Public Works (1898) Department.

G.O., No. 2430 R

DATED 19th Sept 98

21/9/98

[Despatch Abstract.]

School Buildings

Drawing the attention of the
Supty: Engr Circle to S/R. No 333 Dated 10th Sept 98 dirt
expressing in regard to the duties of Mears of the Road
in connection with the Scrutiny of plans Estimates of
— for the purposes of the grant-in-aid Code, and
remarking that in the case of works Completed before
grant is applied for, the Mears of the Road Shall measure
the Statement of the alleged actual, instead of the Estimated,
cost of the work.

10/X 12

Indexed. Read 21/9

[P. W. 56. 1,000—12-2-99.]

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Government {1898} of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Recd. } 1898. *Enclosures*
Regd. } *Spare copies*

G.O., No. 2430 W., 19th September 1898.

SEPTEMBER.

School Buildings

Drawing the attention of the Superintending Engineer, V Circle, to G.O., No. 333, Educational, dated 10th May 1890, in regard to the duties of officers of the Public Works Department in connection with the scrutiny of plans and estimates of — for the purposes of the Grant-in-Aid Code; and remarking that, in the case of works completed before any grant is applied for, the officers of the Public Works Department should examine the statement of the alleged actual, instead of the estimated, cost of the work.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

READ—the following papers :—

From Colonel C. C. RAWSON, R.E., Superintending Engineer, V Circle, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Chepâk, 15th April 1898, No. 251 W.

I have the honour to forward, for orders, copy of a letter, No. 4005, dated 4th April 1898, together with its enclosures, from the Director of Public Instruction.

2. From the report which accompanies the estimate you will see that the work has been commenced and is nearly completed. It therefore appears to be a perfect farce to send the estimate to me for approval. There is no information given regarding the nature of the sub-soil, and I do not consider that the design of foundations is altogether satisfactory.

3. I send this matter for your orders because it is not the first time that I have been asked by the Director of Public Instruction to report on the suitability of designs for buildings that have been nearly completed. If the approval of Superintending Engineers is necessary to designs for buildings, in order that a grant for the construction of these buildings may be given by Government, I think that this opinion should be given *before* the buildings are commenced, and that any grant for a building commenced before the design was approved should be refused. I request that you will obtain the orders of Government on the subject.

From the Hon'ble Mr. D. DUNCAN, M.A., D.Sc., LL.D., Director of Public Instruction, to the Secretary to Government, Educational Department, dated Madras, 21st June 1898, No. 6806.

In returning Government endorsement, No. 1060, Educational, dated 6th May 1898, on Current No. 565, I may mention that the manager explained to me the circumstances that prevented him from applying for the grant and from submitting the plan and estimates for approval, previous to the commencement of the work; and as his explanation appeared to the Inspector of the Circle and to me to be satisfactory, and as the institution is deserving of the aid sought, I resolved on recommending to Government, as a special case, the usual one-third grant, provided that the Public Works Department officers saw no objection to the plan and estimates.

2. I may add that, ordinarily, applications for building grant which are not in accordance with the provisions of article 2, chapter VII of the Grant-in-Aid Code, are rejected by me on their receipt. But where, as in this case, the claims of institutions to aid are entitled to special consideration, I entertain the application in anticipation of the sanction of Government, which is usually accorded, and I think that I may, in the interests of education, be allowed this discretionary power.

No. 1567, Educational.

To the Public Works Department in answer to their reference, No. 2239 C., dated 23rd April 1898.

(Signed) H. TREMENHEERE,
Secretary to Government.

18th July 1898.

ORDER—No. 2430 W., dated 19th September 1898.

The attention of the Superintending Engineer, V Circle, is drawn to G.O., No. 333, Educational,* dated 10th May 1890, which clearly defines the duties of officers of the Public Works Department, in connection with the scrutiny of plans and estimates of school buildings for the purposes of the Grant-in-Aid Code. It will be seen that it is no part of the duty of the Director of Public Instruction to sanction the commencement of any work; that works may be completed before any grant is applied for; and that, in the latter case, it will be necessary for the officers of the Public Works Department to examine the statement of the alleged actual, instead of the estimated, cost of the work.

* G.O., No. 1587 W., dated 7th June 1890.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. B. DEWINTON,
Secretary to Government.

To the Superintending Engineer, V Circle, with five enclosures.
„ other Superintending Engineers.
„ the Consulting Architect to Government.

Exd. T. K. Narayana Aiyangar.

for; and that, in the latter case, it will be necessary for the Officers of the P.W. Dept. to examine the statement of the alleged actual, instead of the estimated cost of the work.

A.H.
15.9.98.

17.9.98

Works

Public, (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 7540

W30W

From The Educational Dept.

Order

No. 1567

Dated 18th July 1898

Received } 10 September 1898
Registered }

Enclosures 10 (2 plans)

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

School House for the High School classes attached to St Peters College Gawler. Replying to a reference from the P.W.D. in connection with the ——.

Offs 380
21/9/98

1

S.E. V Cmte with 5 spares
Other Subject Sent
C.A. 4 Sent. 11/10/98

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
~~2239 C~~		23-4-98	
2968 C		24-6-98	
~~2239 C~~		23-	
1587 W		27.6.90	

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[Edl. 6]
1,000—5-3-97.

Educational (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 565

18/98 SC
410/92
621/92
185/93

From The Public Works Dep't.

Order

No. 2239. C.

Dated 23 - 4 - 98

Received 26 - 4 - 98

Registered 26 - 4 - 98

Enclosures 7.

Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Referring to the Edcl Dep't for remarks, ~~on~~ the plan & estimate of the School House for the High School Classes attached to St Peter's College, Dangan, sent by the D.P.I. to the Sup't Engineer, 5th Circle & submitted by the Sup't Engineer to the P.W.D.

Ino 393/90 Encl
Ino 89/4 The papers put up to me not at all clear on the subject now by the

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	181	ED	18-3-98
	489	-	11-7-93
	168	"	4-3-93
	626	"	30-8-92
	410	"	30-5-92
	333	"	19-5-90

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[G. 2nd A 500—5-7-97.]

Educational DEPARTMENT

C. No. 505 1798

SUBJECT.

Previous approval of the plans of school buildings.

H.M.

FOR ORDERS.

Th case referred to Director for remarks. Meanwhile the papers need not go to H.M. H.I. 5-3-98

In this letter no 4005 dt 4th April 1898, the D.P.I. forwarded a plan and an estimate amounting to Rs 7050 for the construction of a building for the High School classes attached to St Peter's College, Tanjore, to the Superintending Engineer, V Circle, and asked his opinion as to the suitability of the design and the correctness of the estimate. The Superintending Engineer submits the plan and estimate to Govt with the remark "that the work has been commenced and is nearly completed". It therefore appears to be a perfect farce to send the estimate to me for approval. There is no information given regarding the nature of the sub-soil and I do

× Not a correct expression here. H.I.

not consider that the design of foundations is altogether satisfactory". He also states that if the approval of the S.E. is necessary to designs for buildings, in order that a grant for the construction of these buildings may be given by Govt, he thinks that this opinion should be given before the buildings are commenced and that any grant for a building commenced before the design was approved should be refused and solicits orders of Govt on this subject.

The Grant-in-aid code does not refer to this matter. But G.O. no. 333 Ed & 10th may 1890 refers & has the following:-

"It is necessary that the D.P.I. should be furnished with plans and estimate by applicants for building grants, to enable him to judge of the proper grant to be made. If the estimates are considered on examine by the officers of the P.W.D. the Director will act upon their professional opinion and inform the applicants that he will not sanction, or recommend for

sanction a grant in excess of what is estimated to be the proper charge by the P.W.D. It is no part of the duty of the Director to sanction the commencement of any work, and works may even be completed before any grant is applied for. In the latter case, it will be necessary for the officers of the P.W.D. to examine the statement of the alleged actual, instead of the estimated, cost of the work". The Grant-in Aid Code also provides for a grant being given towards the purchase of a building. From this it does not appear to be necessary that the design of a building should be submitted for the previous approval of the officers of the P.W.D. Of course if a building is unsuitable for a school, no grant will be given thereto.

In this particular case at any rate it is submitted that the D.P.I. may be requested to obtain information as to the nature of the sub-soil and communicate it to the Superintending Engineer Circle who

3/5/18

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

P.W.S. 29
7540/98

No. 267 Dr.

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE,
V CIRCLE, MADRAS,
Chepák, 15th April 1898.

From

Colonel C.C. Rawson R.E.
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
V CIRCLE,

To

THE Chief Engineer,
P.W. Department.

SIR,

I have the honour to forward for orders copy of a letter No. 4005 dated 4 April 1898, together with its enclosures, from the Director of Public Instruction.

Enclosures 4
1 copy of letter
1 Plan
1 detailed and abstract estimate with report
1 Site plan
4

2. From the report which accompanies the estimate you will see that the work has been commenced and is nearly completed. It therefore appears to be a perfect farce to send the estimate to me for approval. There is no information given regarding the nature of the sub-soil and I do not consider that the design of foundations is altogether satisfactory.

3. I send this matter for your orders because it is not the first time that I have been asked by the Director of Public Instruction to report on

200—19-1-98.

on the suitability of designs for buildings that have been nearly completed. If the approval of Superintending Engineers is necessary to designs for buildings, in order that a grant for the construction of these buildings may be given by Government, I think that this opinion should be given before the buildings are commenced, and that any grant for a building commenced before the design was approved should be refused. I request that you will obtain the orders of Government on the subject.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
your most obedt. Servant.

Ch. Lamm
15/4/98 Colonel RE
Supg. Engineer 5 Circle

DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION
RECEIVED
11 MAY. 98
R. R. No.....
MADRAS.

8
Edl
1
565

P. W. S.
9/5/98

14.4.98

the provisions of article 2, Chapter VII of the Grant-in-Aid Code are rejected by me on their receipt. But where, as in this case, the claims of institutions to aid are entitled to special consideration, I entertain the application in anticipation of the sanction of Government, which is usually accorded, and I think that I may, in the interests of education, be allowed this discretionary power.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

Encl

D Drummond
Director of Public Instruction.

P.W.D.
7/8/98

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Let the Supdt Engr Cav. of course, be asked to report whether the building is, in his opinion, suitable in design & sound in construction, but where parts in and near piers it would be well more satisfactory to amend the order so that the opinion of the Board could be obtained before building is commenced

H Beck
1.7.98

Submitted with reference to the Secy's endorsement d. 29/6/98
ak
7/98

Endt No 1567/18.7.98.
To the D.P.W in answer to their reference ~~d 16/7/98~~ no 2239C 23.4.98 ak 15.7.98
H. Tremenheere
18/7/98

H.M.

It is submitted that the portion of the office note marked (Flag B) A in red pencil clearly shows that the DPIo may be asked about a building even after it has been ~~completed~~ finished.

The DPIo may be so informed in answer to their reference. It is not practicable to require action to be taken before a school building is commenced; the missions bodies cannot be so bound down. H.T. 12.7.98

Copies
MA 13.7.98