

Public Works (1898) Department.

G.O., No. 1781 ³W
 1781 ³W
 DATED 13 July 98

Tower of the Convent [Despatch Abstract] + Memo.
 Recording letter from the Cons. g
 Architect, with note thereon of the Chief Insp., showing
 the actual condition found to exist during the
 demolition of the —, and showing that the
 that the Superior Officer of the Dept. cannot escape responsibility
 for passing the faulty design of the building.

Tower of the Convent = Library at Madras.
 Forwarding copy of P.O.: showing the
 actual condition found to exist during the demo-
 lition of the tower of the —

Recording, with remark, letter from the Consulting Architect, with note thereon by the Chief Engineer, showing the actual condition found to exist during the demolition of the —, and observing that the superior officers of the department concerned cannot escape responsibility and blame for passing the faulty design of the building.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

READ—the following papers :—

From G. S. T. HARRIS, Esq., Consulting Architect to Government, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Chépák, 6th May 1898, No. 346.

With reference to your memo., No. 2266 C., dated 20th April 1898, I have the honour to state that with my No. 1446, dated 20th August 1897, I submitted you a very full report, indeed, on the demolition to the 2nd storey of the tower and of the condition and quality of the materials. I have since then levelled the 1st and 2nd storeys, and find that I cannot add much to what was written in the above report about the materials, their bedding and the workmanship there shown. I would wish to put on record the actual condition the brickwork in the piers was found to be in: and how far it justified the *fiat* of the committee, which advised the demolition of the tower.

2. As it was practically the conditions of the two staircase piers which influenced the decision of this committee, I shall now refer only to them though the condition of the others was by no means satisfactory.

3. The brickwork showed, as a matter of course, the greatest signs of failure immediately beneath the porch arches; these arches I had carefully removed and I then examined the brickwork on to which they were turned. The work in that portion hatched in blue* was shattered, hardly a whole brick was taken out, many were crushed, the mortar was dust, and it was easy to pick the work to pieces by the hand: that portion hatched in red was in a very similar condition, but not quite so bad. Every tread of the staircase was broken.

* See plan sent with this.

(Initld.) G. S. T. H.

4. It should be stated that gauged bricks were not employed in the construction of the stair wells, so as to form voussoirs accurately radiating to the centres, and laid to break bond layer by layer; instead of this bricks and bats were used and were coarsely laid, naturally leaving with this small radius wide gaping joints at the extrados, which joints were roughly filled in with chips and mortar; the bricks, too, were of different thicknesses, thereby entailing very thick bed mortar joints to level up the beds.

5. Not only was the brickwork in a shattered state in the several layers, but in three places cracks had occurred downwards and through portions of the brickwork under the cornice; this can be seen in photographs Nos. 1, 2, 3 and 4.

6. It seems to me obvious, taking into consideration the size, shape and the top— heavy nature of this tower—that the four piers on which it stood should have been designed with a view to great rigidity and balance. In nearly all structures the maximum stresses are confined to very limited portions of the structure. In this tower it was evident that the shafts of the four piers below the porch arches were by far the most heavily loaded portions, but the section shows how much weaker were the piers on the library side than those on the museum side, owing to the stairway voids. It looks as if the staircases were forgotten and then provided for by inserting circles within the piers. I do not agree with Colonel Pennyquick that there was no defect in the original design. At *ppp* the brickwork was found to be 14 to 15 inches thick and on to the springing plane which slightly widened towards extremities (hatched blue) were turned arches four bricks thick (20 inches). At the springing line, at these points the haunches of the arches lay bare within the staircase wells. It was not possible at these points to complete any ring to encase the wells and travelling along the haunches upward, the steining could have been of no strength; it could not in fact have been complete till the horizontal distance of the haunch was equal to the width of the steining. In actual construction the arch was turned, and the well built in at these places anyhow. It may be said that the staircase treads would offer resistance; they possibly might if strong enough, but were broken to pieces.

7. The crushing strength of the best mortars is from 150 to 200 lb. per square inch, say 9 to 13 tons per square foot; what the strength of the kind of mortar in the tower is I do not know, but the mischief began undoubtedly when its yield point was reached. Brickwork at its best must be treated as a heterogeneous, not as a homogeneous substance—the results obtained from experiments as to the strengths of brickwork are very fallacious. It is not likely that in the columns built for experiment that either inferior bricks or mortar are used, nor would the work be scamped; the joints will be clean struck, and the bond perfect. Moreover, these experiments are all made upon low masses, and strength decreases with the proportion of the height to the thickness. Failure in brickwork starts at about half of its ultimate crushing strength, and I am inclined to urge a factor of safety of at least one-eighth.

Note by the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department.

I submit, herewith, a report from the Consulting Architect of the actual conditions found to exist during the demolition of the tower of the Connemara Library.

2. I inspected the tower twice in company with the Consulting Architect, during the demolition of the two lowest stories, and can fully endorse all he says about the condition of the materials:

- (1) Bricks of all sizes and shapes were used.
- (2) Mortar joints were of all thicknesses.
- (3) At the point of greatest pressure, marked blue in plan, nearly every brick was cracked or shattered, and all the mortar was crushed to powder.

It would be impossible to obtain more conclusive evidence, both of faulty design and unskilful execution. I consider that the decision arrived at by the committee and endorsed by Government to at once demolish the tower has been amply justified by the conditions disclosed during demolition.

(Signed) W. B. DEWINTON,
Chief Engineer.

18th June 1898.

ORDER—No. 1781 W., dated 13th July 1898.

The letter from the Consulting Architect and the final note by the Chief Engineer read above, will be recorded in continuation of G.O., No. 3527 W., dated 16th December 1897, and copy will be forwarded to the Secretary of State.

2. His Excellency the Governor in Council observes that from the present report it appears that not only were the materials bad but the design also, inasmuch as the section of the staircase piers was entirely inadequate to withstand the heavy pressure to which it was exposed. For passing this faulty design, the superior officers of the department concerned cannot escape responsibility and blame.

[Here enter No. $\frac{3}{1781 \text{ W.}}$, dated 13th July 1898.]

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. B. DEWINTON,
Secretary to Government.

To the Consulting Architect to Government.

- „ H. Irwin, Esq., C.I.E.
- „ Superintending Engineers.
- „ the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
- „ the Consulting Engineer for Railways.
- „ the Superintendent of Works, Lighthouse division.
- „ the Educational Department.

Erd. T. K. Narayana Aiyangar.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

(Copy.)

LETTER to the Right Honourable the Secretary of State
for India.

No. $\frac{3}{1781 \text{ W.}}$, dated 13th July 1898.

FORWARDING copy of Proceedings showing the actual conditions found to exist during the demolition of the tower of the Connemara Library at Madras.

No. ³_{1781 W.}, 13TH JULY 1898.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

LETTER—to

THE RIGHT HONOURABLE THE SECRETARY OF STATE FOR INDIA.

MY LORD,

In continuation of our despatch, No. ³²_{3527 W.}, dated 16th December 1897, we have the honour to forward, for your Lordship's information, a copy of our Proceedings, No. 1781 W., dated 13th July 1898, showing the actual conditions found to exist during the demolition of the tower of the Connemara Library at Madras.

We have the honour to be,

My Lord,

Your Lordship's most obedient, humble servants,

(Signed) A. E. HAVELOCK.

(") H. W. BLISS.

(") A. T. ARUNDEL.

OOTACAMUND,
13th July 1898.

Exd. T. K. Narayana Aiyangar.

Papers to be read in Proceedings:—

Again G.O., dated 189 , No.

Again G.O., dated 189 , No.

Current No. 4016 of 1898, dated 7th May 1898, from the Consgr:Architect to
" " " " " " Government.
" " " " " " "

Note by the Chief Engineer, P.W.D., dated June 1898.

ORDER.

No. 1781 W 9/13.7.98

The letter from the Consulting Architect and the final note by the Chief Engineer, read above, will be recorded in continuation of G.O. No. 3527 W, dated 16th December 1897, and copy will be forwarded to the Secretary of State.
2. His Excellency the Governor in Council observes that from the present report it appears that not only were the materials bad but the design also ^{passing this} For the faulty design, the superior officers of the Department concerned cannot escape responsibility and blame.

(H.E. No. 1781 W, dated 13 June 1898)

To The Right Honourable the Secretary of State for India.
My Lord,

In continuation of our Despatch

Inasmuch as the section of the staircase pier, was entirely inadequate to withstand the heavy pressure to which it was exposed

- The C.A. to Govt. ✓
- H. Irwin, Esquire, C.I.E. ✓
- " Suptg:Engineers. ✓
- " Chief Engineer for Irrigation. ✓
- " Consgr:Engineer for Railways. ✓
- " Supdt:of Works, L.H. Division. ✓
- " Educational Department. ✓

Despatch No. 32/3527 W, dated 16th
December 1897, we have the honour to
forward for your Lordship's informa-
tion a copy of our Proceedings No.

W, dated June 1898, showing
the actual conditions found to exist
during the demolition of the tower
of the Connemara Library at Madras.

We have &ca

Ch
W. W. D.
10.1.98

Note by the Chief Engineer, P.W.D.

I submit herewith a report from
the Consulting Architect of the actual
conditions found to exist during the
demolition of the tower of the Conne-
mara Library.

2. I inspected the tower twice, in
company with the Consult-
ant, during the demolition of the
two lowest stories, and can fully en-
dorse all he says about the condi-
tion of the materials

(1) Bricks of all sizes and shapes
were used.

(2) Mortar joints were of all thick-
nesses.

(3) At the point of greatest pressure,
marked blue in plan, nearly -
every brick was cracked or -
shattered, and all the mortar
was crushed to powder.

It would be impossible to obtain
more conclusive evidence, both of
faulty design and unskilful execu-
tion. I consider that the decision ar-
rived at ^{by} the Committee and endorsed
by Government, to at once demolish
the Tower has been amply justified
by the conditions disclosed during
demolition.

W. W. D.
E. W.
10.6.98

Public Works (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 4016

From

The Consulting Architect
to the Government
of Madras

No. 346

Dated 6th May 1898

Received

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submitting a full report
describing the conditions
found to exist during
the demolition of the
tower of the Court
Library &c
building

W/ for order
on 7-5-98

W/ for order
on 7-5-98

O.L.S. 94 sent again
2/6/98

BACK PAPERS.


FURTHER PAPER

No.	Date.
2366C	26-4-98
1049W	24-4-98
1452C	9-3-98
3527W	16-12-97
3446C	6-3-97
2548C	18-5-97
1592C	19-3-97
805W	18-3-97
762W	15-3-97
1484C	18-3-97
1454C	13-3-97
1275C	6-3-97
1265W	11-4-96
354W	29-1-96
413C	23-1-96
371C	21-1-96
2773W	2-11-95
1210W	20-5-95
47A	23-4-95
1474C	6-4-95
257W	2-2-94
818W	28-3-94
30W	6-1-91
3239W	6-12-90
1264W	2-5-90

C. A. 500 - 11-6-97.


Office of the Consulting Architect

PLAN TO ACCOMPANY CONSULTING ARCHITECT
LETTER NO. DATED APRIL 1898



822/2

PLAN TO ACCOMPANY CONSULTING ARCHITECT
LETTER NO. DATED APRIL 1898




025-1
4016/98

PLATE




PLAN TO ACCOMPANY CONSULTING ARCHITECT
 LETTER N^o DATED APRIL 1898.



Handwritten: 558

01552
4016/53





0553
4016/54



Ques
4016/99
Ques
4016/99

Office of the Consulting Architect to Govt.,

Chepauk, Madras,

6th May 1898.

No. 346

From

G. S. T. Harris, Esq.,

Consulting Architect

to the Government of Madras.

To

The Chief Engineer

Public Works Department.

Sir,

Enclosures.

1 tracing
4 photos.

x Govt. 3527 w/6 (27)

20th August 1897. I submitted you a very full report in which, on the demolition of the 2nd Storey of the Tower & of the condition & quality of the materials:- I have since then levelled the 1st & 2nd Storeys, and find that I cannot add much to what was written in the above report about the materials, their bedding & the workmanship there shown. I would wish to put on record the actual condition the brick-work in the piers was found to be in, and how far it justified the fiat of the Committee, which advised the demolition of

Ans. 4
20/6/98

of the Tower.

2. As it was practically the conditions of the two staircase piers which influenced the decision of this Committee. I shall now refer only to them. Though the condition of the others was by no means satisfactory.

3. The brickwork showed as a matter of course the greatest signs of failure immediately beneath the porch arches. These arches I had carefully removed & I then examined the brickwork on to which they were turned. The work in that portion hatched in blue was shattered, hardly a whole brick was taken out, many were crushed, the mortar was dust, & it was easy to pick the work to pieces by the hand. That portion hatched in red was in a very similar condition, but not quite so bad. Every tread of the staircase was broken.

4. It should be stated that gauged bricks were not employed in the construction of the stairwells, so as to form voussoirs accurately radiating to the centres, & laid to break bond layer by layer; instead of this bricks & bats were used & were coarsely laid, naturally leaving with this small radius wide

gaping

gaping joints at the extrados. which joints were roughly filled in with chips & mortar, the bricks too were of different thicknesses, thereby entailing very thick bed mortar joints to level up the beds.

5. Not only was the brickwork in a shattered state in the several layers, but in 3 places cracks had occurred downwards and through portions of the brickwork under the cornice, this can be seen in photographs numbers 1. 2. 3. 4.

6. It seems to me obvious taking into consideration the size, shape, & top heavy nature of this Tower, that the 4 piers on which it stood, should have been designed with a view to great rigidity & balance. In nearly all structures the maximum stresses are confined to very limited portions of the structure. In this tower it was evident that the shape of the four piers below the porch arches were by far the most heavily loaded portions, but the section shows how much weaker were the piers on the Library side than those on the base side, owing to the stairway voids. as if the staircases were forgotten & provided for by inserting circles with

piers. I do not agree with Colonel Pungnick
that there was no defect in the original
design: At p. p. p. the brickwork was
found to be 14 to 15" thick, and on to the
springing plane which slightly widened towards
extremities (hatched blue) were turned arches
four bricks thick ^(2 1/2"). At the springing line,
at these points the haunches of the arches
lay bare within the staircase wells - it
was not possible at these points to complete
any ring to encase the wells & travelling
along the haunches upward the steering
could have been of no strength it could
not in fact have been complete till the
horizontal distance of the haunch was
equal to the width of the steering: -
In actual construction the arch was
turned, & the well built in at these
places any how. It may be said that
the staircase treads would offer resistance,
they possibly might if strong enough,
but were broken to pieces.

7. The crushing strength of the
best mortars is from 150 to 200 lbs per
sq. inch say 9 to 13 tons per sq. foot.
At the strength of the kind of mortar
the tower is I do not know. but the
mischief

mischief began undoubtedly when its
yield point was reached: Brickwork at
its best must be treated as a heterogeneous
not as a homogeneous substance, the
results obtained from experiments as to
the strengths of brickwork ^{are} very fal-
acious. it is not likely that in the columns
built for experiments that either in-
ferior bricks or mortar are used, nor
would the work be scamped; the joints
will be clean struck, & the bond
perfect: Moreover these experiments are
all made upon low masses. & strength
decreases with the proportion of the
height to the thickness: Failure in
brickwork starts at about $\frac{1}{2}$ of its
ultimate crushing strength. & I
am inclined to urge a factor of
safety of at least $\frac{1}{8}$ th.

I have the honour to be
Sir

Your most obedient servant

W. L. L. L.

Consulting Architect
to Government

