Public Works (1898) Department.

G.O., No. 2917 & 2918

Dated 18 Nov 98

[Despatch Abstract.]

Grant of Horse rent to Sub Divisional Officers

Judi. crossed & then Mil.

Record that the Govt. be addressed in regard to the hardship the rule subjecting the ——— to, when they are accommodated in their residences.

The Govt. Judi. addressed.

Indexed. 19/11

[P. W. 3 500-11-10-98.]

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | |

## FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | |

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

6

Papers to be read in Proceedings:—

Current No. 6998 of 1898 dated 14 august from the S. E. I Circle
~~Do~~ 6822 " " 13 " " " " II "
Do 7352 " " 2 September " " " III "
~~Again G.O., dated~~ 7353 " " 31 august ~~189~~, ~~No.~~ " " IV "
~~Do~~ ~~Again G.O., dated~~ 8082 " " 27 September ~~189~~, ~~No.~~ " " V "
Current No. 8610 of 1898, dated 15 October, from " " VI "
" " 6771 " 1898, " 11 august, " " " on special duty.
" " 6797 " 1898, " 13 august, " Chief Engr. for Irrgn. NRB.
" " 6754 " 1898, " 12 august, " Consltg. Architect to Govt.
" " 6833 " 1898, " 15 august, " Supdt. of Works, L. H. Dn.

ORDER.

No: 2917 W/18.11.98

Ordered that the Government of India be addressed

GE. No. 2918 W dy 18th Nov. 1898.

To

The Joint Secretary to the
Government of India,
P. W. Department

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 2067 G, dated 13th July, 1898, I am directed to inform you that the great majority of the officers consulted by this Government consider that the rule prohibiting the grant of house rent to Sub-divisional officers, when their offices are accommodated in their residences

[P. W. 4. 500—17-6-98.]

residences, certainly causes hardship in cases where Sub-divisional officers, owing to the absence of other buildings in the neighbourhood, have no option in the matter. Where such buildings exist, Sub-divisional officers can always refuse to locate their office in their own houses, and generally do so now because of the inconvenience involved. The rule is therefore purposeless, and sometimes acts to the detriment of Government interests, and should either be withdrawn or modified. His Excellency the Governor in Council considers that Subdivisional officers should be placed in the same category in this respect as Executive Engineers and Superintendents of Works, and allowed, with the sanction of the local Government, to accommodate their offices in their residences whenever circumstances

circumstances render it necessary or desirable, receiving therefor such remuneration as the local Government considers necessary.

I have &c.

W. Mackenzie
3.11.96
W.

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in Proceedings:—

~~Circular~~ No. 6998 of 1898 dated 14th Augt. from the Supdts. Supr. I Circle
Do. 6822 of 1898 dated 13th Augt. " " " " II Circle
~~Again G.O.~~, ~~dated~~ 7352 of 1898 dated 2nd Septr 189 , ~~No.~~ " " " " III Circle
~~Again G.O.~~, ~~dated~~ Do 7353 of 1898 dated 31st Augt 189 , ~~No.~~ " " " " IV Circle
Current No. 8082 of 1898, dated 27th Septr , from " " " " V Circle
" " 8610 " 1898, " 15th Octr , " " " " VI Circle
" " 6771 " 1898, " 11th August , " " " " on special duty.
" " 6797 " 1898 " 13th August " " Chief Supr. for Irrign. T.R.S.
" " 6754 " 1898 " 12th August " " Consg. Architect to Govt.
" " 6833 " 1898 " 15th August " " Supdt. of Works, C.H. Divn.

ORDER.

Ordered that the Govt. of India be addressed.

(Ltr. No. W dated 1898)

To

The Secy. to the Govt. of India,
Public Works Dept.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 2067 W dated 13th July 1898, I am directed to inform you that the majority of the officers consulted by this Govt. consider that the rule prohibiting the grant of house rent to sub divisional officers when their offices are accommodated in their residences ~~does entail hardship~~

certain cases hardship in cases where subdivisional officers, owing to the absence of other buildings in the neighbourhood, have no option in the matter.

[P. W. 4. 500—17-6-98.]

H-5-7-11-98 A 14-11-98

2 The consensus of opinion is, that the rule should be withdrawn or modified. His Excy. the Governor in Council considers that the location of his office in the sub divisional officer's residence should ~~only~~ be permitted, only when there is no accommodation available in a Govt. building and no private building at a reasonable rent can be obtained. Such an arrangement is also some-times advisable on the score of economy, or in the interest of work. But whenever it is permitted, there ~~seems to be~~ is no reason why the sub divisional officer should not receive a proportionate allowance for the space provided in his residence for his office. H.E. in Council would suggest that it be left to the Local Govts to decide each case on its merits. Usually one half the amount of such allowance

I have &c.

ACR
25.10.98.

to the Secy to the Govt of India Public Works Dept.

Where such buildings exist L.Govts can always insist to treat their officers in their own houses, and generally do so and because of the inconvenience involved. The rule is therefore passed sometimes acts to the detriment of Govt. interests & should either be withdrawn or modified.

2. The Govt. in Council considers that L.Govts should be placed in the same position in this respect as Supts of Imperial Works, & allowed, with the sanction of the Govt, to accommodate their officers in their own residences whenever circumstances render it necessary for efficiency in the Public administration. Govt considers necessary.

W. clean draft submitted. 28.10.98
31.10.98

P.W.D.

Note

Chap: VII, para 16, clause 4 of Vol. I of the Code lays down that when it is necessary to hire a house for a sub-divisional office rent up to Rs 15 per mensem may be sanctioned by the Local Govt, and in special cases may be increased by the same authority; when however such office is accommodated in the sub-divisional officer's residence no allowance is admissible.

The Govt. of India, in its letter No. 2067 G dt 13.7.98, inquiries whether the rule causes any hardship and whether the Local Govt recommends the withdrawal of the existing prohibition in regard to payment of rent when a sub-divisional office is accommodated in the sub divisional officer's residence.

This letter was referred by Memo No. 3471C dated 8.8.98 to Supts Engrs. for early report.

Tho

× Flago.

× Flago.

The following is a summary of the replies received.

Supdg. Engr., I Circle - If the office of a Sub divisional Officer is located in his residence, the rent for the office should be paid by Govt. If not a hardship, the location of an office in a dwelling house is always inconvenient and would never be contemplated if any other arrangement is feasible.

Supdg. Engr., II Circle - Does not know of any case of hardship under the rule quoted, but is of opinion that it would be well to relax or abolish it. The working of the rule is that sub divisional officers always manage to rent a separate building whenever it would frequently be better in the interest of work that they should take a large building & be near their clerks and records.

Supdg. Engr., III Circle In the opinion of the Ex: Engineers of the Circle it is a hardship if no rent is allowed to a sub divisional officer who holds his office in his residence when no other Govt. buildings are available for the purpose, and a modification of the rule now in force seems necessary. He does



not advise any rule on the subject beyond the general one that when an officer is obliged to set aside a portion of his residence as an office for Govt. work, he should be allowed rent for such portion. Each case to be decided on its merits and the application of the rule should not be limited to officers in charge of sub divisions.

Supdg. Engr., IV Circle - States that the rule seems to require alteration for it is quite purposeless as it now stands. If the sub divisional officer declined to accommodate his office in his own house, it would be necessary to hire a building. It is always in his power to force Govt. to provide accommodation for his office and there seems to be little use in telling him that if he gives up a part of his own house to his office, Govt. will not remunerate him. It would probably be to the interest of Govt. to pay a portion of the rent when a sub divisional officer accommodates his office in his own house.

Supdg. Engr., V Circle - All the Ex: Engrs. in this circle consider that the rule prohibiting the payment of rent for office accommodation provided in

× Exactly so! A reduction ad absurdum of the rule, sufficiently indicating that it is based on no intelligible principle.

sub divisional officer's residence
ould work as a hardship on any
b divisional officer who had to
rovide such office accommoda-
tion, and the Supdg. Engr. concurs
in their opinion.

Supdg. Engr., VI Circle Recommends
the withdrawal of the existing
rohibition. It is advisable that
the office should be held in a building
other than the sub divisional officer's
residence, and that the holding of
the office in the sub divisional
officer's residence should only be
permitted when there is no accom-
modation available in a Govt.
building & no suitable private
buildings available for rent.

Supdg. Engr. on special duty, Tanjore

In his opinion the rule in question
does occasionally entail hardship
and there is no reason why a
sub divisional office should not be
treated in the same way as an
Exc: Engineer or a Supdt. of works
& be granted an allowance for
rent when he accommodates his
office in his private house. He
instances the case of Mr. W. Hutton,
Asst. Engr., who was refused a rent-
allowance under the rule in question
when

on special duty

Chief Engineer for Irrigation, T.R.S.

As regards office rent, T.R. parts
are treated as Executive Division
Consg. Architect to Govt: In this
division no offices have been located
in sub divisional officers quarters.
In his opinion if the existing pro-
hibition in the code ruling be with-
drawn, the privilege may be abused.

Supdt. of Works, Light House Division

Knows of no case in which a sub
divisional officer has complained of having
his office in his house, & presumes that
he has the option of refusing to provide
such accommodation. In the Light House
Division temporary sheds are put up for
office accommodation and, as a rule,
in other divisions in which he has served
offices have been constructed or hired by Govt.

Suggests that when a sub divisional
officer is willing to provide accommo-
dation for his office in his private residence
and his Exc: Engineer raises no objection
it is advisable on the score of economy
that the Local Govt. should have the
power of sanctioning such an arrange-
ment with an allowance according
to the space provided.

A letter to the Govt: of
India in the sense of the opinion
expressed

impressed by the majority of the officers consulted is submitted.

# Public Works (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 6998

From W. De Morgan Esquire
Officiating Supdg Engineer I Circle

Order

No. 462 GL

Dated 14th August 1898

Received

Registered 22-8-98

Enclosures nil

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Locating the Office of the Sub Divisional Officer in his residence

Reporting that, in his opinion, rent should be paid by Government for the portion occupied by the Office if the arrangement were resorted to

Compile
Cr
23/8/98

BACK PAPERS.

| No. | Date. |
|---|---|
| 3471C | 8-8-98 |
| 2702W | 22-9-96 |
| 3370W | 24-11-96 |
| 3996C | 9-11-96 |
| 3728C | 26-10-96 |
| 3210C | 28-8-96 |

FURTHER PAPERS.

| No. | Date. |
|---|---|
| | |

S. E. I. 2 500—15-1-98.

No. 4626L

FROM

W. C. DeMorgan Esqr M.I.C.E.
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
FIRST CIRCLE.

*Enclosures.*

TO

THE CHIEF ENGINEER
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

WALTAIR, 14. 8. 1898.
Camp. Despd 17-8-1898

SIR,

With reference to your memo No: 3471C of 8 August 1898, I have the honour to inform you that in my opinion if the office of a Sub Division office is located in his residence, the rent for the office should be paid by Government. If not a hardship, the location of an office in a dwelling house is always inconvenient, and would never be contemplated, were any other arrangement feasible.

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedt Servt
W. C. DeMorgan
15.8
Supdg Engineer Circle

15/8

# Public Works (1898) Department.

**CURRENT No.** 6822

***From*** The Superintending Engineer
II Circle

***Order***

**No.** 504 El

***Dated*** 18-8-98

***Received***

***Registered*** 16-8-98

***Enclosures***

***Spare copies***

**SUBJECT.**

Rent for Subs offices—
Submits his opinion as regards
as regards —

Compile
on 16 - 9

BACK PAPERS.

| No. | Date. |
|---|---|

FURTHER PAPERS.

| No. | Date. |
|---|---|

D.

Superintending Engineer's office
II Circle. Dated 13th August 98

No 504 Sl
13-8-98.

From,

W. B. Lewis Esquire
Superintending Engineer II Circle.

To,

The Chief Engineer
Public Works Department

Sir,

I have the honor to report that I do not know of any cases of hardship — under the rule referred to but I am of opinion that it would be well to relax or abolish it.

2. The working of the rule is that Sub Divn officers always manage to rent a separate building whereas it would frequently be better in the interests of work that they should take a larger building and be near their clerks and records.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
your most obedient servant
W Lewis

# Public Works (1898) Department.

**CURRENT No.** 7352

*From* The Supty: Engineer,
III Circle,
Bellary.

*Order*

**No.** 2043

*Dated* 2-9-98.

*Received*

*Registered* 3-9-98

*Enclosures*

*Spare copies*

## SUBJECT.

Rent — Informing that it is a hardship, if no — is allowed to a Sub-Dl. Officer who holds his office in his residence and that a modification of the rule now in force seems necessary —

Compile
Cn
5.9.98

BACK PAPERS.

| No. | Date. |
|---|---|
| | |

FURTHER PAPERS.

| No. | Date. |
|---|---|
| | |

No. 2648

**Superintending Engineer's Office,**
3RD CIRCLE.
*Bellary* 189 .

FROM *Lieut-Col W.L.C. Baddeley R.E.*
Esq.,
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
IIIRD CIRCLE,
BELLARY.

To
THE *Chief Engineer*
*Public Works Department*
*Madras.*

Sir,

Enclosures.

In reference to your memo No. 3471 C, dated 8.8.98, I have the honour to state that in the opinion of the Executive Engineers of this circle, it is a hardship, if no rent is allowed to a Sub-Divisional officer who holds his office in his residence; when there are no other Government buildings available for the purpose; and a modification of the rule now in force therefore seems necessary.

2 I do not advise any rule on the subject, beyond the general one, that when an officer is obliged to set aside a portion of his residence as an office for Government work, he should be allowed rent for such portion.

Each

Each case to be decided on its
merits, and the application of the
rule should not be limited to officers
in charge of Sub divisions.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

Lieut Col R E.

Superintending Engineer

III circle

1-9-98.

From

The Superintending Engineer,
IIIrd Circle,
Bellary.

To

The _____

_____

_____

Dated
Received } _____ 189 .

Enclosures.

No.

*Subject.*

# Public Works (1898) Department.

**CURRENT No.** 7353

*From* The Supty. Engineer, 4th Circle.

*Order*

No. 472/15

*Dated* 31st August 1898

*Received*

*Registered* 3-9-98

*Enclosures* ✓

*Spare copies* ✓

SUBJECT.

Accommodation for Sub Dvn. Offices when provided in their own houses.

Reports in connection with the payment of rent for the _____

Complete on 5-9-98

BACK PAPERS.

| No. | Date. |
|---|---|
| | |

FURTHER PAPERS.

| No. | Date. |
|---|---|
| | |

No. 472/W

Supg. Engineers office 4th Circle
Coimbatore 31st August 1898.

From,

A. H. Garrett Esqr.
Superintending Engineer 4th Circle.

To,

The Chief Engineer
P. W. Department.

Sir,

In reply to your No. 3471 C of 8th instant I have the honor to state that the rule seems to require alteration for it is quite purposeless as it now stands.

2 If the Sub Division officer declined to accommodate his office in his own house, it would be necessary to hire a building. It is always in his power to force Government to provide accommodation for his office and there seems to be little use in telling him that if he gives up a part of his own house to his office, Government will not remunerate him.

3 It would probably be to the interest of Government

Government to pay a portion of the rent when a Sub Division officer accommodates his office in his own house.

I have the honor tobe,
Sir,
Your most obdt. Servant

Suptg Engineer 4th Circle.

S.E.S.
30/8/98

# Public Works (1898) Department.

**CURRENT No.** 8082

***Order***

***From*** The Supty Engineer 5th Circle

***No.*** 6038.

***Dated*** 27/28 September 1898.

***Received*** 28.9.98

***Enclosures***

***Spare copies***

SUBJECT.

Rent for Sub Divisional Office in a Sub Divisional Officers residence.

---

States that all the Executive Engineers in this Circle consider that the rule prohibiting the payment of rent would work as a hardship on any Sub Dl. Officer who has to provide Sub Office accommodation.

Compile
29.9.98

BACK PAPERS.

| No. | Date. |
|---|---|
| | |

FURTHER PAPERS.

| No. | Date. |
|---|---|
| | |

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE,
V Circle, Madras,
Chepâk, 27/28 September 1898.

No. 6038.

From

Colonel A.W. Smart R.E.
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
V CIRCLE.

To

THE Chief Engineer,
P.W. Department.

SIR,

Enclosures.

With reference to your No. 3471.C. dated 8 August 1898, I have the honour to state that all the Executive Engineers in this Circle consider that the rule prohibiting the payment of rent for office accommodation provided in a Sub divisional officer's residence would work as a hardship on any sub divisional officer who had to provide such office accommodation. I entirely concur in their opinion.

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obed Servant

Colonel R.E.
Offg Supg. Engineer 5 Circle

720.—14-4-98.

6.9.98

# Public Works (189 8) Department.

CURRENT No. 8610

*Order*

*From*
The Engineer
Waiuku

*No.* 1732 T.C.

*Dated* 15th October 1898.

*Received* 17. 10. 98

*Enclosures*

*Spare copies*

SUBJECT.

Report for a Subdivision office when it is located in the Subdivision Executive —— Recommending the withdrawal of the existing prohibition in regard to payment of —

compile

To

BACK PAPERS.

| No. | Date. |
|---|---|
| | |

FURTHER PAPERS.

| No. | Date. |
|---|---|
| | |

No. 1732 TC

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
6TH CIRCLE,
*Trichinopoly*, 15 October 1898.
D/15.

From

Lt-Col. O. V. Boddy R.E.
Superintending Engineer,
6th Circle.

To

The Chief Engineer,
P. Wds.

SIR,

ENCLOSURES.

With reference to your Memorandum No: 3471 C dated 8 August 1898, I have the honor to recommend the withdrawal of the existing prohibition in regard to payment of rent when a Sub Divisional office is accommodated in the Sub Divisional officer's residence. It is, I consider, advisable that the office should be held in a building other than the Sub Division officer's residence - and that the holding of the office at the Sub Division officer's residence should only be permitted when there is no accommodation available in a Government private building, and no suitable private building available for rent.

I

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedt. Servant.

O. V. Bodley Lt Col. R.E.
Supg Engineer, 11 Circle

15/10/98

# Public Works (1898) Department.

**CURRENT No.** 6771

***Order***

***From***

Col. a. w. Smart R.E.,
Superintending Engineer,
on special duty, Jaipore
***No.*** 696

***Dated*** 11th August 1898

***Received*** 13-8-98

***Enclosures*** nil

***Spare copies***

## SUBJECT.

Expresses his opinion that Sub Divisional officers may be granted office rent when their office is accommodated in their private houses.

Compile
Cr
19-8-98

6757

BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | |

FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | |

N 6.96

Office of Superintending Engineer
Convey completion Estimate
Tanjore Dated 11th August 1898.
Desp. 12th

From

Col. A. W. Smart R. E.,
Superintending Engineer,
on Special duty, Tanjore.

To

The Chief Engineer,
General Buildings Branch
Madras.

Sir,

With reference to your
× with cmo 6797/98 is compile № 3471 C dated 8th August 1898, I
have the honour to state that in
my opinion the rule in question
(Volume I chapter VI para 16 clause 4)
does occasionally entail hardship
and that there is no reason why
a Sub Division officer should
not be treated in the same way
as an Executive Engineer or Super-
-intendent of Works and be
granted allowance for rent
when he accommodates his offic
in his private house - See in
this

this connection this office nos 527 dated 8th August and 647 dated 9th October 1896 and your nos 2702 W dated 22nd September and 3370 W dated 24th November 1896, when Mr W. Hutton, assistant Engineer, when on Special duty was refused a rent allowance under the rule in question.

I have the honour to be,
Sir
your most obedient Servant.

Colonel. R.E.,
Superintending Engineer
on Special duty.

9113

Public Works (1898) Department.

CURRENT No 6797

From The Ag. Chief Engineer for Irrigation T.R.S.

No. 1925T

Dated 13. 8. 98.
Received
Registered 15-8-98
Enclosures
Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Tank Restoration parties are treated as Executive divisions. Reports that —— as regards office rent.

Complied on 15/8/98

P. W. 28
1,000—23-4-98.

BACK PAPERS.

| No. | Date. |
|---|---|
| | |

FURTHER PAPERS.

| No. | Date. |
|---|---|
| | |

Form No. 1 T.R.S. 400—20-7-97.

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER FOR IRRIGATION,
TANK RESTORATION SCHEME,

No. 19257. Chepâk 13th August 1898.

From J. C. Larminie Esquire
THE CHIEF ENGINEER FOR IRRIGATION,
TANK RESTORATION SCHEME,

To
THE Chief Engineer
P.W. Department

*Enclosures.*

SIR,

With reference to your
No. 3471 C, dated 8th instant, I have the
honour to report that as regards office rent,
Tank Restoration parties are treated as Executive
Divisions.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt servant,

R. h. W. Reid.
for Chief Engineer for Irrign

H.S.

12.8.98

1898.

From

THE CHIEF ENGINEER FOR IRRIGATION,

*Tank Restoration Scheme.*

To

THE Chief Engineer
P.W.D.

No. 1925 T

Dated 13.8.98.

Despatched 13.8.98.

Received

ENCLOSURES.

*Subject.*

Tank Restoration scheme parties are treated as Executive Divisions as regards office rent——

Reports that——

# Public Works (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 6754

***Order***

***From***

The Consulting Architect to the Government of Madras

No. 1465

***Dated*** 12th August 1898.

***Received***

***Registered*** 13.8.98

***Enclosures***

***Spare copies***

SUBJECT.

Reporting that in Consulting Architect Dr no Sub Dr has been located in the Officers quarters &c

Complete
Cn
19.8.98

BACK PAPERS.

| No. | Date. |
|---|---|
| | |

FURTHER PAPER

| No. | Date. |
|---|---|
| | |

T. 509—11-6-97.

Office of the Consulting Architect to Govt.,
Chepauk, Madras,
12th August 1898.

No. 1465.

From

G. S. T. Harris, Esq.,
Consulting Architect
to the Government of Madras,

To

The Chief Engineer
Public Works Department

Sir,

Enclosures.

With reference Wagner Irems No. 31,710 dated 8th August 1898, I have the honor to inform that in the Divisions no Sub Divisions has been located in the officers quarters, I am however of opinion that if the existing prohibition in the Code ruling be withdrawn, the privilege may be abused.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

G. S. Harris

Consulting Architect to Govt.

# Public Works (1898) Department.

**CURRENT No.** 6833

*From* The Supdt. of Works, Light House Dr. Madras

*Order*

No. 1215

*Dated* 15th August 1898

*Received*

*Registered* 16.8.98

*Enclosures*

*Spare copies*

**SUBJECT.**

Submitting his Report on the question of payment of rent when a Sub Divisional Office is accommodated in the Sub Divl Officer's residence.

Compile
on 16/9/98

BACK PAPERS.

| No. | Date. |
|---|---|
| | |

FURTHER PAPERS.

| No. | Date. |
|---|---|
| | |

OFFICE OF THE SUPT. OF WORKS, LIGHT-HOUSE DIVN.,
Egmore, Madras,

No. 1215 Dated 15th August 1898.

From

F. W. ASHPITEL, Esq.,
SUPERINTENDENT OF WORKS,
LIGHT-HOUSE DIVISION,
*Madras,*

To

THE Chief Engineer,
Public Works Department.

SIR,

With reference to your cr.No. 3471C dated 8th August 1898 I have the honor to inform you I know of no case in which a Sub Division Office has complained of having his office in his house and presume that he has the option of refusing to provide such accommodation. In this division I put up temporary sheds for office accommodation and as a rule, in other divisions in which I have served, offices have been constructed or hired by Government.

(2) I would, however, suggest that when a Sub

Division

BACK PAPERS.

| No. | Date. |
|---|---|
| | |

FURTHER PAPERS.

| No. | Date. |
|---|---|
| | |

Division offices is willing to provide accommoda-
-tion for his office in his private residence and
his Executive Engineer raises no objection, it is
advisable, on the score of economy, that the
Local Government should have the power of
sanctioning such an arrangement with an
allowance according to the space occupied.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obed.t Servt,

W Mansfield
Superintendent of works,
Light-House Division.

13-8-98

OFFICE OF THE SUPT. OF WORKS, LIGHT-HOUSE DIVN.,
Egmore, Madras,

No. 1215 *Dated* 15th August 1898.

From

F. W. ASHPITEL, ESQ.,
SUPERINTENDENT OF WORKS,
LIGHT-HOUSE DIVISION,
*Madras,*

To

THE Chief Engineer,
Public Works Department.

SIR,

With reference to your cir. No. 3471C dated 8th August 1898 I have the honor to inform you I know of no case in which a Sub Division Office has complained of having his office in his house and presume that he has the option of refusing to provide such accommodation. In this division I put up temporary sheds for office accommodation and as a rule, in other divisions in which I have served, offices have been constructed or hired by Government.

(2) I would, however, suggest that when a Sub

Division

*No.* 2067 G.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

GENERAL

To THE Secy: to the Govt. of Madras
Public Works Department

*Simla, the* 28th September 1898.

The undersigned is directed to invite attention to the letter noted below, and to request that the reply may be expedited.

G Walker

*Under Secretary.*

P. W. D. No. 2067 G., dated 13th July 1898,

inviting a reference to P. W. D. Code Vol I Chapter IV para 16 clause 4, regarding payment of rent for a sub. divl. Office and Enquiring whether the rule causes any hardship, and whether the Local Govt. recommend the existing prohibition in regard to payment of rent when a Sub. Divl. Office is accommodated in the Sub. divl. officer's residence

wee

2 reminder
wee sent to S.E.
VI circle.

Cir
7.10.98

S.E.W
2nd Reminder
issued today
10.10.98
wee
Complete with
reply
Cir
10.10.98

Reminder
S.E.W
Reply received today
S.E.W
Reminder sent
28/9
Reminder sent today
All circles complete in
Submitted for orders
17.10.98

Reply due only
Reminder VI
Reminder
received
7.10.98

G. I. C. P. O.—No. 129 P. W. D.—2-10-97—300.—F. C. G.

O.W.S.
Cl. 8344
Rd. 5-10-98
Recpt: 7.10.98