

Public Works (1898) Department.

G.O., No. 245 J

DATED 21 March 98

21/3/98

[Despatch Abstract.]

Contribution works. The execution by the P.W.D. of certain — in the Trichinopoly Division, sanctioned with remark.

2 100/6 W 398

W 10

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

IRRIGATION.
ACCOUNT.

Government {1898} of Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Recd.

Regd.

} 1898.

Enclosures

Spare copies

G.O., No. 245 I., 21st March 1898.

MARCH.

Contribution Works

The execution by the Public Works Department of certain — in the Trichinopoly Division, sanctioned with remark.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Irrigation.
Account.

READ—the following papers:—

From Lieutenant-Colonel O. V BODDY, R.E., Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, dated Trichinopoly, 26th February 1898, No. 314 T.C.

I have the honour to inform you that estimates for maintaining the undermentioned channels during 1897-98 in the Trichinopoly Division have been prepared:—

(1) Maintaining Peruvalai channel, estimate	...	...	...	RS.
(2) Do. Puthuvoithalai channel, estimate	...	...	...	1,640
(3) Do. Ramavaithalay channel	...	...	...	300
	...	...	...	310

2. Agreeably to your memorandum, No. 25 T.C., dated 16th July 1896, forwarding a revised statement showing the names of the contributors and the proportionate shares they should contribute towards the repairs, etc., of irrigation works in which they are interested, the contribution to be paid by those interested in work No. (1) comes to Rs. 29, that for work No. (2) Rs. 109 and that for work No. (3) Rs. 71, making a total of Rs. 209. This amount includes establishment and tools and plant charges.

3. The Collector was addressed in May 1897 to recover the above sum, and reminders were sent since on the subject. That officer replied in his Reference on Cur. No. 1962/Rev. of 1897, dated 12th November 1897, that only Rs. 17-11-6 was collected from the ryots concerned towards the maintenance of the channels referred to, and that the Deputy Collector has been requested to collect the balance at a very early date.

4. In returning countersigned the estimates under reference the Collector states in his No. 1962/Rev. of 1897, dated 19th January 1898, that the Divisional officer has instructed the Tahsildar to induce the inamdars to pay the amount in an amicable manner when he had reported to him that they refused payment, and that if otherwise this amount will have to be recovered by the institution of a civil suit.

5. As the Executive Engineer reported that these annual maintenance estimates could not possibly be deferred until they are sanctioned in regular course, I allowed him to incur expenditure on them under Code Volume I, Chapter IX, paragraph 39, which by an oversight has been omitted to be reported to you. The Examiner objects to this procedure—*vide* his letter No. 3945 B/A, dated 17th December 1897, copy enclosed.

6. Under the circumstances I request that sanction of Government may be obtained, under paragraph 79, Chapter IX, Volume I of the Code, to the execution by this department of the contribution works referred to and to complete the same from Government funds, the contribution being credited to the works after they are recovered.

ENCLOSURE.

From Major E. A. WALLER, R.E., Officiating Examiner of Public Works Accounts, Madras, to the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, dated 17th December 1897, No. 3945 B/A.

With reference to your endorsement, No. 2220 T.C., dated the 11th instant, forwarding for information copy of your memorandum, No. 2219 T.C., of the 11th idem, I have the honour to point out that as the works referred to appear to be contribution works, the definite sanction of Government should, under Code Volume I, Chapter IX, paragraph 79, be obtained *before the works are put in hand*; and under paragraph 82, Rule IV of the same chapter, no advance of Government money for private works is permissible.

No. 92 I.

Note by the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

The Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, reports that estimates aggregating Rs. 2,250 for maintaining the Peruvalai, Puthuvoithalai and Ramavaithalay channels in the Trichinopoly division during 1897-98, towards which a contribution of Rupees 209 is due from the inamdars interested in the works, were carried out by the Public Works Department in anticipation of the recovery of the contribution, as there was a delay in the payment of the contribution by the inamdars and as the execution of the works could not possibly be delayed. The sanction of Government to his action, applied for by the Superintending Engineer under Code I, IX, 79, may be accorded.

(Signed) W. HUGHES,
Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

14th March 1898.

ORDER—No. 245 I., dated 21st March 1898.

The execution by the Public Works Department of the works referred to is sanctioned.

2. The contribution due by the interested inamdars will, when recovered, be credited to the Public Works Department.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUGHES,
Joint Secy. to Government,
Irrigation Branch.

To the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
" Superintending Engineer, VI Circle.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
" Revenue Department.

His Excellency the Governor

Public Works (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 2518

From *The Chief Engineer
for Trigon*

No. 92. S.

Dated *14 March 1898*

Received
Registered } *18-3-98*

Enclosures *2*

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

*Certain Contributions
works in the Trichinopoly
Divⁿ. — Note by E. J. Ream.
mending the execution
by the P. W. D. of —*

Order

IRRIGATION BRANCH.

Certain Contribution works ~~declared~~ ^{not}
Note recommending that sanction may be
accorded to the execution of — by this Deptl -

UNDER date the UP

READ the following

Note by the Chief Engineer for Longation.

5-20
A. G. H.

Isen-

17-3-95

9

Acc
17/2/25

The Supery. Engineer, Sixth Circle, re-ports that estimates aggregating Rs. 2,250. for maintaining the Peruvalai, Puthuvaitthalai and Ramavaithalai channels in the Trichinopoly division during 1897-98, towards which a contribution of Rs. 209. is due from the inamudars in-terested in the works, were carried out, by the P. W. D., in anticipation of the recovery of the contribution, as there was a delay in the pay-ment of the contribution by the inamudars and as the execution of the works could not possibly be delayed. The sanction of Govt. to his action, applied for by the Supery. Engineer, under Code I, ix, 79, may be accorded.

Order

N^o 245-1
Order thereon. 821-3-98 *9 registered*

The execution, by the Public Works Department, of the works referred to, is sanctioned.

2. The contribution due by the interested individuals, ^{will} when recovered, ~~will~~ be credited to the P. W. Dept.

R. 94
16.3.98

W.H.
14.3.98
14/3
98

To The Chief Engineer for London.
" Super. Engineer, Sixth Circle.
" Examiner, P.W. Accounts.
" Revenue Dept.

Public Works (1898.) Department.

CURRENT No. 570

Order

From
The Super Engineer
61. Circle

No. 314. T. C.

Dated 26th February 1898

Received / — 3 — 98

Enclosures - 1.

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Certain Contribution looks in the Irish inopoly du— Requests with remarks the sanction of Govt for the execution by P. W. D of — and to complete them from Govt funds before collection of the Contribution.

LCB
17/3/98

13.3.98

FURTHER PAPERS.

No. 314. T.C.

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER.

6TH CIRCLE.

Trichinopoly, 26th February 1898.

$$\frac{1}{2} \mid 28$$

From

✓ Hob. C. V. Boddy R.E.

Superintending Engineer,

6th Circle,

To

The Chief Engineer,

for Irrigation

SIR,

ENCLOSURES.

I have the honor to inform
you that estimates for maintaining
the undermentioned Channels during
1897-98 in the Trichinopoly Division have
been prepared:—

- (1) Maintaining Peruvalai Chb. Exp. Rs 1640
(2) - do - Putturvithalai Chb. - Rs 300
(3) - do - Ramavithalay Chb. - Rs 310

2. A reply to your Memorandum No: 25 T.C. of 16 July 1896, forwarding a revised statement showing the names of the contributors and the proportionate shares they should contribute towards the repairs &c of irrigation works in which they are interested, the contribution to be paid by those interested in work No: (1) comes to \$ 29, that for work No: (2) \$ 109 and that for work No: (3)

書 91

\$ 71, making a total of \$ 209. This amount includes Establishment & Tools and Plant Charges.

3. The Collector was addressed in May 1897 to recover the above sum & reminders were sent since on the subject. That officer replied in his Rep: on Cur: No: ¹⁹⁶² of 97 of 12 November 1897, that only \$ 17-11-6 was collected from the ryots concerned towards the maintenance of the channels referred to and that the Dy Collector has been requested to collect the balance at a very early date.

4. In returning counter-signed the estimates under reference the Collector states in his No: ¹⁹⁶² of 97 of 19 January 1898, that the Divisional Officer has instructed the Tahsildar to induce the Inamdar to pay the amount in an amicable manner when he had reported to him that they refused payment and that if otherwise, this amount will have to be recovered by the institution of a civil suit.

5. As the Executive Engineer reported that these annual maintenance

2P.
- nance estimates could not possibly be deferred until they are sanctioned in regular course, I allowed him to incur expenditure on them under Code Volume I, Chapter IX, para 39, which by an oversight has been omitted to be reported to you. The Examiner objects to this procedure vide his letter No: 3945 B/A of 17 December 1897, copy enclosed.


6. Under the circumstances I request that sanction of Government may be obtained, under para 79, Chapter IX, Volume I of the Code, to the execution by this department of the contribution works referred to and to complete the same from Govt. funds, the contribution being credited to the works after they are recovered.

I have the honor to be
Sir,

Your Obedt & h. Servant,

J. V. D. Dally Esq. Secy. P. E.
Superintendent, Circle

2153
2153
2153



34P
From Major E. A. Walker R.E., offg.
Examiner of D. W. account, Madras, &
the Supt Engineer, to Circle, No: 3945 B/A of
17 December 1897: —

With reference to your En-
-dorsement No: 2220 T.C. of the 11 instant for
-warding for information copy of your
Memorandum No: 2219 T.C. of the 11 idem, I
have the honor to point out that as the
works referred to appear to be contribution
works, the definite sanction of Govt
should, under Code Volume I, Chapter
IX, para 79, be obtained before the works
are put in hand, and under para 82
Rule IV, of the same Chapter no advance
of Govt money for private works is
permissible.


/ True copy /

O. V. Bodley Lt. Col. R.E.
Supt Engineer, to Circle
26.2.1898.

8.15/3. 1898

25.2.2
25.18/28

[Handwritten signature]



2.15/3. Rel. 1913

85/8152
25/8/58