

J. D. W.
Marine (1898) Department.

G.O., No. 2904 *W*

DATED 16th Nov-98

[Despatch Abstract.]

*J. Venkatachalam Iyengar, late Clerk, District
Salem*

Communicating to — *Two: Pension Dept* & deciding that
~~he~~ *he* is not entitled to any retiring allowance.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.


T. Venkatachalam Iyengar, late
Clerk, Hindustani Sub. Co. P. R. D.
was dismissed from the service, he is
not entitled to any retiring allowance.

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
4284	30.9.98
4058	16.9.98

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.



Pension Department.

applicant's title are very few and the extra labour involved cannot therefore be great, while it is for many reasons desirable that the Government should have complete information before them in deciding.

Read the following letter from Major C.H.P. Christie, R.E., Examiner, Public Works Accounts, Madras, No.1080 X, dated 11th Aug. 1888:-

With reference to G.O. Pension Department No.656, dated 13th June 1888, I have the honour to inquire whether I am to understand that G.O. Pension Department No.479 dated 3rd September 1877 is to be construed as requiring that an Accountant-General shall undertake the detailed verification of the services of claimant for pension - often a very laborious and lengthy process, involving much trouble and correspondence on the part of several offices - even when there is an obvious flaw in the claimant's title, certainly or almost certainly barring the grant of a pension.

2. G.O. No.479 dated 3rd September 1877 impressed upon heads of departments that they are not at liberty to refuse to submit application, but submission of an application by a departmental superior is a very different thing from and need not necessarily involve detailed verification by the Accountant-General concerned. Moreover the spirit and intention of Civil Pension Code section - 139 is evidently to prevent the waste of time and labour involved in useless verification.

3. I have therefore the honour to inquire whether, in cases such as are referred to in para 1 above, it is not permissible for an Accountant-General to submit the case for the preliminary orders of Government before undertaking detailed verification of services.

--:-

212 Comt
O.P.W.D.

ORDER thereon No.1071, dated 11th September 1888.

The Examiner's question is answered in the affirmative. The cases in which there is an obvious flaw in the

The Examiner's question is answered in the affirmative. The cases in which there is an obvious flaw in the

ORDER thereon No. 1071, dated 11th September 1888.

of Government before undertaking detailed verification of services. An Accountant-General to submit the case for the preliminary orders such as are referred to in para 1 above, it is not permissible for I have therefore the honor to inquire whether, in cases in useless verification.

139 is evidently to prevent the waste of time and labour involved Moreover the spirit and intention of Civil Pension Code section - involve detailed verification by the Accountant-General concerned. Superior is a very different thing from and need not necessarily

with application, but submission of an application by a departmental heads of departments that they are not at liberty to refuse to sub-

3. G.O. No. 479 dated 3rd September 1877 impressed upon -- the, certainly or almost certainly barring the grant of a pension. Offices - even when there is an obvious flaw in the claimant's title, involving much trouble and correspondence on the part of several -- ant for pension - often a very laborious and lengthy process, in- shall undertake the detailed verification of the services of claimant 1877 is to be construed as requiring that an Accountant-General --

understand that G.O. Pension Department No. 479 dated 3rd September dated 13th June 1888. I have the honor to inquire whether I am to With reference to G.O. Pension Department No. 659,

11th Aug. 1888:--
the, R.E., Examiner, Public Works Accounts, Madras, No. 1080 X, dated

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Pension Department.

[P. S. F.]

applicant's title are very few and the extra labour involved cannot therefore be great, while it is for many reasons desirable that the Government should have complete information before them in deciding ^{on} all such cases.

(True extract)

Sd/ H.A. Stuart,
Under Secretary to Government.

(True copy)

H. A. Stuart

For Secretary to Government.

MA
2/9/98



The Secretary
through Mr. [unclear]

Pension Department

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following paper

Petition
From the *S. Venkateshchalam* dated *3rd August 1898*, No. *Syrigar*

End^d from the Sub. Works Dept. - 30th Sept 1898 No 4284C.

Order thereon, 31st October 1898 No 826.

As the petitioner was dismissed from the service, he is not entitled to any retiring allowance.

(True Extract)

Kumarajambakar
By Under Secretary.

To,

The Petitioner

through the Sub. Works Dept.
(with papers returned)

1st
31. 10. 98



22.11.98
27/5/98
8/12/98

For Secretary to Government.

(True copy)

25/11/98
For Secretary to Government.

(True extract)

in deciding all such cases.
that the Government should have complete information before them
not therefore be great, while it is for many reasons desirable
applicant's title are very few and the extra labour involved can-

Gobt. of {1898} Madras.

PENSION.

To

Dated

Reed.

Enclosures.

Mis., G.O., dated 31st Dec 1898, No. 826.

Subject.