

Public Works (1898) Department.

✓ G.O., No. 2373 *W*

DATED 13 Sep '98

19/9/98

[Despatch Abstract.]

Special Import Sub. Dr.

Approving the proposal
to abolish the — of the Revenue to enter Dr.

120/5

2/9/98

14/9

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Approving the proposals to abolish the — of the Kistna Western division.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Establishment.

READ—the following papers:—

From W. C. LEWIS, Esq., Executive Engineer, Kistna Western Division, to the Superintending Engineer, II Circle, dated 15th July 1898, No. 176 S.

I have the honour to inform you, in continuation of our conversation yesterday, that I do not think the continuation of the Project sub-division of this division necessary any longer than to wind up the past season's field work.

2. There is a very large amount of field work for various blocks done. If the estimating is carried any further, the estimates will lie for many years unexecuted.

3. I should propose to keep a surveyor under each sub-divisional officer to keep up necessary survey work for the details of projects as they develop and completion of partially-done blocks.

4. I very much doubt if it will be possible to provide water for all the anticipated extension of irrigation for a long time to come. We have now got the field work for Appapuram, Vellatur, Tungabhadra side channel, Kollipara east side channel, Pundla blocks done, and to provide water for the large extension of irrigation involved in these, will necessitate several years more education in the economical use of water.

5. I should propose to re-arrange the work by giving the river conservancy sub-divisional officer the charge of the lower portion of the Bank canal, say, below Vellatur lock, thus setting the Eastern sub-divisional officer more at liberty to attend to working out the details of the improvement schemes in his sub-division which is a very heavy one.

I have the honour to state that I agree with you in considering that a special Project division is no longer required in your division.

2. If and when surveyors are required, a proposition statement for same should be submitted in the usual way.

3. I do not think surveyors should be attached to each sub-division as you suggest. They should be engaged for special work and for a definite period.

4. I approve also of the proposal made in paragraph 5.

5. You can, in anticipation of the sanction of Government, give effect to your proposals from 1st proximo,

No. 2227 K.W.

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, for approval of proposal to abolish the special Project sub-division.

21st July 1898.

(Signed) J. HANNAN,
Supg. Engineer, II Circle.

From the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, dated 25th August 1898, No. 69 E.W.

ORDER—No. 2373 W., dated 13th September 1898.

The Superintending Engineer's proposals are approved.

(True Extract.)

Under Secretary to Government.

To the Superintending Engineer, II Circle.
" Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
" Revenue Department.

Exd. T. K. Narayana Aiyanger.

Works
Public (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 7219

Rest cur 6208
7219

No. 2373W of 13.9.98 From the Chief Engineer for India

Order

The Supdy. Engineer
Proposals are approved.

No. 69 SW

Can

12th 7.9.98

Dated 25 August 1898.

Received

Registered

330

Note. In G.O. No 1667W of 4.7.94.

Three sub divisions were sanctioned
for the Krishna Western division,
viz: the (1) Eastern, (2) Western
& (3) Project subdivision. The
last named was formed for
the ~~purpose~~ investigation
of improvements in the Western
Delta Channels.

Enclosures One
Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Special Project Sub Div
of K. W. Div.
Approving of the recommendation

The En. Engr
Considers that the maintenance
of the Project subdivision is
no longer necessary, as the

to abolish.
T E B
S.S. & Civil
Ch. S. & S.
Rev. Engr
27/9/98
H.E. 7.9.98

025355 R17/9

Preparation preliminary has
gone a long way ahead
of execution -

The Supty Engrs II
Circle & the Chief Engr for
Irrigation both agree to
the closure of the sub. division,
& the proposal may be
approved.

BACK PAPERS.


G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	3575C	SW	12-8-98
	1667W		4-7-94

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Public Works (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 6208



No. 3575C. d/12 August 1898.

From

The Supty Engineer
II Circle

Order O.U.

704.2.

for favour of

Remarks.

A.K.
12-8-98.
SW

No. 7227KW

Dated 21-7-98

Received

Registered 25-7-98

Enclosures

Spare copies

No 69 SW d/ 25.8.98

The proposals may be
Sanctioned -

H. for S.I.

25/8/98

SUBJECT.

Special project subd. of
KW da -
Recommend, under certain
Circumstances, the abolition
of -

BACK PAPERS.

[illegible]

FURTHER PAPER

[illegible]

Copy of letter from W. C. Lewis
Esquire, Executive Engineer, Western Western
Division, to the Superintending Engineer.
2nd Circle, No. 176 S, dated 15-7-98.

I have the honor to inform you,
in continuation of our conversation
yesterday, that I do not think the con-
tinuation of the Project Sub. Division
of this Division necessary any longer
than to wind up the past season's
field work.

2. There is a very large amount of field work for various blocks done. If the estimating is carried any further, the estimates will lie for many years unexecuted.

3. I should propose to keep a surveyor under each sub. Divisional office to keep up necessary survey work for the details of projects as they develop and completion of partially done blocks.

4. I very much doubt if it will be possible to provide water for all the anticipated extension of irrigation for a long time to come. We have now

got the field work for Appapuram, ^{Tungabhadra side chkl} Vellatur, Kollipara East side channel Pundla blocks done and to provide

water for the large extension of irrigation involved in these, will necessarily

take several years more education in the economical use of water.

5. I should propose to rearrange the work by giving the River Conservancy Sub-Divisional officer the charge of the lower portion of the Bank Canal, say, below Vellatur Lock, thus letting the Eastern Sub-Divisional officer more at liberty to attend to working out the details of the improvement schemes in his Sub-Division which is a very heavy one.

I have the honor to

state that I agree with you in considering that a Special Project Division is no longer required in your Division.

2. If and when surveys are required, a proposition statement for same should be submitted in the usual way.

3. I do not think surveys should be attached to each Sub-Division as you suggest. They should be engaged for special work and for a definite period.

4. I approve also of the proposal made in para 5

5. You can in anticipation of the sanction of Government, give effect to your proposals from 1st proximo.

No 2227 Kwd 21.7.98.

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer, P. W. Department for approval of proposal to abolish the special Project Sub-Division.

W. H. M. M. M.
Supy. Engr. to Chief

State that I agree with you in
concerning the a large
number of the Corps as given in
the


2. If any other business is
to be done between the two
parties it is the duty of
the two parties to
to each other.

For the first time they should be
for the second time and for a third
time.

3. I approve of the way in
which you have
been in the
execution of your
to your friends of the
of the

4. I am to the
of the
of the
of the

CHIEF ENGINEER
FOR IRRIGATION.
15 AUG. 1898
No. 188



15

887522
1-3-20