Public Works (1898) Department.

G.O., No. 2196 W

DATED 30th August '98

11|9|98

[Despatch Abstract.]

Establishment

Passing orders on the Statement submitted by the Engineer comparing the existing with the revised Scale for the Combined Chingleput & Buckingham Canal Dn.

90/4

B

Indexed

[P. W. 36. 3,000—12 3-98.]

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

GENERAL.
ESTABLISHMENT.

Government (1898) of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Recd. } 1898. *Enclosures*
Regd. } *Spare copies*

G.O., No. 2196 W., 30th August 1898.

AUGUST.

Establishment

Passing orders on the statement submitted by the Examiner, comparing the existing — with the revised scale for the combined Chingleput and Buckingham Canal division.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Establishment.

Read—the following paper :—

Office-note from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated Madras, 8th August 1898, No. 953 A./E.

I herewith forward, for information, a statement comparing the existing establishment with the revised scale sanctioned in G.O., No. 1400 W., dated 3rd June 1898, for the combined Chingleput and Buckingham Canal division, showing the following as supernumerary :—

1 Clerk, 5th class, 1st grade.
1 Draftsman, 1st or 3rd class.
2 Peons on Rs. 6 per mensem.
1 Sub-overseer, 1st grade.

2. In paragraph 1 of the Government Order quoted, 3 draftsmen have been sanctioned in lieu of 4 draftsmen applied for as noted in the margin, but the classes of draftsmen sanctioned are not specified, and I would request that this may now be done.

1 Draftsman, 1st class.
1 Do. 2nd class.
2 Draftsmen, 3rd class.

3. One peon on Rs. 7 stands against a peon on Rs. 6 per mensem for a Sub-divisional office—*vide* item (*e*) in column "Remark" of the statement. Please state whether the difference of Re. 1 may be treated as a personal allowance.

4. Please also state whether the increase of the pay of store-keeper from Rs. 20 to Rs. 30 per mensem as applied for has been sanctioned, and if so from what date.

Enclosure.

Statement showing the Revised scale of Lower Subordinate Petty and Office establishment for the combined Chingleput and late Buckingham Canal division (G.O., No. 1400 W., dated 3rd June 1898) as compared with actuals.

Item No.	As per revised sanctioned scale of establishment.	As per existing establishment.	Remarks.
	Divisional Office.	*Divisional Office.*	
1	1 Clerk, 3rd class, 2nd grade ..	1 Clerk, 3rd class, 2nd grade.	
2	1 ,, 4th ,, 1st ,, ..	1 ,, 4th ,, 1st ,,	
3	2 Clerks, 4th ,, 2nd ,, (*a*)	1 ,, 4th ,, 2nd ,, ..	(*a*) *Item* 3.—One clerk, 4th class, 2nd grade, is vacant.
4	4 ,, 4th ,, 3rd ,, ..	4 Clerks, 4th ,, 3rd ,,	
5	....	2 ,, 5th ,, 1st ,, (*b*)	(*b*) *Item* 5.—Two clerks, 5th class, 1st grade, are shown under Divisional office. These are apparently clerks employed under detached officers. The Executive Engineer has been asked to explain in disallowance lists. In the meanwhile 1 is taken against (*a*) and 1 treated as supernumerary.
6	3 Draftsmen (no classes given)(*c*).	2 Draftsmen, 1st class (*c*) ..	(*c*) *Items* 6 *and* 7.—Assuming that 3 draftsmen sanctioned are one in each class, *i.e.*, 1st, 2nd and 3rd, then one draftsman, 1st class, stands against 1 draftsman, 2nd class; 1 draftsman, 3rd class, is supernumerary.
7	....	2 ,, 3rd ,, (*c*) ..	
8	1 Peon on Rs. 7	1 Peon on Rs. 7.	
9	1 ,, on Rs. 6	2 Peons on Rs. 6 each (*d*) ..	(*d*) *Item* 9.—One peon on Rs. 6 is supernumerary.

Statement showing the revised scale of Lower Subordinate, &c.,—*cont.*

Item No.	As per revised sanctioned scale of establishment.	As per existing establishment.	Remarks.
	Sub-Divisional Office. For five Sub-divisions.	*Sub-Divisional Office.* For five Sub-divisions.	
10	5 Clerks, 4th class, 3rd grade ..	5 Clerks, 4th class, 3rd grade.	
11	5 Peons at Rs. 6 each ..	4 Peons at Rs. 6 each.	
12	....	1 Peon on Rs. 7 (*e*)	(*e*) *Item* 12.—One peon on Rs. 7 stands against 1 peon on Rs. 6.
	Petty.	*Petty.*	
13	1 Store-keeper, Rs. 30 (*f*) ..	1 Store-keeper, Rs. 20 ..	(*f*) *Item* 13.—The pay of store-keeper is claimed at Rs. 20 per mensem instead of Rs. 30 as applied for.
14	3 Peons (Divisional office) on Rs. 6 each.	4 Peons (Divisional office) on Rs. 6 each. (*g*)	(*g*) *Item* 14.—One peon on Rs. 6 is supernumerary.
15	15 Peons (5 Sub-divisions—3 peons for each) on Rs. 6 each.	15 Peons (5 Sub-divisions—3 peons for each) on Rs. 6 each.	
	Lower Subordinate.	*Lower Subordinate.*	
16	1 Sub-overseer, 1st grade ..	2 Sub-overseers, 1st grade (*h*) ..	(*h*) *Item* 16.—One sub-overseer, 1st grade, is supernumerary.
17	1 ,, 2nd ,, ..	1 Sub-overseer, 2nd ,,	
18	1 ,, 3rd ,, ..	1 ,, 3rd ,,	
	Conservancy and Toll establishment.	Same as sanctioned.	

Order—No. 2196 W., dated 30th August 1898.

The following information will be furnished to the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, with reference to the points raised in his office-note read above, in connection with the revised scale of establishment sanctioned in G.O., No. 1400 W., dated 3rd June 1898, for the combined Chingleput and Buckingham Canal division :—

(*a*) The draftsmen sanctioned for the combined division are of the classes specified below :—

1 Draftsman, 1st class. | 2 Draftsmen, 3rd class.

(*b*) The Examiner points out that a peon of the existing establishment, drawing Rs. 7 per mensem, counts against a peon on Rs. 6 provided for a Sub-divisional office in the revised scale. The difference of one rupee will be treated as a personal allowance.

(*c*) The pay of the store-keeper has been raised from Rs. 20 to Rs. 30 as proposed by the Superintending Engineer, V Circle, in his letter, No. 218 W., dated 29th March 1898, and this increase will have effect from the date of the order sanctioning the revised scale.

(*d*) In a separate communication, the Superintending Engineer states that the two supernumerary peons on Rs. 6 in the Division office have since been absorbed.

2. The Examiner will submit a final list of supernumerary hands in the division on receipt of the information called for from the Executive Engineer, concerning the 2 clerks, 5th class, 1st grade, shown under Divisional office.

3. A draftsman, 1st class, and a sub-overseer, 1st grade, are apparently supernumerary. Till they are absorbed into other divisions, they should, if possible, be employed in the place of temporary establishment.

(True Extract.)

Under Secretary to Government.

To the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
,, Superintending Engineer, V Circle.
,, Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd T. K Narayana Aiyangar.

P.W. DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in Proceedings :—

Again G.O., dated 189 , No.

Again G.O., dated 189 , No.

Current No. 6633 of 1898, dated 8th August 1898, from The Exar. P.W. Accts

" " " 189 , " ; "

" " " 189 , " , "

Genl Estabt

ORDER. No 2196 W dated 30-8-98

The following information will be furnished to the Examiner, P. W. Accounts, with reference to the points raised in his office note read above, in connection with the revised scale of Establishment sanctioned in G.O. No 1400 W, dated 3rd June, 1898, for the Combined Chingleput and Buckingham Canal division :-

(a) The draftsmen sanctioned for the Combined division are of the classes specified below :-

1 draftsman 1st class

2 draftsmen 3rd class.

(b) The Examiner points out that a peon of the existing establishment, drawing Rs 7 per mensem, counts against a peon on Rs 6 provided for a Sub divisional Office in the revised scale. The difference of one rupee will be treated as a personal allowance

3

[P. W. 4.
500-17-6-98.]

pon allowance.

(c) The pay of the storekeeper has been raised from Rs 20 to Rs 30, as proposed by the Suptg Engineer, V Circle in his letter No 218W, dated 29th March 1898, and this increase will have effect from the date of the order sanctioning the revised scale.

(d) In a separate communication+ the Suptg Engineer states that the two supernumerary peons on Rs 6 in the division office have since been absorbed.

2 The Examiner will submit a final list of supernumerary hands in the division on receipt of the information called for from the Executive Engineer concerning the 2 clerks, V class, 1st grade, shown under Divisional Office.

3 A draftsman, 1st class, and a Sub Overseer, 1st grade, are apparently supernumerary. Till they are absorbed into other divisions, they should if possible be employed in the place of temporary establishment.

ACK
26-8-98.

L.A.W.
26.8.98

S

~~3 The foregoing draft order explains all~~
~~itself fully~~

P. 20

+ vide linked file

H.C.

This is not intelligible. I don't see that the Ex. Eng. has been called on for information about 2 clerks. ACK
25.8

Dir.

Please see the passage marked in green chalk in the Examiner's statement Flag G

Maj
26/8/98

Noted
ACK 26-8.

8/9/98
B

To
The C.E. for Irrigation
" S.E. V circle
" Exam. P.W. Accounts

not to print

Note. In the original proposition statement disposed of by G.O. No 1400 W dated 3.6.98, four draftsmen were applied for, 1 first class, 1 second class, and 2 third class. The G.O. sanctioned only 3 draftsmen, and in the [illegible] statement one 2nd class draftsman was struck out in pencil×. The Press however did not notice the erasure & put all four draftsmen in the printed statement. As the G.O. mentions only 3 draftsmen, without stating their classes, the Examiner ~~[illegible]~~ asks that the classes may be specified. The intention was to sanction 1 first class & 2 3rd class, & if the Press had carried out the correction in printing, the matter would have been clear — The error of

× It should have been done in ink

one Rupee in a peon's pay, mentioned in clause (2) of the present draft G.O., will be absorbed on the issue of a separate G.O. which proposes to make the minimum pay of all peons in the Dept Rs 7 per mensem.

The proposed increase of pay from Rs 20 to Rs 30 per mensem, of the Store-keeper, was passed in the proposition statement attached to G.O. No 1400 W of 3.6.98, but as the G.O. did not specifically mention the point, the Examiner inquires whether the increase has been sanctioned. It is proposed to reply in the affirmative.

There are a few supernumerary peons in the Combined division. These must remain supernumerary until they are absorbed, & in the meanwhile, they will be employed in the place of temporary estab.

APR
25-8-98.

W

Public Works (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 6633
6671

From The Examiner, P. W.
Accts, Madras.

Order

No. 953 A/2

Dated 8th August 1898.
Received 29-8-98
Registered
Enclosures 1
Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Statement comparing the existing estabt with the revised scale for Chingleput & B. Canal Divs — Forwards with remarks a—

o. L. S 324

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
1400 W	3-6-98

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

---

2 Br

Statement showing the Revised scale of Lower Subordinate, Petty & Office Establishment for the Combined Chingleput & late Buckingham Canal Division (G.O. No. 1400 W dated 3rd June 1898) as Compared with actuals.

Item No.	As per Revised sanctioned scale of Establishment.	As per existing Establishment	Remarks.
	Divisional Office.	Divisional Office.	(a) Item 3.
1	1 Clerk, 3rd Class, 2nd grade	1 Clerk. 3rd Class. 2nd grade	1 Clerk IV class, 2nd grade is vacant.
2	1 " 4th Class 1st "	1 " 4th Class 1st "	
3	2(a) " 4th Class 2nd grade	1 " 4th Class 2nd grade	
4	4 " 4th Class 3 grade	4 " 4th Class 3rd grade	(b) Item 5.
5		2(b) " 5th Class 1st grade	2 Clerk 5th class, 1 grade are shown under Divisional Office
6	3 Draftsmen (no classes given) (c)	2 Draftsman 1st Class (c)	These are apparently clerks employed under detached Officers
7		2 " 3rd class (c)	The Executive Engineer has been asked to explain in his allowance lists.
8	1 Peon on Rs 7.	1 Peon on Rs 7.	In the meanwhile 1 is taken against (a) & 1 treated as supernumerary.
9	1 - Do - on Rs 6.	2 Peons on Rs 6. each (d)	
	Sub Divisional Office. For 5 Sub divisions	Sub Divisional Office. For 5 Sub Divisions.	(c) Items 6 & 7. Assuming that 3 draftsman sanctioned
10	5 Clerks. 4th Class, 3rd grade	5 Clerk. 4th Class, 3rd grade	are one in each class i.e. 1st, 2nd & 3rd - then 1 Draftsman, 1st class stands
11	5 peons at Rs 6/- each	4 peons at Rs 6/- each.	against 1 Draftsman - 2nd class
12		1 peon on Rs 7/- (e)	1. Draftsman, 3rd class is supernumerary.
	Petty	Petty	(d) Item 9.
13	1 Store-Keeper Rs 30 (f)	1 Store-Keeper Rs 20	1 peon on Rs 6/- is Supernumerary
14	3 Peons (Divisional Office) on Rs 6/- each.	4 peons (Divisional Office) on Rs 6/- each (g)	(e) Item 12. 1 peon on Rs 7 stands against 1 peon on Rs 6/.
15	15 peons (5 Sub Divisions 3 peons for each) on Rs 6/. each	15 peons (5 Sub Divisions 3 peons for each) on Rs 6/. each	(f) Item 13. The pay of store-keeper is claimed at Rs 20/- per mensem instead of Rs 30/- as ~~sanctioned~~ applied for.
	Lower Subordinate.	Lower Subordinate.	
16	1 Sub overseer 1st grade	(h) 2 Sub-Overseers 1st Grade	(g) Item 14.
17	1 Sub Overseer 2nd Grade	1 - Do - 2nd Grade	1 peon on Rs 6/. is supernumerary.
18	1 - Do - 3rd Grade	1 - Do - 3rd Grade	(h) Item 16. 1 Sub-Overseer, 1st grade is supernumerary.
3	15#	15	21

Item No.	As per Revised Sanctioned Scale of Establishment	As per Existing Establishment	Remarks
	Conservancy and Toll Establishment	Same as sanctioned	—

W
8.8.98

C.

a
6/8/98

E- No. 27.
1,000—16-5-98

Keep-with Current No. dated 189 .

Govt. of Madras. } 1898. { P.W. Dept.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 8th August 1898.

Office Note No. 953 A/8

W

To

W.

I herewith forward, for information, a statement comparing the existing establishment with the revised scale sanctioned in G.O.No. I400 W dated 3rd.June I898 for the combined Chingleput and Buckingham Canal Divisions, shewing the following as supernumerary:

I,clerk 5th.class Ist.grade
I,Draftsman Ist.or 3rd.class
2 Peons on Rs.6 per mensem
I Sub Overseer Ist.grade

2. In para I of the G.O.quoted 3 draftsmen have been sanctioned in lieu of 4 draftsmen applied for as noted in the margin, but the classes of draftsmen sanctioned are not specified, and I would request that this may now be done.

I	Draftsman	Ist.class
I	do	2nd.class
2	do	3rd.class

3. One peon on Rs.7 stands against a peon on Rs.6 per mensem for a sub divisional office, vide item e in column

P.W.E.I
6633/98

column "Remark" of the statement. Please state whether the difference of Rupee I-0-0 may be treated as a personal allowance.

4. Please also state whether the increase of the pay of store-keeper from Rs.20 to Rs.30 per mensem as applied for has been sanctioned, and if so from what date.

8.8.98

C.

6/8/98