2

Public Works (1898) Department.

G.O., No. 27 W

DATED 7 January 98

[Despatch Abstract.]

Draftsman; sanctioning the employment of a temporary – on Rs. 30 per mensem in the Buckingham Canal Dn from 24th July to the 18 Oct: 1897.

B
7.1.98

P. W. 25.
1,000—30-11-97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Temporary draftsman for [illegible]

[4/500 14-10-97.]

P.W. DEPARTMENT.

W/B/

Papers to be read in proceedings :—

Again G.O., dated 189 , No.

Again G.O., dated 189 , No.

Current No. 11738 of 1897, dated 22.11.97, from the Ch. S. for I.

" " " 189 , " , "

" " " 189 , " , "

No 27 W 7.1.98

ORDER.

In the circumstances stated, and on the recommendation of the Chief Engr. for Irrigation, the employment of a temporary draftsman on Rs 30 per mensem, from the 24th Sept to the 18th Oct 1897, is sanctioned.

2. This sanction has been registered as Provl. No 82 W of 1897-98, & classified as Estabt. – Temporary –

Ex

17.12.97

A.4.11
21.12.97

B

Ch. S. for I.
S. S. II Circle
Engr. Pro
+ Flag A.

D 8/1/98

Note. In a letter dated 19.5.97, Mr Paul, Ex. Engr. [illegible]
Buckingham [illegible]

brought to notice that the plans of masonry works of the division were scattered about the office, some among the records, and others in untidy rolls in various cupboards and almirahs; also that rough plans were mixed up ~~[illegible]~~ with sanctioned plans; & that there was nothing to show whether works had been actually built in accordance with the sanctioned plans. He attributed this state of confusion chiefly to the inferior class of men who are employed as draftsmen, and advocated the grant of better pay to head draftsmen of division offices, so that better men might be induced to qualify for these posts.

The matter was sent forward for consideration by the Supdg. [illegible] through the Chief Engr. for Irrigation, to the Chief Engr. P.W.D.

In the meantime, the Chief Engr. P.W.D. had had before him for some time, a file of papers relating to the improvement of the status of draftsmen generally. This file had been ordered to lie over, as owing to the heavy expenditure due to famine &c., the financial outlook did not admit of any proposals involving increase of outlay on establishment being laid before Govt.

In memo. no. +2989 C of 29.6.97, the Chief Engr. was told this, & he was also informed that the Ex. Engr. Buckingham Canal might apply

+ Flag B -

for such temporary assistance as might be necessary for the arrangement of his records.

On this, the Executive Engr., with letter dated 26.7.97, + submitted an application for sanction to the employment of a draftsman on Rs 30 per mensem for 6 months. He reported at the same time, that a man who had been employed on the Cooum Scheme estimates had been appointed to the temporary post in the Division office, in anticipation of the sanction of Govt.

+ Flag C

Col. Campbell however was not satisfied that the work of re-arranging plans could not be carried out

and he asked the Chief Engr. for Irrigation to advance stronger reasons to justify the appt. of a temporary man. (Endorsement No. 3552C + of 18.8.97). –

+ Flag D.

On this, the Ch. E. for Irrn. ‡ pointed out that the extra man had been asked for with reference to this office endorsement No. 2989C of + 29.6.97, in which the Ex. Engr. was told to apply for extra assistance.

‡ Office note of 26-8-97 - Flag E.

+ Flag B.

Col. Campbell, however, was still not satisfied that there were sufficient reasons to justify his asking Govt. to sanction the tempor # post. – He pointed

Office note No. 3900C of 17.9.97 - Flag F.

out that the Buckingham Canal div. was a lighter worked one than any other, & he thought that one of the ordinary staff could easily be told off for two or three hours a day to arrange the record.

Here the correspondence ended for the time, but the Ex. Engr now draws attention to his letter of 26.7.97, in which he reported that the temporary draftsman had been engaged in anticipation of sanction, and he states that the man was discharged on the 18th Octr, when he received intimation through the Supdg Engr II Circle that the

+ Flag C

appointment had been refused. He therefore asks for sanction to the man's employment from 26 July to 18th Octr 1817.

A.S.H.

The Ex. Engr was probably misled into thinking, ~~by~~ by this office endt No 2989 C of 29.6.97, that a temporary man would be sanctioned on receipt of his application, without further detailed inquiry into the circumstances of the office, and in his desire to begin the rearrangement of the plans at once, he put on a man who had become available from the

+ Flag B.

Comm. project Staff.

When Colonel Campbell first expressed doubt as to the necessity for the appt. on 18.8.97, the temporary man should have been discharged at once, but the Ex. Engr was not told to do this, and meanwhile time was consumed in correspondence between this office & the Ch. E. for Irrgn. The man was sent away as soon as the Ex. Engr heard through the Supdg Engr that sanction would not be applied for. The Chief Engr for Irrigation now recommends that sanction be given for the period from 24.7.97 to 18.10.97, and it is proposed to accord this.

Yes. A.S.H.

A.S.H.

Cy

17.12.97

Public Works (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 11738

Order

From The Chief Engineer P.W.D

No. 75 I.W.

Dated 22-11-97

Received 25-11-97

Enclosures 1

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Tempy Draughtsman for sorting records of the Buckingham Canal Dn— Forwards letter from the SE II with a recommendation that the sanction applied for, for a — from 24.7.97 to 18-10-97 may be accorded

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
3900C	17-9.97
3552C	18-8-97
2989C	29.6.97

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

Letter from Captain Joha

offg. Executive Engineer, Bu

-ingham Canal &c. to the Su

-intending Engineer, 2nd Circle No. 14/28.1

—

With reference to your No. 216 BC, dated 20th October 1897, I have the honor to state that the Draftsman's services were dispen with on the 18th instant. As the man was employed in anti-cipation of sanction, *vide* this office No. 838, dated 24-7-97, I request you will kindly obtain Sanction ~~of~~ to his employment from 24th July to 18th October 97, so that the Audit Officer may pass his pay.

—

No. 260BC / 11.11.97

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation with reference to his No. II/37BC, dated 30 September 1897, for favor of sanc of Government being obtaine obtained to the employment of the temporary draftsman from 24th July 1897, to 18th Octr 97 at Rs 30/- a month.

J Thornton Wilson
10/11/97
Executive Engr
for Supdg Engr,
II Circle.

CHIEF E[illegible]ER
FOR I[illegible]ON.
13 NOV. 1897
No. 46 BC

9/11/97

11738/97

Public Works (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. ~~46 BC~~
11738/97

From The Supdg Engineer
2nd Circle

No 75 Cw of 22-11-97

No. 260 BC

~~Order~~

Forwarded to the Ag: Chief Engr P.W.D with reference to correspondence ending with office note No 3900 C of 17th Septr /97 & with a recommendation that the sanction applied for may be accorded

Dated 11 November 1897
Received 13 — do —
Registered
Enclosures
Spare copies

W. Hughes

9/11/97

Chief Engr for Irrigation

x sent to S.A.W. 22-9-97

LCB 17/11/97

SUBJECT.

Temy: draftsman for sorting records B.C. do - Request to obtain sanction Govt for the entertain-ment of - from 24-7 to 18-10-97.

LCB 17/11/97

15/11

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
937 B.C	930-957

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

8. 17-12-97 R 23-12-97