Public Works (1898) Department.

G.O., No. 1782 &W

DATED 14 July '98

[Despatch Abstract.]

Matting provided for the Sergeant Instructor's Quarters at Jalarpet: directing, as in the [illegible] that half the cost of certain — be borne by Govt & the other half by the Sergt: Instructor.

B

Indexed. 8/8

[P. W. 21. 1,000—25-6-98.]

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Public Works DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in Proceedings :—

Again G.O., dated 189 , No.
Again G.O., dated 189 , No.
Current No. 2576 of 1898, dated 19th March, from S. E. IV Circle
" " 3527 " 1898, " 22. April, " ——do——
" " 4523 " 1898, " 26. May, " S. E. V. Circle
Note by the Chief Engineer, P. W. D. d/ 4th July 1898.

2 note

in full

ORDER.

No. 1782 W. d/ 14.7.98.

His Excellency the Governor in Council approves of the suggestion made in the concluding sentence of para 15 of the Chief Engineer's note read above, and half the cost of matting will accordingly be borne by Government and the other half by the Sergeant Instructor.

L. Hel[illegible]
8.7.98

B
PWo

Maj

15/7/98

The S. E. IV Circle
" Exar. P. W. Accounts
" Dy. Adjutant General Madras Command for the information of H. E. the Lt. General Commanding the Forces.

P. W. 4.
500–24-3-98.

Public Works DEPARTMENT.

[43/509.]

C. No. 4523 of '98.

HIS EXCELLENCY THE GOVERNOR.
~~THE HON'BLE SIR HENRY BLISS, K.C.I.E.~~
~~THE HON'BLE MR. GROSE, C.I.E.~~

SUBJECT.

Expenditure incurred in matting the Sergeant Instructor's quarters at Jalarpet.

~~FOR ORDERS.~~ Note by E.W.

× Madras Ry Volunteers.

The papers relate to an objectionable charge of Rs 40-4-7 incurred by the Public Works Department in matting the Sergeant Instructor's× quarters at Jalarpet.

2 In the case of Office buildings, the cost of matting is always a contingent charge, but it was an old standing rule in the Madras Code that matting for residences should be supplied by the P.W.D., and that repairs and renewals should be carried out at the time of annual repairs.

3. After the introduction of the Government of India Code, it was found that no provision to the above‡ effect existed, and in G.O. No. 832 W., dated 27th March 1893, the Madras rule was revived.

‡ Flag K

In

HE. 4.7.98 R 7.7.98

4. In September 1896, the Deputy Adjutant General, Madras Command, requested that the above rule might be declared inapplicable to military buildings in charge of the Public Works Department as mats and chicks were not supplied to buildings used as residences under the Military Works Department. Orders were passed accordingly in G.O. No. 2808 W., dated 30th September 1896.

Flag M

5. On 1st September 1896, the Sergeant Instructor, Madras Railway Volunteers at Jalarpet complained to the Executive Engineer, Salem Division, that the Government building used by him as a residence was in want of repair, and among other things, there were no mats on the floor. The letter was endorsed by the Executive Engineer (Mr. O'Connell) to the Sub-Divisional Officer for report and for submission of an estimate if necessary.

6. An estimate, which included provision for matting, was

Submitted

Submitted to the Executive Engineer on 2nd October 1896 and was returned to the Sub-Divisional Officer duly sanctioned under the Executive Engineer's Endorsement dated 12th November 1896. The estimate was worked out and the accounts closed so late as ~~on~~ 2nd July 1897.

7. The Examiner then objected to the item matting, which was inadmissible under G.O. No. 2808 W., dated 30th September 1896.

Flag M

8. Attempts were made, without success, to get the Commandant, Madras Railway Volunteers, and the Executive Engineer, Military Works, Bangalore Division, to accept the debit and the case was finally reported by the Superintending Engineer IV Circle to the Chief Engineer for orders.

9. The estimate was prepared before the issue of G.O. No. 2808 W., dated 30th September 1896, but the G.O. reached the

the

the Executive Engineer's Office on 19th October 1896 while the estimate was sanctioned by the Executive Engineer on 12th November 1896

10. Mr. O'Connell admits his responsibility, but states that the G.O. had escaped his memory, and was not brought to his notice by the office.

11. He also points out that the Sergeant Instructor did bring to notice the worn out state of the matting and benefits by its renewal.

12. The Sergeant Instructor no doubt did write about matting among other things, but this was before the issue of the prohibitory order, and the Public Works Department was in no way justified in supplying articles against rule.

13. The question is who is to pay the objectionable item of Rs 40-4-7. It is of course impossible for an Officer in the position of an Executive

Engineer

Engineer to remember every order received in his office, and when the estimate was submitted for sanction, the office manager should have put up the G.O. only just received, and have brought it to the Executive Engineer's notice.

14. The Sub Divisional Officer states that the G.O. was not communicated to him, so that he cannot be held to blame for carrying out an estimate which had been returned to him sanctioned.

15. Seeing that it was a universal rule in Madras to supply matting at Government expense, that the rule was only altered after the estimate under discussion was framed, and the alteration was not brought to the Executive Engineer's notice in a very prominent manner, I think the Sergeant Instructor who was benefitted by the matting

Should

Should pay + half and Government the other half, or say Rs 20, and Rs 20-4-7 respectively.

4.7.98

W

Very well. AGH 6.7.98

× because he could have supplied himself with matting at a cheaper rate.

Cn 4523

W

DW

These papers relate to an objectionable charge of Rs 40-4-7. incurred by the P.W. Dept. in matting the Sergeant Instructor's quarters at Jalarpet.

In the case of office buildings, the cost of matting is always a contingent charge, but it was an old standing rule in the Madras Code that matting for residences should be supplied by the P.W.D., & that repairs & renewals should be carried out at the time of annual repairs.

After the introduction of the G. of I. Code, it was found th[illegible] provision to the se[illegible]

+ Madras Railway Volunteers.

Seeing that it was a universal rule in Madras to supply matting at Govt. expense, that the rule has only been altered after the estimate under discussion has been framed, & the alteration has not brought to the Sergt. notice in a very prominent manner, I think the Sergt. Instructor who has benefitted by the matting should pay half + & Govt. the other half, i.e. say Rs 20 & Rs 20.4.7 respectively. Send up for orders accordingly.

1.7.98

Shoul

4. Govern

or Say

respect

effect existed, & in G.O. No. 832 W of 27.3.93. the Madras rule was revived –

In Sept. 1896, the Dy. Adjt. Genl. Madras Command requested that the above rule might be declared inapplicable to military buildings in charge of the P.W. Dept., as mats & chicks were not supplied to buildings used as residences under the Military Works Dept. Orders were passed accordingly in G.O. No. 2808 W of 30.9.96.

On 1st Sept. 1896, the Sergt. Instructor, Madras Ry. Volunteers, at Jalarpet complained to the Ex. Engr. Salem division that the Govt. building used by him as a residence was in want of repair, & among other things, that there were no mats on the ~~[illegible]~~ floor. The letter was endorsed by the E.E. (Mr. O'Connell) to the S.D.O., for report & for submission of an estimate if necessary.

An estimate, which included provision for matting, was submitted to the E.E. on 2.10.96, & was returned to the S.D.O. duly sanctioned under the E.E.'s endt. dated 12.11.96. The estimate was worked out, & the accounts closed so late as 2.7.97.

The Examiner then objected to the item matting, which was inadmissible under G.O. No. 2808 W of 30.9.96.

Attempts were made, without success, to get the Commandant Madras Railway Volunteers, & the Ex. Engr. Military Works, Bangalore Divn. to accept the debit, & the case was finally reported by the S.E. IV Circle to the Chief Engr. for orders.

The estimate was prepared before the issue of G.O. No. 2808W of 30.9.96, but the G.O. reached the Ex. Engr's office on 19.10.96, while the estimate was sanctioned by the S.E. on 12.11.96.

Mr. O'Connell admits his responsibility, but states that the G.O. had escaped his memory, & was not brought to his notice by the office. He also points out that the Sergeant Instructor did bring to notice the worn out state of the matting & benefits by its renewal.

The Sergt. Instr. no doubt did write about matting among other things, but this was before the issue of the prohibitory order, & the P.W.D. was in no way justified in supplying articles against rule.

The question is who is to pay the objectionable item of Rs 610-11-7 - It is of course impossible for an officer in the position of an Ex. Engr to remember every order received in his office, & when the estimate was submitted for sanction, the office manager should have put up the G.O. only just received, & have brought it to the E.E.'s notice.

The S.D.O. states that the G.O. was not communicated to him, so that he cannot be held to blame for carrying out an estimate which had been returned to him sanctioned.

For orders.

C.
27-6-98

W

For orders
AEK
28-6.

Public Works (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 4523

From The Supg Engineer
5 Circle

No. 356W.

Dated 25 May 1898
Received 26.5.98
Enclosures 1 9
Spare copies

SUBJECT.

& admitting/

Sergt Instructors Quarters at Jollarpet. Forwards copy of letter from Mr Hill O'Connell Exe Engr ~~accepting~~ confessing his responsibility for sanctioning the estimate for matting the ——

2362a

O.L.S 201

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
23620	4-5-98
832W	27-3-93

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

No. 7/3237
17 MAY 1898
CIRCLE, P.W.D.

GENERAL.
ACCOUNT.

Government {1896} of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Recd.	1896.	*Enclosures*	
Regd.		*Spare copies*	

G.O., No. 2808 W., 30th September 1896.

Signed copy despatched to S.Gs on 17.10.96.

SEPTEMBER.

Punkahs, Mats and Chicks

Stating that G.O., No. 832 W., dated 27th March 1893, reviving the rule in paragraph 974 of the Madras Code regarding the repair or replacement of — supplied to buildings occupied as residences, will be regarded as inapplicable to Military buildings used as residences.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General,
Account.

Read—the following papers :—

From the Deputy Adjutant-General, Madras Command, to the Joint Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Ootacamund, 15th September 1896, No. B./B. 8994.

In returning the marginally-noted letter, received with your memorandum No. 1006 D. of the 7th August last, I am desired to inform you that His Excellency the Lieutenant-General Commanding considers that, as mats and chicks are not supplied to buildings used as residences under the Military Works Department, it would be a bad precedent to apply the principle of G.O., No. 832 W., dated 27th March 1893, to similar buildings under the Public Works Department.

Letter No. 334 S.E., dated 2nd July 1896, from the Executive Engineer, West Coast division, to the Superintending Engineer, IV Circle.

Enclosure.

From G. P. CARLESS, Esq., Executive Engineer, West Coast division, to the Superintending Engineer, IV Circle, dated Calicut, 2nd July 1896, No. 334 S.E.

I have the honour to state that mats and chicks are being supplied to Military buildings used as residences under G.O , No. 832 W., dated 27th March 1893, which revives paragraph 974 of the Madras Code, whereby the provision of these articles is allowed when carrying out the annual repairs to the buildings. The Examiner of Public Works Accounts, however, states that the above quoted Government Order applies to Civil and *not to* Military buildings — *vide* item 28 (A and E) in the divisional objectionable item statement for March 1896. I do not agree with the interpretation of the Examiner as it is distinctly stated in paragraph 970 of the Madras Code that the rules in chapter VIII (presumably including paragraph 974) apply to all three classes of public buildings, Imperial, Provincial and Local, and request you will be good enough to obtain the definite orders of Government on the subject.

Office-note from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated 24th July 1896, No. 2161 D./A.

Chapter VIII of the Madras Code distinctly refers to Civil Works, Imperial, Provincial and Local, and chapter X of the same Code deals with Military Works; G.O., No. 832 W., dated 27th March 1893, reviving paragraph 974 of chapter VIII applies therefore to Civil Works and not to Military Works.

2. Please also see paragraph 2 of my office-note No. 1498 D./A. of 28th May 1896.

ORDER—No. 2808 W., dated 30th September 1896.

Communicated to the Superintending Engineer, IV Circle, and to other Superintending Engineers concerned.

2. G.O., No. 832 W., dated 27th March 1893, will be regarded as inapplicable to Military buildings used as residences.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) D. McNEIL CAMPBELL, Colonel, R.E.,
Joint Secretary to Government.

To the Superintending Engineer, IV Circle.
" " " I "
" " " VI "
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
Copy to the Deputy Adjutant-General, Madras Command,
for the information of His Excellency the
Lieutenant-General Commanding the Forces.

Exd. Shaik Karim.

DLS.9 / LS23/58

"Endosure No. 2 to Endt. No. 155/W"

Report to accompany the estimate for the annual repairs of Sergeant Instructor's quarters at Jalarpet for 1896/97.

ENGINEER'S
7 MAR. 98
P.W.D.
SALEM.

The estimate has been prepared in consultation with the Sergt: Instructor who lives there, as per Executive Engr's No: 1358 of dated 9.7.96.

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE
No. 4/3238
17 MAY 1898
CIRCLE, P.W.D., MADRAS.

It provides for white and colour washings, bamboo & kus matting and painting wood works &c:

All works to be carried out as per Standard specification.

(True Copy)

Ramaswamy
Sub-Divisional Officer.
5/3/98

1275L
29 MAR 98
2

Letter No: 3350 dated 27th September 1897 from the Executive Engineer Bangalore Division Military works to the Superintending Engineer 4th Circle.

With reference to your letter No: 468/M. dated 31st August 1897 to the address of the General Officer Commanding Bangalore, will you please let me know who provided the matting originally to the Sergeant Instructors' quarters at Jalarpet and whom does it now belong.

You have suggested that the charge for Repg: the matting Rs 40.4.7 might be met from Military works funds: but I cannot see how this can be done, as matting is not a supply of the Military works department. Even if it were a supply of the M. W. D. the building is not in my charge.

No: 272 S.E. dated 30.9.97.

Copy forwarded to the Ex: Engr Salem Division for report with reference to his letter No: 304 S.E. dated 23rd August 1897

(Signed) J. Hannan
Supg: Engr 4th Circle

No: 1167 of 6.10.97.

Forwarded to the Sub-Division Officer Tirupatur for report as to who provided the original matting. Apparently the Sergeant Instructor should pay personally for any matting required by him.

(signed) Hugh O'Connell
Executive Engr.

(True copy)

No. 127 SL
9 MAR 98
3.

Ramanujam
Sub-Divisional Officer.
5/3/98

P.W.E.2
28/6/98
5323

"Enclosure No. 3 to Endt No. 155/II"

Tirupatur Sub-Division Office
5th March 1898.

No: 373 C.

5/323 S
SUP... CIRCLE, P.W.D., MADRAS.

From
M. R. Ry. S. Ramanujam Pillay Avergal
Sub-Divisional Officer
Tirupatur

EXECUTIVE ...
7 MAR. 98
P.W.D.
SALEM.

To
The Executive Engineer
Salem Division

Sir,

With reference to your endorsement No: 224/S of 24 Ultimo on the Superintending Engineer's No: 29/S.E. of 7th February 1898 communicating his decision to recover from me Rs 10.4.7, being the value of matting the Sergeant Instructor's quarters at Jolarpet, disallowed by the Examiner, and the debit of which the Commandant Madras Railway Volunteers objected to being made to his department, I beg to point out under the circumstances given below, that I cannot be held responsible for the payment of the amount, and that the decision of the Superintending Engineer is too hard on me, and to request you therefore respectfully to place this my appeal before him for the re-consideration reconsideration of his orders.

The facts of the case is as follows:—

The Sergeant Instructor on the 1st of September 1896, wrote the following letter to the Executive Engineer:

Jolarpet 1st Sept/96

To

The Executive Engineer P.W.D.

Salem Division.

Sir,

I beg to inform you that the house which I occupy since last May has not been whitewashed. There are no mats on the floors which are made of chunam and broken all over and what makes matters worse the roof leaks in several places.

Some time ago I informed the sub-Divisional Officer D.P.W. Tirupatur regarding the state of the house but he has taken no steps in the matter except as to the sinking of the well in the compound the water of which is unfit for drinking purposes so that I have to pay Rs 2 per mensem for water coolies to bring water from a Railway well.

Hoping you will give this matter your earliest attention.

I remain &c:

1—9—96. (signed) M. D. Shea Sergt:

Instr: M. Ry: Volunteers.

The Executive Engineer endorsed the following order.

No: 1358 x of 9.9.96.

Forwarded to the Sub-Divisional Officer Tirupatur for report and submission of an estimate if necessary.

(signed) Hugh O'Connell

Executive Engineer

The order together with the Sergt: Instructors letter above quoted was sent by me to Supervisor Mr: D. Muthuvelappa Pillay who was in charge of the work, with orders to prepare an estimate for the repairs of the building in consultation with the Sergt: Instructor vide enclosure A.

The Supervisor submitted an estimate accordingly in consultation with the Sergt: Instructor vide report accompanying the estimate on the 30.9.96 for Rs 90. making in it provisions for washing the walls, with white, blue and yellow washings, of the different walls in the building, for painting the doors and windows, for repairing the leaky portion of the roof and for replacing mats.

This estimate was sent on by me on the 2.10.96 to the Executive Engineer for sanction which was returned to me by his office duly sanctioned with the following orders bearing that office No: $\frac{1639}{D}$ of 12.11.96.

"Forwarded to the Sub-division Officer Tirupatur for execution."

This estimate I find was also countersigned by Lieut: Col: Commandant Madras Ry: Volunteers.

The estimate was duly worked out and accounts closed in 2.7.97.

The Examiner objected to the payment of matting I think it was in July 1897 informing that it was inadmissable and requested the Executive Engineer to recover the amount as soon as possible as required under G.O. No: 2808 of 30.9.96.

Upon this the Executive Engineer had addressed the following letter to the Commandant.

No: $\frac{606}{M}$ dated 27.7.97.

From

The Executive Engineer

Salem Division

P.W. 9.3
25/8/98
4533

Enclosure No. 4 to Endt No. $\frac{155}{W}$"

To

The Commandant

Madras Railway Volunteers

Sir,

I have the honor to inform you that a sum of Rs 40.4.7 was spent for matting the Sergt: Instructor's quarters at Jalarpet. The Examiner P.W. accounts Madras objects to this payment and informs me this is inadmissable and desires me to recover the amount as early as possible as required under G.O. No: 2808 W dated 30.9.96. I request you will be good enough to let me know if there is any objection to the amount being debited to your department. An early reply is requested to enable this office, to adjust the amount and remove the objection.

I have &c:

(signed) Hugh O Connell

Executive Engr.

The Commandant in reply sent the following letter together with a copy of the Sergt: Instructor's letter in support of it which ran as follows:—

7 MAR 98

No: $\frac{126}{123d}$

Railway Volunteers Perambur Barks

Madras 31st July 1897.

No: 126/123d.

From Lieut: Col: C. C. Phipps Commandant M. Ry Volunteers, to the Executive Engineer Salem Division.

Sir,

In reply to your No: 606/M of 27th Instt: I have the honor to forward a copy of letter to the Sergt: Instructor at Jalarpet and his reply thereto:

It will be seen that this work was not carried out by my requisition or by that of the Sergt: Instructor, but that it was done voluntarily by your subordinate under these circumstances I cannot hold myself responsible for the amount.

I have &c:

(Sd) C. C. Phipps

Commandant M. Ry: Volunteer:

From the Adjutant Madras Volunteers to Sergt: Instructor O'Shea M. R. V. Jalarpet Perambur dated 28th July 1897 No: 111/123d.

A charge of Rs 40. 4. 0 for matting quarters at Jalarpet has been levied against the corps, please inform me whether this was done at your request or whether the work was carried out voluntarily by the P. W. Deptt:

(signed) A. G. M. Hel

Adjutant Captain

From Sergt: Instructor M. O'Shea Madras Ry: Volunteer to the Adjutant Madras Ry Volunteer No: 117 dated 30th July 1897.

Reference No: 111/123d dated 28. 7. 97.

Sir,

In reply to your letter above quoted I beg to inform you that I reported to the Sub. Engineer P. W. D. on the 2nd June /96 (immediately after my transfer to this station) that the house wanted a lot of petty repairs and the matting was in a very dilapidated condition.

The Sub Engineer came and examined the place and immediately afterwards got the repairs done and a greater portion of the matting renewed.

The house was not matted at my request it was voluntarily done as above stated.

(signed) M. O'Shea Sergt Instr:

Madras Railway Volunteer

On the receipt of the above the Ex: Engr sent me the following order directing me to explain under what authority I provided the matting in question.

From Hugh O'Connell Ex: Engr Salem Division to the Sub. division Officer Tirupatur No: 932 of 4th August 1897.

Forwards herewith a copy of this office letter No: 606/Tm dated 27.7.97 to the Commandant Madras Railway Volunteers Perambur and the letter No: 126/123d of 31.7.97 in original from the Commandant (to be returned when no longer required) and desires him to submit his explanation as early as possible. He should explain under what authority he provided matting for the Sergt: Instructor's quarters at Jalarpet. An early reply is requested to enable this office to adjust the amount and remove the objection.

(signed) Hugh O'Connell
Ex: Engineer.

To this my reply was as given below:

From the Sub. Divisional Officer Tirupatur
to the Executive Engineer Salem Division
No: 624C dated 13.8.97.

Sir,

With reference to your No: 9320 dated 4th Instt: directing to explain under what authority I provided matting for Sergt: Instructor's quarters at Jalarpet I beg to report that the estimate for the repairs of the Sergt: Instructor's quarters was submitted for Rs 90 with my No: 2797/4.9.97 in accordance with the Sergt: Instructor's letter dated 1st Septr/96 and your Endt thereon No: 1358 of 9.9.96 and to state that provision for matting was made as usual as in the case of other quarters.

I am not aware of the G.O. No: 2808 of 30.9.96 as the copy of the same was not communicated to me up to date.

From a reference to the estimate for the repairs of Asstt: Superintendent of Police quarters at Tirupatur and the Sub. Collector's quarters at Hosur, it will be seen that similar provisions have been made and no such objection for it has been taken to this date.

In conclusion I beg to be favoured with a copy of the G.O. above referred to for my future guidance.

The enclosures are herewith returned.

I beg &c:
(signed) T. Ramanujam Pillay
Sub. Divisional Officer

From the above, and from a reference to the report accompanying the estimate prepared by Supervisor Mr: D. Muthiralappa Pillay, and from my letter to the Supervisor (copies herein enclosed), it will be seen that the estimate was called for by the Executive Engineer on a special letter from Sergeant Instructor to him, that the Sergeant Instructor has made mention of mats and look floor in it, and that the Supervisor had prepared the estimate in consultation with the Sergeant Instructor, and as such I am at a loss to account for the wording of the Instructor, the house was not matted at my request, it was done voluntarily as above stated.

In fine I beg to state that I am not aware till now, of any orders prohibiting the provision of matting to Public buildings occupied by Officers; my subordinates had been making such provisions in the estimates at the request of the Officers occupying other Public buildings too in my sub. Division and I was not till now, once informed either, that such provisions in estimates were irregular, or that such charges were inadmissable, and this is the first time in my service that such objection has been taken, and as such I forwarded estimates prepared by my subordinates containing such provisions to the Executive Engineer and ordered the execution of works on sanction. The Sergeant Instructors' quarters is one of these.

From the above it will be clearly seen that I had neither carried out the matting work to Sergeant Instructor's quarters on my own responsibility, nor that I had any hand in providing in the estimate for the same voluntarily, as said by the Military Department, and nor that I had acted against any given orders.

Under these circumstances I beg respectfully that this explanation may be submitted to the Superintending Engineer for reconsideration and cancelment of his orders and to relieve me from the payment of Rs 40.4.7 the value of the mat.

In this connection I also submit a copy of Executive Engineer Mr: H. H. O'Connell's letter Vide enclosure 3 showing his views as to the payment.

I beg to remain
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

Sub: Divisional Officer.
17/3/98.

2881
7 MAR 98
Encl. 3
SALEM

From
The Sub-Divisional Officer
Tirupatur

To
The Executive Engineer
Salem Division.

ENGINEER
No. 1273 L
9 MAR 98
Encls 1-3

Dated 5th March 1898.

No: 373 C.

Encs (3) 7/3/98

Appeals for the refund of Rs. 40.4.7. value of the matting to the Sub: Divisional quarters Jolarpet.

No 105/S.E. d/ 7-3-98

Forwarded to the Supdg. Engineer with ref. to his No 29/SL dated 9th February 98

Ex. Engineer.

7-3-98.

For favor of orders

the back

P.W.E. 4
2574/98
4533

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
No. 1/323 L
17 MAY 1898
CIRCLE, P.W.D.

No 155/W d/15th March 1898.

Submitted to the Chief Engineer P.W. Department for favor of orders.

From the papers which originally came before the undersigned he did not understand that an estimate for the matting had been sanctioned by the Executive Engineer.

This being the case it seems to the undersigned that the Executive Engineer is responsible.

15/3/98

Supg: Engineer
4th Circle.

Encls: 4

12/3/98.

4523/98

Public Works (1898) Department.

SUPERINTENDING ENG...
No. 1/4351
5 - MAY 1898
V. CIRCLE, P.W.D., MADRAS.

CURRENT No. ~~2576~~ 4.6

4523/98

280 S.W.
1896

No 1921 C of 29-3-98

From The Supdg: Engineer 4th Circle.

~~Order~~ Memo.

The Supdg Engineer is requested to report the date on which G.O. No 280 SW dated 30th Sept 1896 reached the office of the Ex. Engr Salem division. Copies were despatched from the Secretariat on the 12th Oct 1896, & the Ex. Engr appears to have sanctioned the estimate in which the objectionable item occurs, on the 12th Nov 1896. If the G.O. reached the Ex. Engr's office before the date of sanction to the estimate, explanation should be afforded as to why no action was taken on the former.

Ch

S. E. IV Circle

No. 155/W

Dated 15th March 1898.

Received }
Registered } 19 — do —

Enclosures 4

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Matting to the Sergt. Instructor's quarters at Jolarpet.—

Forwards appeal from the Sub Dl officer Tirupatur against the order to recover the value from him

W. J. G.

& 29/3/98

INDEXED.

30/3/98

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
2808W	30-9-96.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

No 226/W
From,

Supg: Engineer's Office, 4th Circle
Camp Ootacamund 19th April 1898.

A. H. Garrett Esq
Superintending Engineer, 4th Circle

To,

The Chief Engineer
P. W. Department.

Sir,

In reply to your Memo No 1921C of 29 March 1898, I have the honor to inform you that the G.O. in question was received in the Executive Engineers Office on 19th Oct. 96. As however Mr O'Connell who was then the Executive Engineer in Charge of the Salem Division is no longer in this Circle the explanation called for cannot be furnished.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your Most Obt Servant.

Supg: Engineer, 4th Circle

NP 17/4/98

Public Works (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. ~~3527~~ 8.3
4523/98

ENGINEE... CIRCLE, P.W.D., MADRAS.
435 W
5 - MAY 1898

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE V. CIRCLE, P.W.D.
No. 2/323 T.J
17 MAY 1898

From The Supg. Engineer, 4th Circle.

No. 226
W

Dated 19th April 1898
Received } 22-4-98
Registered }
Enclosures ✓
Spare copies ✓

SUBJECT.

Sergeant Instructor's Qua at Jollarpet.

States that the expla-tion called for cannot be furnished regarding the matting provided for the as the Exe. Engr. referred being no longer in this C

C
No. 2362C dated 4th May 1898

~~Order~~ Endt.

Forwarded to the Suptg. Engr., V Circle, with the request that he will be so good as to obtain from Mr. O'Connell the explanation called for in Memo No. 1921C dated 29th March 1898.

Ag. Chief Engineer, P.W.D.

Rd.

To
The Suptg. Engr., V Circle
with papers in original
– to be returned.

5.5-98

5.73 RH/5

21.5.98

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
1921C	29-3-98
2808W	30-9-96

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

Tanjore Divisional Office.
15th May 1898.

No 2151.

From

H. H. O'Connell Esquire.
Executive Engineer
Tanjore Division.

To

The Superintending Engineer
V Circle.

Sir.

Enclosures: 8.
1. D.E's No $\frac{\text{206. T.J.}}{\text{8.5.98}}$.
with its 7 enclosures.

With reference to your No 206. T.J. dated 8th May 1898, I have the honour to state that when I sanctioned the estimate on 12th November 1896, the G.O. No 2808. W. dated 30th September 1896 escaped my memory and it was not brought to my notice by the office. I am therefore responsible.

2. At the same time I beg to call attention to the fact that the Sergeant Instructor did bring to notice the worn out state of the matting, and that he benefits by its being repaired.

I have the honour etc.

(Signed) Hugh O'Connell
Executive Engineer.

No 356W.

Enclosure: 1
E.W. $\frac{\text{2362. C}}{\text{4.5.98}}$
with file.

To the Chief Engineer Public Works Department with reference to his No 2362. C. dated 4th May 1898, herewith returned.

Colonel R.E.
Offg. Superintending Engineer
V Circle

25 May 1898.

Ganjam Executive Office
13th May 1898

No 2161

From

M. H. O'Connell Esquire
Executive Engineer
Ganjam Division

To

The Superintending Engineer
V Circle

Sir,

With reference to your No 226 W dated 5th May 1898 I have the honour to state that when I submitted the estimate on 12th November 1896 the G.O. No 2308 W dated 30th September 1896 escaped my memory and it was not brought to my notice by the office clerk [illegible] [illegible].

At the same time I beg to call attention to the fact that the [illegible] estimate [illegible] the wear and state of the matting and that [illegible] [illegible].

I have the honour etc
(Signed) Hugh O'Connell
Executive Engineer

Enclosure [illegible]
No 226 W
5-5-98

To the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department with reference to his No 2362 C dated 4th May 1898 [illegible]

---

ublic Works (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 3527

From The Supdg Engr.
4th Circle

No 2362C of 4-5-98

~~Order~~ Endt.

Forwarded to the Supdg Engr. V Circle, with the request that he will be so good as to obtain from Mr. O'Connell the Explanation called for in Memo No 1921C dated 29th March 1898.

(Sd) W.B. Allerton
Ag Chief Engr. P.W.D.

with file 4/5/98

No. 226/W

Dated 19-4-98

Received
Registered } 22-4-98

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Sergeant Instructor's quart
at Jollarpet.

States that the Explanation called for cann
be furnished regarding the matting provided for the —— as the Ex: E referred to being n
longer in this Circle

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
1921C	29-3-98
2808W	30-9-96.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

27th March 1893, No. 832 W., Public Works.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

Read—the following paper:—

From H. IRWIN, Esq., C.I.E., Consulting Architect to the Government of Madras, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department (through the Examiner, Public Works Accounts), dated Chepâk, 16th March 1893, No. 3634.

The Commissioner of Police asks me to take immediate steps to repair the chicks in use at the Superintendent's quarters, Egmore, and to provide new rattan mats for the bed rooms of the same buildings.

2. From the Madras Public Works Code, para. 974, it would be observed that mats and chicks for buildings occupied as residences may be renewed and charged to annual repair estimates of the buildings. The Government of India Code now in force is silent on this point. I have therefore the honour to inquire whether I can supply them.

3. It is essential that mats and chicks should be supplied and renewed by Government in order to make the buildings habitable.

Endorsement by the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated Madras, 20th March 1893, No. 922 C.

Forwarded. There is no audit objection to the supply and repair by the Public Works Department of mats, chicks to buildings occupied as residences.

Order—dated 27th March 1893, No. 832 W., Public Works.

The rule in para. 974 of the Madras Code will be revived. Under this rule the repair or replacement of punkahs, mats and chicks supplied to buildings occupied as residences should be carried out only at the time of the annual repairs to the buildings.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) G. T. WALCH,
Ag. Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To Superintending Engineers.
„ the Consulting Architect.
„ the Superintendent of Works, Periyár Project.
„ the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd. S. J. Pereira.
Spare Copies

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
2
CIVIL WORKS.

Govt. of {1893} Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated March, 27th.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 27th Mar. 1893, No. 832 W.

MARCH.

Buildings occupied as Residences

Directing the revival of the rule in para. 974 of the Madras Public Works Code regarding the repair or replacement of punkahs, mats and chicks supplied to —.

Public Works (189 8) Department