

Public Works (1898) Department.

G.O., No. 1287 W

DATED 6th August '98

[Despatch Abstract.]

Local Road Works. Pro: L & M Dept
forwarded to the Accts. Statement showing
the amounts of estimates for — submitted
by the P.W.D. for the quarter ending 31st March 98
& requesting him to make the necessary
adjustments in the accounts in Com.
communication with the Insd. W. accounts,
communicated to the officers of the P.W.D. concerned.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Forwarding to the Accountant-General statement showing the amounts of estimates for — scrutinized by the Public Works Department for the quarter ending 31st March 1898, and requesting him to make the necessary adjustments in the accounts in communication with the Examiner of Public Works Accounts.

The S. E. I circle ✓
 - S - $\frac{II}{IV}$ circle ✓
 - S - $\frac{IV}{IV}$ circle ✓
 The Exact P.W. Acts ✓

2 11/8/98

one

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—again the following paper :—

G.O., Mis. No. 931 L., dated 19th May 1898.

ABSTRACT.—Referring to certain Presidents of District Boards, for verification and early report, statement showing the amounts of the estimates for Local Fund works scrutinized by the Public Works Department for the quarter ending 31st March 1898.

READ—also the following papers :—

From D. W. G. COWIE, Esq., I.C.S., President, District Board, The Nilgiris, to the Secretary to Government, Local and Municipal Department, dated Ootacamund, 27th May 1898, C. No. 1144 (a)—D.B.

With reference to G.O., Mis. No. 931 L., dated 19th May 1898, I have the honour to return the statement, which accompanied it, verified so far as items 2 and 3 are concerned, the estimates sanctioned by Government in the case of item 1 not having been received.

2. The amount payable as contribution to the Public Works Department is Rs. 350, being $2\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. on the total value of the two estimates (items 2 and 3), viz., Rs. 14,000, scrutinized by that department, for which provision exists in the current year's budget.

3. The scrutiny charge due for estimate marked item 1 is Rs. 302-8-0, for which no express provision exists in the current year's budget, as the work in question was decided on after the submission of the budget. Funds will, however, be provided by savings effected in tender rates in the disposal of contracts for "new works."

From F. C. PARSONS, Esq., I.C.S., President, District Board, Malabar, to the Secretary to Government, Local and Municipal Department, dated Calicut, 28th May 1898, Dis. No. 660-L.F.

With reference to G.O., Mis. No. 931 L., dated 19th May 1898, I have the honour to report that the amount of estimate for the Thalipoya bridge, which was originally Rs. 13,000, was raised to Rs. 13,300 in the Chief Engineer's Office (*vide* G.O., No. 1067 W., dated 28th April 1898, sanctioning the estimate).

From V. A. BRODIE, Esq., President, District Board, Gódávri, to the Secretary to Government, Local and Municipal Department, dated Cocanada, 25th May 1898, Dis. No. 789-D.B.

With reference to G.O., Mis. No. 931 L., dated 19th May 1898, I have the honour to state that the statement received therewith has been verified and the amount of the estimate found correct.

From R. MORRIS, Esq., President, District Board, Kistna, to the Secretary to Government, Local and Municipal Department, dated Masulipatam, 17th June 1898, Ref. on C. No. 251-D.B.

With reference to G.O., Mis. No. 931 L., dated 19th May 1898, I have the honour to state that the estimate for Rs. 1,70,800 for the road from Tenali to Kolluru has been scrutinized and returned by the Superintending Engineer, II Circle, with certain suggestions and remarks.

ORDER—No. 1231 L., dated 7th July 1898.

The statement received from the Public Works Department will be forwarded to the Accountant-General with the request that he will make the necessary adjustments in the accounts in communication with the Examiner of Public Works Accounts.

(True Extract.)

for Secretary to Government.

To the Accountant-General, with statement.
 " Public Works Department.
 " President, District Board, The Nilgiris.
 " " " " Malabar.
 " " " " Gódávri.
 " " " " Kistna.



Address { % Parry & Co-
70 Gracechurch St.
London E.C.

Dear de Winton

Here with a pension
form filled up as far as
I am able to do it - Will
you kindly have it completed -
I have written to the Examiner
by this weeks post enclosing
a similar form.

I have applied for an extension
as far as I can which is
an extra 5 weeks. My present
leave expires on the 16th Sep^r &

18
this will extend it to the
21st of October London E

Yrs sincerely

Dear Mr. S. P. Barless.

18
P.S. Excuse the form^{is} not
quite correctly filled up, about
as I have informed you
I have lost all my papers -

I have written to the Examiners
of the ^{for} next post enclosing
a similar form.

I have applied for an extension
of 5 weeks which is
extra 5 weeks. My present
time expires on the 10th Sep^r

TELEGRAPH OFFICE IN THE HOTEL.

Beachy Head

Widdow
Perry & Co.
Hotel, Sandchurch St.
London E.C.4

Eastbourne, 23rd June 1898

Sir

I have the honor to inform
you that my physician
advises me not to return
to India and acting upon
this advice I hereby request
that I may be permitted
to retire on Pension at the
end of my leave

My leave was for 6 months
but $7\frac{1}{2}$ months are due to
me and I beg that I may
be granted an extension of
 $1\frac{1}{2}$ months and the pension
to commence after this latter
period ($7\frac{1}{2}$ months) has expired

I am Sir

Your obedient servant

G P Charles

To
The Ch. Engineer & Secy to Gov.

Chennai

Madras

EXAMINER,
P. W. ACCOUNTS.
15 JUL. 1898.

1.4
FW

The accompanying
letter from Mr. Carless
came under cover
addressed officially to
W, & was opened
in the usual course.
Inside it is addressed
to W by name.

since received

The Sanj office
people tell me that
they have not received
the letter with &
have gone by some
mail to C -

Draft \$5. on
the subject of Mr.
Carless' extension of
leave & retirement
was sent to you
last week. It has
not yet come back
when it is issuing.

S.A.W.
W
AK

The pension application
form will be sent
C with it.

6051
6062/25

5
148

Kindly let me know
if you have received the
letter which Mr. Carles
refers to in para 2
of my note.

Will this form
be applied for pension
be of any use to your
office?

In the S. on
Mr. Carles' recent official
application, we give consent
to the proposed extension
of leave, & tell him to
apply to the S. of State for
sanction to the same.

We also permit
him to retire at the end
of his leave, & ask 2
to submit pension papers
in due course.

This S. will
come to you as soon
as it returns from Osg
approved.

SAW
C
25/7/50

The letter referred to
by Mr. Carles has not
yet been received as yet.

form of application sent
is the correct form & will
be filed in the Office
on receipt of orders of the
Sanctioning ^{his} ~~the~~ retired
officer. It may be
sent to us along with
the G.O.

Until the orders
Sanctioning the ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~the~~ ^{the}
retirement are received,
no action will be taken
by the G.O. office.

~~Q. 157~~
~~Q. 158~~

into writing a wrong
environment.

Cu
10/6/94

3 may & no disposal
can be had on it
7/10/6/94

4c
0

will voluntarily use
what has become
of eno 3656 at all,
& whether any disburse-
ment has been made on
it.

on receipt of
eno 4692 from S.S.
circle, I endorsed
it on GCA for
submission of the
report called for
S.S. eno 4324
to 3-98 -

The CA's office
now point out the
fact an report
called for was never
submitted with CA's
no 1999 23-4-98

Current eno 3656/

this paper was
not quoted when eno
4692 was received
& from this led

Awaiting return of the papers in circulation.

May 17/1998

The 2 current eno 4692 & 4324 are now
in the hands of the Department
of the Registrar

S.H.W.

Please let
me see other
copy of eno 2754C
278628, &
see if you can
find in the
file, when from
CA no 1999
23-4-98

CA
10/6/98

3655
x c.k. 3656 sent to W 3-5-98

Saw
memo further
CA. Mean eno 3656
was sent to Warren
3 May & no disposal
can be had on it
4/10/6/98

C2h Ch 4424

Nothing is said in
the Rules at Fly H
about the incidence of
cost of such roads.
Are there any rules
in the subject -

W. H. H.
19.7.51

W Rule 9 shows that
the maintenance charges
are paid by local funds,
and the P.W. budgets
contain numerous cases
in which allotments are
so provided.

As a fact, though
not expressly stated in
the rules, the cost of
construction is also so
provided. For a precedent
please see p. 1412 vol
2. May 6 - and other
fos attached to this
slip. The only con-
sideration made is the

Ch 4424

Remission of per
charges for Tools & Plans
and Establishments

19 July 98

to

In the reference to
clause (4) of your note
of 19-7-98, it may be
stated definitely that the
cost of construction of
these roads over river &
bank haills is chargeable
to Local funds. The G.O. No 1412 W of 2nd May 76 -
quoted in my former
note shows this - and there
are several other cases
in G.O. 2075 W of 31 July 90 -
Flag G - now added to
the file.

R.S.

19 July 98

* There is nothing in
this to show that the
road runs in an
river in bankment.

I have added to page 4

My self

20-7-98

It appears from
the collector's letter
printed with G.O. 2075 W
of 31 July 90

Kindly say whether
you wish the papers to
go in circulation now,
or to be sent back to the
L & M Dept. for further
remarks.

R.S.

20 July 98

I suppose they should
be sent back to the
L & M Dept.

20-7-98

20-7-98