

Public Works (1898) Department.

G.O., No. 1804 W

DATED 16 July 98

[Despatch Abstract.]

Inspection of Govt Boilers. Informing the
Sanitary Engineer to Govt that for No 1304 W of 21st
Aug '98 regarding the fee — does not exempt
Govt boilers the inspection of which is compulsory
under the Act of 1882. Concession granted in 1882
of Oct 97 is also not affected thereby.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
5792	84	728					
1304	W	721	5-98				
+ connected papers							

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Public Works (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 5877

Read letter No. 998 d/25 May 98
Do - 1145 - 6 July -

From

The Sanitary Engineer to Govt.

Order

No 1804 W 7/16-7-98

No. 1145

The Sanitary Engineer
is informed that G.O. 1304 W of
26 May 1898 does not exempt Govt
boilers, the inspection of
which is compulsory under
the Act, neither does it affect
the concession granted in G.O.
2826 W of 9 October 1897.
The G.O. seems to be
under clause 4 of para 1 of Civil Act Code

Dated 6th July 1898

Received 7-20

Registered 14-7-98

Enclosures Nil

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

The Sanitary Eng. & A. H. H. H. H.

Madras Steam Boilers Inspection

12-7-98

Explaining the expression

The second part of the above
draft is necessary, as the San.
Eng. imports a new question
into his present letter in para 3.
N.B.

"half fees" referred to in his letter

No. 998 dated 25th May 1898.

No. 1000. I think we may
make it clear what Govt
boilers are exempt from pay-
ment of fees. Does G.O. 1200 refer to
Industrial, Commercial, Local
& Municipal or only to Industrial
I think it should include all
except Industrial & Municipal

6/5
6/3

No. 1145

From

J. A. JONES, ESQ., MEM. INST. C.E., F.R.S.E.,

SANITARY ENGINEER TO GOVERNMENT,

$$T_0$$

The Secretary to Government,

Public Works Department.

SIR,

With reference to your memo No. 579 D of 4th. July 1898, I have the honor to inform you that what I intended to convey by my expression " half the fees ^{***} would be lost " was that if G.O.No. 1304 W of 21st. May 1898 is to stand half the total fees now received (that is all fees from Government Departments such as the Arsenal, Gun Carriage Factory &c &c) would be lost to Government (Provincial). I may not be quite accurate in stating " half the fees " but what I wished to shew was that Government (Madras) would incur considerable loss.

2. I doubt indeed whether the exemption of Government Boilers coming under the Act from payment of fees is legal and all that I desired was that the order should be so altered as to apply to Government Boilers not coming within the provisions of the Act.

3. For inspection of Boilers of private parties, when the Inspector is specially authorised to inspect them, such as the Harbor Works Launches for instance the same fees will, I presume, continue to be charged for examination as for other boilers but such fees have hitherto, Vide G.O.No. 2826 W dated 9th. October 1897, gone to the Inspector.

I

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

R. H. M. J.

Sanitary Engineer to Government.

P. W. D.

Re 5492, d/4 July 1898

Memo

Adverting to his letter
no. 998 of 25 May 1898, the
Sanitary Engineer is
requested to be so good
as to explain what is
meant by the statement
that "half fees" obtainable
under the Act from
Govt. Departments would
be lost to the Sanitary
Dept. and to Provincial
Funds. The statement
would seem to imply
that there is an arrange-
ment under which "half
fees" are granted to
Provincial Funds for
the inspection of certain
Govt. boilers. No authority
for such an arrange-
ment can be traced.

R. H. M. J.

W. H. J.

27/9/98

To the Sanitary

500m

Public Works (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 4709

B

From

The Sanitary Engineer to Govt.,
Fort St. George.

No. 998

Dated 25th. May 1898.

Received

Registered *31-5-98*
3.6.98

Enclosures nil

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Act III of 1893.

Pointing out that the present wording of G.O.No.1304 W dated 21-5- is inconsistent with the _____ and suggesting certain modifications for reasons stated.

Order

G.O.1304 W dated 21 May 1898
does not refer to boilers for the
inspection of which the Act
specially provides.

CS.
The Sanitary Engineer.

Cable. I do not see that any Govt.
boilers are affected by Act III
of 1893 - nor any order pertaining
to the Govt. funds for
inspection of General for Company
factories or boilers.

14 6 98
3/6/98
39
28/6
Sapota

CS.

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
1304W	21-5-98
1070W	28-4-98
640W	10-3-98
3104W	8-11-97
215W	23-1-97
3490W	5-12-96
2134W	26-8-95
3490W	5-12-96
1661W	4-6-96
1662	
1044W	23-2-96
2694W	29-10-95
1058-598	25-9-95

put up +
removed

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.
899	

S.E. 1,000-6-12-97.
6.

No. 998

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

OFFICE OF SANITARY ENGINEER,

Fort St. George, 25th. May 1898.

Desd 26. May 1898

From

J. A. JONES, Esq., MEM. INST. C.E., F.R.S.E.,

SANITARY ENGINEER TO GOVERNMENT,

To

The Secretary to Government,

Public Works Department.

Sir,

With reference to G.O.No.1304 .W , dated 21st. May 1898, I have the honor to point out that the present wording of the G.O. is inconsistent with Act III of 1893 and suggest that the order should be "Government Boilers not affected by Act III of 1893" -otherwise half the fees, obtained under the Act from Government Departments ,such as the Arsenal, Gun Carriage &c &c would be lost to the department & provincial funds.I believe Government intend the order to refer only to those boilers alluded to in my No.872 of 27th.April 1898.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

[Signature]

Sanitary Engineer to Govt.

1304W
21-5-98
i.e. those
not coming under
the Act
R.S.

lib