION.			Miscellaneous irregularities.	Expenditure during the month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer with final remarks by the Examiner.	Orders by Local Government or Administration.
Amount of appropriation.	Expenditure of the year.	Excess over appropriation.				
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
					Item 3 Orders of Government in Provincial Objections this item has been Item 3 Statement for June 1898 were - "Steps should be taken to have the objection to this item removed without further delay. The explanation called for should also be submitted."	The objection to this item has been outstanding for along time. Steps should be taken to remove the objection at once and the explanation called for should also be submitted.
					Item 4 Orders of Government in Provincial Objections should be allowed to Item 4 Statement for June 98 occur in the removal were: "The attention of the Superintending Engineer is drawn to the order against this item in the objectionable Item Statement for May 1898 in view to each step being taken to remedy the objection."	No further delay should be allowed to occur in the removal of objections to these items. The attention of the Superintending Engineer is drawn to the order against this item in the objectionable Item Statement for May 1898 in view to each step being taken to remedy the objection.

APV
19.11.98
D.W.
To the S.E. and this to Encl. P.W. 1005

Madras

Statement of Objectionable items in the accounts of Executive

Item No.	Circle, Division and Description of Work.	Month in the accounts of which objectionable expenditure first appeared.	COMPARISON WITH ESTIMATE.		
			Amount of estimate.	Expenditure up to date.	Excess over estimate.
	Miscellaneous Irregularities		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
	Want of sanction of Government to local purchase of English Stone.				
	P.				
5	1 R.S. Beam 16'-8"x8"x6"				
	1 do " 17'-6"				
	3 do " 20'				
	Incidental charges				
	Rs. 100 167 Bought of Bann TC	March 98			
	2 Steel joist- 8"x6"-36 lbs each				
	1 do " 16"x6"-62 lbs each				
	Rs. 100 168 Bought of Massey TC	Do			
	6 bar Channel steel cut				
	Incidental charges				
	Rs. 320 35-6				
	Do 100 193 Bought of Bann TC	Do			

PROVINCE.

Engineers remaining unremedied to end of July 1898.

COMPARISON WITH APPROPRIATION.			Miscellaneous irregularities.	Expenditure during the month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer with final remarks by the Examiner.	Orders by local Government or Administration.
Amount of appropriation.	Expenditure of the year.	Excess over appropriation.				
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
					Item 5 Orders of Government in Provincial Objectionable Items Statement for June 1898 were - "The attention of the Superintending Engineer is drawn to the orders against these items in the Objectionable Items Statement for May 1898 in view to early steps being taken to remedy the objections" In G.O. No. 7874 dated 23rd March 98 sanction was accorded to the local purchase of 6 tons of Channel iron to the extent of Rs 233/- only but as they actually cost Rs 115/- more sanction of Government to the excess is necessary. Orders of Government in Provincial Objectionable Items Statement for June 98 were - "Early removal of the objection is awaited."	
			215-9-3			
			291-8-5			
			348-4-7			

E. A. Deemyss.

W. H. B. Examiner, P. W. Accounts.
1-11-98

Jan 3

Division of Government in
Provincial Government
Statement for June 1900
The attention of the
Superior Council is
drawn to the report of the
Commissioner of the
Public Works and
Roads for the year 1900.

2-22-01

These items in the report
are also included in the
Statement for June 1900
and are also included in the
Statement for June 1900.

2-22-01

Division of Government in
Provincial Government
Statement for June 1900
The attention of the
Superior Council is
drawn to the report of the
Commissioner of the
Public Works and
Roads for the year 1900.

2-22-01

Division of Government in
Provincial Government
Statement for June 1900
The attention of the
Superior Council is
drawn to the report of the
Commissioner of the
Public Works and
Roads for the year 1900.

Division of Government in
Provincial Government
Statement for June 1900
The attention of the
Superior Council is
drawn to the report of the
Commissioner of the
Public Works and
Roads for the year 1900.