5647

Public Works (1898) Department.

G.O., No. 1917 W

DATED 29th July 1898

3/8/98

[Despatch Abstract.]

Expenditure: Authorising the Examiner of P.W. Accounts to admit the —— of Rs 2124 incurr in excess of the estimate of Rs 17,900 for constru a new Treasury for ~~constructing~~ the Dy: Coll at Nellore.

25

I/13

100/4

[P. W. 26. / 1,000—12-2-98.]

Indexed. 2/8

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Government {1898} of Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Recd. } 1898. *Enclosures*
Regd. } *Spare copies*

DY

G.O., No. 1917 W., 29th July 1898.

Is it the Collr's treasury – Collrs have no treasuries HA

JULY.

Expenditure

Authorizing the Examiner of Public Works Accounts to admit the — of Rupees 2,124 incurred in excess of the estimate of Rs. 17,900 for constructing a new treasury for the Deputy Collector at Nellore.

File with the draft order L.S. 2 aug 98

Noted W 24/8

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

Read—the following papers:—

From J. HANNAN, Esq., Superintending Engineer, II Circle, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated 3rd June 1898, No. 617 N.L.

I have the honour to submit a completion report for constructing a treasury for Deputy Collector at Nellore for sanction of the excess of Rs. 2,124 over the sanctioned estimate.

On receipt of the report from the Division officer, I returned it with my No. 469 N.L., dated 2nd May 1898, copy enclosed.

It was returned with his No. 352/C., dated 20th May 1898, copy of which is also enclosed.

A revised estimate was submitted for this work a year ago, but was returned with orders to submit a completion report as the work was completed.

No proper explanation has been given for the excesses or for unauthorized deviations from the sanctioned estimate, neither has any explanation been given for the non-submission in *proper time* of the revised estimate.

It will be seen from the statement at back of the report, that during the somewhat less than two years the work was in progress, that there were no less than seven changes of officers and as many changes of subordinates in charge of work. So it is rather difficult to fix responsibility.

From the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated 6th July 1898, No. 2332 B./A.

Note by the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department.

The Superintending Engineer, II Circle, submits a completion report for the work of constructing a new treasury for the Deputy Collector at Nellore, together with a comparative statement explaining the causes of the excess of Rs. 2,124 over the estimate of Rs. 17,900 sanctioned in G.O., No. 1695 W., dated 6th June 1896.

2. The causes of excess are explained for the most part in a meagre and unsatisfactory manner, and there has been great delay in the submission of this completion report. This is probably due to the fact brought to notice by the Superintending Engineer that during the time the work was in progress—a period under two years—there were no less than seven changes of officers and as many changes of subordinates in charge of it. Endeavours are now being made to reduce such changes to a minimum, seeing that they are not only directly inimical to the interests of the State, but render it a matter of impossibility to fix responsibility for unauthorized deviations or excesses.

3. The principal items of excess are the following:—

Item number.	Sub-head.	Amount of excess.	Reasons.
		Rs.	
1	Concrete in chunam	103	632 cubic feet in excess of the estimate provision had to be laid, because made earth was found in excavating the foundations, and it had to be removed and concrete substituted.
2	Laterite in mortar	700	This excess is due partly to increase in quantity (about 700 cubic feet) and partly to increase in rate from Rs. 18-12-0 to Rs. 23 and Rs. 21-12-0 for archwork to Rs. 26. The former is said to be due to omissions in the original estimate, and the latter to the fact that the work was carried out departmentally instead of by piece-work.
3	Plastering with chunam ..	292	The plastering of the terrace roof inside between the joists was apparently omitted in the original estimate, and had to be supplied, thus doubling the quantity.
4	Portland cement pointing to flooring.	139	Omitted in original estimate.
5	Plastering floor of upper storey with chunam and then with Portland cement.	241	The plastering of this floor was apparently omitted in the original estimate. It was first plastered with chunam, and when this, as might have been expected, did not stand the wear and tear, Portland cement was substituted.
6	Colour washing	100	Not provided in original estimate; introduced to improve the appearance of the building.
7	Painting woodwork	148	More expensive Carson's paint was used under the orders of the Superintending Engineer.
8	Steel girder in verandah	109	Not provided in original estimate; inserted under instructions of Chief Engineer in G.O., No. 1695 W., dated 8th June 1896.
9	Teakwood steps	100	Lump sums were provided for these items in original estimate and proved insufficient.
10	Zinc pipes	202	
11	Removing and rebuilding compound wall.	259	
12	Teakwood stair-case	200	
		2,593	
	Deduct savings on various items ..	469	
	Net excess ..	2,124	

4. The excesses on items 1, 7 and 8 may be accepted as unavoidable. Those on items 2, 3, 4, 5, 9, 10, 11 and 12 were primarily due to carelessness in the preparation of the original estimate. There is no record in this office of the name of the officer who prepared it, but it was probably Mr. Marjoribanks, who was in charge of the Nellore division at the time, and is since dead. The estimate was, however, scrutinised in this office and the omissions should have been detected. The remark that departmental labour cost more than piece-work is also not satisfactory. With careful supervision which must have been possible at the head-quarters of the division, it should have cost less. The entry of lump sums in estimates should also be avoided as much as possible. It saves trouble, but always leads to errors.

Item 6 should not have been carried out without the sanction of superior authority of which there is no evidence.

5. The repeated orders issued during the last 9 months on the subject of original and revised estimates will, it is hoped, bear good fruit in the future. In the present case the Executive Engineer's attention will be called to them, and to the unsatisfactory manner in which this completion report has been submitted. The completion report is recommended for sanction.

(Signed) W. B. deWINTON,
Chief Engineer.

23rd July 1898.

Order—No. 1917 W., dated 29th July 1898.

The Examiner of Public Works Accounts is authorized to admit the outlay of Rs. 2,124 incurred in excess of the estimate of Rs. 17,900 for constructing a new treasury for the Deputy Collector at Nellore.

No. 1917 W., 29TH JULY 1898.

2. Attention is called to the note by the Chief Engineer. His Excellency the Governor in Council considers that the explanation afforded of these excesses is unsatisfactory.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. B. DEWINTON,
Secretary to Government.

To the Superintending Engineer, II Circle, with two enclosures.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd. T. K. Narayana Aiyangar.

P.W. **DEPARTMENT.**

B of R
C. of works

Papers to be read in Proceedings :—

Again G.O., dated 189 , No.
Again G.O., dated 189 , No.
Current No. 4796 of 1898, dated 3rd June 1898, from The S. E. II circle
" " 5647 " 1898, " 6th July 1898, " The Exar. P. W. Accts No 2332 B/A
" " " 189 , " ,

2 Note by the Chief Engineer, P.W.D., dy 23-7-98

ORDER. No. 1917 W., dy 29.7.98

The Examiner of P. W. Accounts is authorised to admit the outlay of Rs 2.124 incurred in excess of the estimate of Rs 17.900 for constructing a new Treasury for the Deputy Collector at Nellore.

A.c.H.
14-7-98

2. Attention is called to the note by the Chief Engineer. ^

W 14.7.98

P.K.S

A.S.H
26.7.98

Unsatisfactory explanation.

^ H.E. the Governor in Council considers that the explanation afforded of these excesses is unsatisfactory

W
27 July 98.

Note.

The Causes of excess are explained in the accompanying technical note, and the note by the Chief Engineer read above

A.c.H.
14.7.98.

14.7.98 W

To

The S. E. II circle with 2 enclosures.
" Exar. P. W. Accts

27.7.98
P.K.S.

D 1/8/98

P. W. 4.
500—24-3-98.

H.E. 23.7.98 R 27.7.98

No. 1917 W. of 29.7.98

Public Works Department.

Note by the Chief Engineer.

Ch

The Superintending Engineer II Circle submits a Completion Report for the work of constructing a new Treasury for the Deputy Collector at Nellore, together with a comparative statement explaining the causes of the excess of Rs. 2,124 over the estimate of Rs. 17,900 sanctioned in G.O. No. 1695 W dated 6th June 1896.

+ Flag G

2. The causes of excess are explained for the most part, in a meagre and unsatisfactory manner, and there has been great delay in the submission of this Completion Report. This is probably due to the fact brought to notice by the Superintending Engineer that during the time the work was in progress-a period under 2 years-there were no less than 7 changes of officers and as many changes of subordinates in charge of it. Endeavours are now being made to reduce such changes to a minimum, seeing that they are not only directly inimical to the interests of the state, but render it a matter of impossibility to fix responsibility for unauthorised deviations or excesses.

I am glad to read this. A.S.H.

3. The principal items of excess are the following:-

Item No.	Subhead.	Amount of excess. Rs.	~~Remarks.~~ Reasons
1	Concrete in chunam.	103	632 cubic feet in excess of the estimate provision had to be laid, because made earth was found in excavating the foundations, and it had to be removed and concrete substituted.
2	Laterite in mortar	700	This excess is due partly to increase in quantity(about 700 c.ft) & partly to increase in rate from Rs. 18-12 to Rs.23 and Rs.21-12 for arch-work to Rs.26. The former is said to be due to omissions in the original estimate, and the latter to the fact that the work was carried out departmentally instead of by piece-work.
3	Plastering with chunam.	292	The plastering of the terrace roof inside between the joists, was apparently omitted in the original estimate, and had to be supplied, thus -- doubling the quantity.
4	Portland cement pointing to flooring.	139	Omitted in original estimate.
5	Plastering floor of upper story with chunam & then with Portland cement.	241	The plastering of this floor was apparently omitted in the original -- estimate. It was first plastered with chunam, and when this, as might have been expected, did not stand the wear and tear, P. cement was substituted.
6	Colour washing	100	Not provided in original estimate-introduced to improve the appearance of the building.
7	Painting wood work.	148	More expensive Carsons paint was used under the orders of the Suptg. Engineer.
8	Steel girder in verandah.	109	Not provided in original estimate-inserted under instructions of Chief Engineer in G.O.No.1695 W d/8th June 96.
9	Teakwood steps	100	Lump sums were provided for these items in original estimate & proved insufficient.
10	Zinc pipes	202	
11	Removing & rebuilding compound wall	259	
12	Teakwood stair-case	200	
		2,593	
	Deduct savings on various items	469	
	Net excess----------	2,124	

5P

4. The excesses on items 1,7 & 8 may be accepted as unavoidable. -- Those on items 2,3,4,5,9,10,11 & 12 were primarily due to carelessness in the preparation of the original estimate. There is no record in -- this office of the name of the officer who prepared it, but it was probably Mr.Marjoribanks, who was in -- charge of the Nellore Division at the time, and is since dead. The --- estimate was, however, scrutinised in this office and the omissions should have been detected. The remark that departmental labour cost more than piece-work is also not satisfactory. With careful supervision which must have been possible at the head-quarters of the Division, it should -- have cost less. The entry of lump sums in estimates should also be -- avoided as much as possible. It -- saves trouble but always leads to - errors.

Item 6 should not have been -- carried out without the sanction of superior authority of which there is no evidence.

5. The repeated orders issued -- during the last 9 months on the subject of original and revised estimates will, it is hoped, bear good -- fruit in the future. In the present case the Executive Engineer's attention will be called to them, and to

to the unsatisfactory manner in which this Completion Report has been submitted. The Completion Report is recommended for sanction.

23.7.98

E.W.

No. 1917 W. of 29.7.98

1917 W

Print

Public Works Department.

Current No. 4796

Letter No. 617-N.L.

Dated 3rd June 1898

From the Supg Engineer II Circ

NOTE BY TECHNICAL SECTION.

Name of work Constructing a new Treasury for the Deputy Collector at Nellore. Est Rs 20,018.

The Supg Engineer II Circle submits a completion report with explanation of excess for Rs 2124 over the Estimate of Rs 17,900 sanctioned in G.O. No 1695 W dated 6th June 1896.

The principal items of Excess are in

		Rs
1st	Concrete in Mortar	103
2.	Laterite in Mortar Lower Story	580
3.	Teakwood wrought & put up.	92
5.	Flooring 4" concrete and 2" Cuddapah slabs	18
6.	Removing & re-building compound wall	26
7.	Laterite in Mortar upper Story	96
		1259
8.	Grouped	
	Total Rs	2174

Savings.

4.	Terraced roofing	Rs 50
	Total excess Rs	2124

The causes of excess are explained as follows.—

W. It is waste of time to ask Mr Wickham to be precise in his explanation of excesses, & the Completion Report might therefore be passed.

A.L.K.
27.6.98.

I have drawn up several notes in cases like these I think lately, in the hope that the T. branch would profit by them, but they do not seem to have had much effect. It is absurd to pass more than half the excess under one head without any explanation.

27.7.98

P W. 60
250-30-9-97.

1st. Excess quantity due to filling in pits when made up Earth was met with, while rate represents actual cost by departmental Labour.

2 - Extra quantity due to omissions in Original Estimate - Extra rate due to work being done departmentally and represents actual cost.

3. Excess quantity due to work found necessary -

5. Item Cuddapah Slab flooring in Original Estimate was split up into two viz. (1) Flooring with 4" concrete in 2" Cuddapah Slabs (2) Flooring with 1" sand and 2" Cuddapah slabs in revised Estimate according to instructions received from the Supdt. of Stamps & records to provide 1" sand beneath the Slab flooring to prevent white ants.

Item 1. as per Est 44.16, as per revised Estimate 24.56

Item 2. ——— nil. as per revised Estimate 19.60.

vide comparative Statement.

Saving in quantity is due to the substitution of cement plaster to cills of windows in preference to Cuddapah Slabs having been originally provided.

6. Timber some item originally provided was found insufficient.

7. The walls of the upper Story had to be raised bodily 9" more on account of error in calculation in previous plans.

8. The total of grouped sub. heads as per original Estimate is Rs. 5380 - as per revised Estimate as executed Rs. 6639

difference Rs. 1259

Savings

Item
4. Item under terraced roofing was split up into two in the revised Estimate as the work done departmentally in the beginning cost more than in the latter stage.

Explanation in detail on several sub. heads is given in comparative Statement.

The Supdg. Engineer brings to notice that "no proper explanation has been given for the excesses, or for unauthorized deviations from the Sanctioned Estimate, neither has any explanation been given for the non-submission in proper time of the revised Estimate".

He further remarks that "it will be seen from the statement at back of the report that during the time of somewhat less than two years the work was in progress there were no less than seven changes of Officers and as many changes of subordinates in charge of the work, so it is rather difficult to fix responsibility".

W.C.W.
24.6.98

ACK
27.6.98.

under 2 years – there were no less than 7 changes of officers, & as many changes of subordinates in charge of it. In such circumstances, it is not practicable to fix responsibility for deviations or excesses.

4. The Completion Report is recommended for the sanction of Govt.

ACK
28.6.98.

S.A.W.

Chr. 5647

My note was written on 28.6.98, & I don't understand why it was not promptly sent to W. It is useless to fair copy until approval has been obtained. Send file now.

ALK
14.7.98

H.C.W.

DW

Please see the note flagged H, attached to office copy of endt. No 3003 C of 30.6.98.

After your note was written, the completion report had to be sent to C for verification before the file could be sent to W for submission to Govt. It has only just come back from C.

S.A.W. Cr

Noted. Send to W. Cr

ALK 14.7. 14.7.98

Note [illegible]

The S.E. II Circle submits a Completion Report for the work of constructing a new Treasury for the Dy Collector at Nellore, together with a comparative statement explaining the causes of the excess of Rs 2114 over the estimate of Rs 17,900 sanctioned in G.O. No 1695 W, dated 6th June, 1896.

2. The principal item of excess is for laterite in mortar, lower story, Rs 580, and the ~~explanation for~~ cause of the excess is stated to be due to insufficient provision for this item in the original estimate, & to the extra cost entailed by carrying out the work by departmental labour. Similar explanations apply to the bulk of the remaining items of excess, which are numerous & are in no other case individually important.

3. The S.E. brings to notice that during the time the work was in progress - a period

---

+ Insert the excess Rs 2,124 please?

Yes

For remainder. see other sheet - Fair copy

WL
11-7-98

Note by the Chief Engineer
P.W.D.

The Superintending Engineer II Circle submits a Completion Report for the work of constructing a new Treasury for the Deputy Collector at Nellore, together with a comparative statement explaining the causes of the excess of Rs 2,124, ~~over~~ over the estimate of Rs 17,900 sanctioned in G.O. No. 1695 W, dated 6th June 1896.

2. The principal item of excess is for laterite in mortar, lower story, Rs 580, and the cause of the excess is stated to be due to insufficient provision for this item in the original estimate, and to the extra cost entailed by carrying out the work by departmental labour. Similar explanations apply to the bulk of the remaining items of excess, which are numerous and are in no other case individually important.

3. The Supdg. Engineer brings to notice that during the time the work was in progress - a period under 2 years - there were no less than 7 changes of officers, and as many changes of subordinates in charge of it. In such

(circumstances

circumstances, it is not practicable to fix responsibility for deviations or excesses.

4. The completion Report is recommended for the sanction of Government.

EW

---

2. The causes of excess are explained in the most part, in a meagre & unsatisfactory manner, & there has been great delay in the submission of this Completion Report - this is wholly due to the fact, brought to notice by the S.E. that during the time the work was in progress - a period under 2 years - there were no less than 7 changes of officers & as many changes of subordinates in charge of it - Endeavours are now being made to reduce such changes to a minimum ~~they being~~ seeing that they are directly inimical to the interests of the State, but render it a matter of impossibility to fix responsibility for unauthorised deviations or excesses.

3. The principal items of excess are the following -

Item No	Sub head	Amount of excess Rs	Reasons.
1	Concrete in Chunam	103	632 cubic feet in excess of the estimate provision had to be laid, because made earth was found in excavating the foundations, & it had to be removed & concrete substituted
2	Laterite in Mortar	700	This excess is due partly to increase in quantity (about 700 cft) & partly to increase in rate from Rs 18.12 to Rs 23 & Rs 21.12 for archwork to Rs 26. The former is said to be due to omissions in the original estimate, & the latter to the fact that the work was carried out departmentally instead of by piecework
3	Plastering with Chunam	292	The plastering of the terrace roof inside, between the joists, was apparently omitted in the original estimate & had to be supplied, thus doubling the quantity
4	Portland cement pointing to flooring	139	omitted in original estimate
5	Plastering floor of upper story with Chunam & then with P. cement	241	The plastering of this floor was apparently omitted in the original estimate. It was first plastered with chunam, & when this, as might have been expected, did not stand the wear & tear, P. cement was substituted
6	Colour washing	100	not provided in original estimate, introduced to improve the appearance of the building
		1575	

Item No	Sub head	Amt of ~~Rs~~ excess	Reasons
	B fd	1575	
7	Painting wood work	148	More expensive Carson's paint was used under the orders of the Supte Engr.
8	Steel girder in verandah	109	not provided in original estimate ~~to~~ inserted under instructions of Chief Engr in G.O. No 9861 of 26-2-96. 1695 W d/8th Jun 189
9	Teakwood steps	100	Lump sums were provided for these items in original estimate & ~~&~~ proved insufficient –
10	Zinc pipes	202	
11	Removing & rebuilding compound wall	259	
12	Teakwood staircase	200	
		2593	
	Deduct savings in various items	469	
	Nett excess	2124	

4. The excesses in items 1, 7 & 8 may be accepted as unavoidable – Those in items 2, 3, 4, 5, 9, 10, 11 & 12 were primarily due to careless-ness in the preparation of the original estimate. There is no record in this office of the name of the officer who prepared it, but it was probably Mr Marjoribanks, who was in charge of the Sub Dn at the time, & is since dead – The estimate was, however, scrutinised in this

Free & the [illegible] should have been detected. The remark that departmental labour cost more than piecework is also not satisfactory. With careful supervision, which must have been possible at the head quarters of the Dn. it should have cost less. The entry of lump sums in estimates should also be avoided as much as possible. It saves trouble but always leads to errors. Items should not have been carried out without the sanction of superior authority of which there is no evidence.

5. The repeated orders issued during the last 9 months ~~lately~~ on this subject of original & revised estimates will it is hoped bear fruit in the future. In the present case the Ex. Engr's attention will be called to them, & to the unsatisfactory manner in which this Completion Report has been submitted. The C.R. is recommended for sanction.

21-7-98

Public Works (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 5647

Order

From E. A. Dennys Esquire
Ex. P. W. Accounts,
Madras & Coorg

No. 2332 B/A

Dated 6th July 1898.
Received 7-7-98
Registered 7-7-98
Enclosures 5
Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Completion report for Constructing a new Treasury for the Deputy Collector at Nellore - Returns verified the ——

1

o.L5231

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
3003C	30-6-98
1306C	8-3-97
2991C	3-8-96
1695W	8-6-96
2283C	18-5-96
2161W	29-8-95
1702W	11-7-95

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

---

a/3 B

Public Works (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. ~~4796~~ 5647

EXAMD.
P. W. ACCOUNTS.
2- JUL. 1898
No.....................

From The Superintending Engineer II Circle

Office note No. 3003 C dt 30/6/98
~~Order~~
To C

For verification of the outlay shown in the completion report.

Cn
P.K.S.

Ack
29-6-98.
D.W.

No. 617 NL

Dated 3, 6. 98 ✓

Received
Registered } 6. 6. 98

Enclosures 4

Spare copies

No. 2332 B/A dt. 6 July 1898

To W.

Verified and returned.

El
5.7.98.

D
5.7.98
C.

SUBJECT.

Constructing a new Treasury for the Deputy Collector at Nellore — Submits Completion report for Sanction of the excess of Rs 2118 over the Sanctioned Estimate for —

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.

FURTHER PAP

No.	Date.

EXAMIN
P. W. ACCOUN
2 JUL 1898
No.

Letter from the Superintending Eng
II Circle to the Executive Engineer Nello
Division No. 469 N.L dated 2.5.98.

I have the honor to return the Completion report for Constructing new Treasury for Deputy Collector at Nellore and to state that expla-tions given for the excesses over Sanctioned Estimate will not be Considered Satisfactory by the Chief Engineer and are in some instances no explanation at all.

For instance to say that this is what the work cost is not a proper explanation to give, or that the excess is due to excess quantity of work found necessary.

Explanation should also be given for the non Submission of a revised Estimate in proper time instead of on the Completion of the work.

(True copy)

Venkatadasu Ag: Manag

Supg: Engr
II Circ

Letter from L. L. Wickham Esquire to the Superintending Engineer II Circle, No. $\frac{352}{C}$ of 98 dated 20th May 1898.

EXAMINER,
P. W. ACCOUNTS.
2 - JUL. 1898
No ....

With reference to your no 469 NL dated 2-5-98, I have the honor to inform you that part of the work was done on daily labor and the excess is the difference between daily labor and piece work.

In the Compensation statement detailed statements will be found for the work done in excess of the estimated amount.

With reference to the non submission of ~~the~~ revised estimate, I would refer you to your No 510 NL dated 18th November /97.

(True Copy)

Venkatasvami Ag: manager.
Supg: Engrs office
II Circle

Letter from P. L. Abraham Engineer to the Superintending Engineer IV Circle, No 352/C of 98 dated 20th May 1898

With reference to your no 469 NL dated 2-5-98 I have the honor to inform you that part of the work was done on daily labour and the excess is the difference between daily labour and piece work.

In the Comparative Statement [illegible] the work done in excess of the estimate [illegible]

[illegible] reference to the [illegible] of the revised estimate [illegible]

True Copy

No 617 NL

3rd June 1898

From

The Superintending Engineer
th circle

EXAMINER,
P. W. ACCOUNTS
2 - JUL. 1898
No ....

To

The Chief Engineer
Public works Dept

Sir,

I have the honor to submit a Completion report for Constructing a Treasury for Deputy Collector at Nellore for sanction of the excess of Rs 2118 over the Sanctioned Estimate.
2124

On receipt of the report from the Division Officer, I returned it with my no 469 NL dated 2-5-98, copy enclosed.

It was returned with his no 352/C of 98 dated 20th May /98 Copy of which is also enclosed.

A revised estimate was submitted for this work a year ago but was returned with orders to submit

Enclosures:-
1 Complns report
1 Comp: Statement
1 Copy of S.E.'s No 469 NL 2·5·98
1 Copy of E.E's no 352/C of 98 d 20-5-98
4

Submit a Completion report as the work was Completed.

No proper explanation has been given for the excesses or for unauthorized deviations from the Sanctioned estimate, neither has any explanation been given for the nonsubmission in proper time of the revised estimate.

It will be seen from the statement at back of the report that during the somewhat less than two years the work was in progress that there were no less than seven changes of Officers and as many changes of subordinates in charge of work. So it is rather difficult to fix responsibility.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most Obdt. Servt.

Superintending Engineer
II Circle.

Qws
5 Oct 7/59