Public Works (1898) Department.

G.O., No. 1776 W

DATED 13 July '98

~~1807/98~~

[Despatch Abstract.]

Excess outlay

The Examiner of P.W. Accounts, authorised, with remark, to admit the outlay of Rs 6802 incurred in excess of the sanctioned estimate for constructing a first class Tg office at Gopichettipalayam in the Coimbatore Dt.

90/12

[P. W. 25. / 1,000—12-2-98.]

Indexed. Ind 18/7

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Government (1898) of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Recd. } 1898. Enclosures
Regd. } Spare copies

G.O., No. 1776 W., 13th July 1898.

JULY.

Excess Outlay

The Examiner of Public Works Accounts authorized, with remark, to admit the outlay of Rs. 6,802 incurred in excess of the sanctioned estimate for constructing a first-class taluk office at Gopichettipalayam in the Coimbatore district.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

Read—the following papers:—

From A. H. GARRETT, Esq., Superintending Engineer, IV Circle, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Coimbatore, 20th March 1898, No. 171/W.

With reference to G.O., No. 167 W., dated 20th January 1897, I have the honour to submit herewith a completion report for constructing a first-class taluk cutcherry at Gopichettipalayam the estimate for which was sanctioned in G.O., No. 3380 W., dated 9th December 1892, and to request you will be good enough to obtain sanction of Government for the excess outlay amounting to Rs. 6,802.

2. A comparative statement obtained from the Executive Engineer explaining the cause of excess or savings in each item, is also submitted for your information.

3. A completion report for the work was first received in this office on the 18th March 1897, and was returned to the Executive Engineer on 25th idem for further explanation with regard to rates, and certain discrepancies in the totals entered in the comparative statement and completion report. After the lapse of a year the completion report and comparative statement have been returned by the Executive Engineer who attributes the long delay to the scarcity of subordinates in his division since April 1897.

4. The excess and savings under various items are as follows:—

Excess.

	RS.
Roughstone in chunam	777
Brick in chunam	2,457
Teakwood work	365
Junglewood work	1,276
Roofing with flat and pan tiles	1,962
Flooring with Cuddapah slabs over 4″ concrete	1,382
Petty excess in various items	952
Total Excess ...	9,171

Savings.

	RS.
Concrete in chunam	492
Teak windows	219
Plastering	109
Record-racks	24
Contingencies and petty supervision	1,525
Total Savings ...	2,369
Total Excess (Rs. 9,171 — 2,369) =	6,802

5. This excess is chiefly due to high rates charged in almost all the items.

6. The work was done departmentally, contractors being employed for the supply of materials. Apparently there was no proper authority for paying higher rates than those originally sanctioned, and it is not clear why a revised estimate was not submitted as the necessity for one must have become apparent at an early stage of the work.

7. There has however been so much delay in obtaining the completion report and comparative statement that I refrain from keeping them back any longer in order to obtain the information for a more complete report than I am now in a position to make.

Office-note from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated 29th April 1898, No. 1412 B./A.

Outlay checked and found correct.

From Conductor C. SMITH, R.E., Sub-divisional officer, Lighthouse division, to the Executive Engineer, Coimbatore division (through the Superintendent of Works, Lighthouse division), dated 20th May 1898.

With reference to your endorsement, No. 1838, dated 4th May 1898, on Public Works Department memo., No. 2175 C., calling on me to show cause why I should not pay the difference in cost of reepers for the Gopichettipalayam taluk office, I beg to state that I had nothing to do with the fixing of the rates to be paid for the reepers or any other woodwork for this taluk office. Tenders were called for and submitted direct to the Executive Engineer, Coimbatore. The first information I received on the subject was to the effect that the Executive Engineer had accepted the tender of one Coopoosawmy Naidu and "forwarding" me a copy of the rates to be paid for the several items. It then became my duty to measure all timbers received, and to prepare and submit bills according to the rates accepted by the Executive Engineer.

No. 1056.

Forwarded.

(Signed) F. W. ASHPITEL,
Supt. of Works, Lighthouse Divn.

2nd June 1898.

No. 2468.

Copy forwarded to the Superintending Engineer, IV Circle, with reference to his No. 231/C.I. of 22nd April 1898. The correspondence shows that Conductor Smith is not to blame in the matter. The tender was received in the Divisional office on the 16th August 1894; Rs. 8 per 100 running feet being entered against teakwood reepers 2″ × 1″. On the 21st August 1894 the Executive Engineer (Mr. Lewis) submitted the tender for acceptance and it was duly accepted by the Superintending Engineer (Mr. Hannan), no reference having been made to Conductor Smith.

(Signed) C. H. B. BURLTON,
Executive Engineer.

13th June 1898.

No. 337/W.

Copy submitted to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, with reference to his No. 2175 C. of 18th April 1898.

Coimbatore,
24th June 1898.

(Signed) A. H. GARRETT,
Superintending Engineer, IV Circle.

Note by the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department.

In G.O., No. 3380 W., dated 9th December 1892, an estimate of Rs. 29,550 for constructing a first-class taluk office at Gopichettipalayam in the Coimbatore district was sanctioned. The actual outlay on the work amounted to Rs. 36,352, or Rs. 6,802 in excess of the sanctioned estimate. The excess is made up as follows :—

Deviation in Materials.

	RS.
(*a*) Rough stone in chunam for brick in chunam in basement ...	777
(*b*) Flooring with Cuddapah slabs for square bricks	1,382
	2,159

Increase in rates.

(*c*) Brickwork in superstructure	2,457
(*d*) Teakwood work	365
(*e*) Junglewood work	1,276
(*f*) Tiled roofing	1,962
(*g*) Sub-heads costing Rs. 500 and under	952
	7,012
Total ...	9,171
Deduct savings on other items ...	2,369
Net excess ...	6,802

Item (*a*).—The excess on this item is due to the fact that the ground was so uneven that the height of basement and the depth of the foundations of the compound wall had to be greatly increased, and 12,076 cubic feet were executed instead of 5,807 cubic feet provided in the estimate. But for the substitution of rough stone for brick, the excess would have been much greater. It is more than half covered by a saving on concrete for which rough stone was substituted. The excess may be passed.

Item (*b*).—Cuddapah slabs were used for the flooring in lieu of square tiles provided for in the estimate, because the latter wear out soon and the stone floor is much cheaper in the long run. This deviation was sanctioned by the Superintending Engineer and may be accepted.

Item (*c*).—There has been excess both in quantity and in rate. The excess in the former is due to one course of levelling brick having been placed over the rough stone basement. The increase in rate is attributed to the importation of men from Tanjore for preparing bricks and to the cartage of brick earth from a distance of 4 miles from the work site. Great difficulty was experienced, as the undersigned knows personally, in getting bricks made at all in this out-of-the-way place. The excess was unavoidable and may be passed.

Item (*d*).—The excess is due to a slight increase in the quantity, and to the cost of fixing which had to be paid for separately, the estimated rate having been allowed to the contractor for the supply alone. The rate provided in the estimate was too low for work so far away from the railway, and greater care should have been taken in framing the estimate. The excess may be passed.

Item (*e*).—The excess occurred both in quantity and rate. The increase in quantity is due to the fixing of ceiling planks to jail wards, and the excess in rate is attributed to the charges incurred in fixing the planks, as the estimated rate was paid to the contractor for the supply of scantlings alone. It is believed that the ceiling of jail wards with planks was only adopted after the preparation of this estimate. The above remark about insufficiency of rate applies to this item also. The excess was unavoidable.

Item (*f*).—The increase in this item is mostly due to the high rate paid for teak reepers, which it was found difficult to get at a cheaper rate. Here, also, the estimate rate was too low, but the excess would not have been so great if an abnormally high rate (Rs. 8 instead of Rs. 5·6 per 100 R. feet) had not been paid for teak reepers. The undersigned has endeavoured to discover who was responsible for this, and the sub-division officer reports that Rs. 8 was the tendered rate and was received by the Executive Engineer (Mr. Lewis) and forwarded for acceptance to his Superintending Engineer (Mr. Hannan) who accepted it. The responsibility therefore rests with the latter officer. As there has been so much delay in this matter already, his explanation has not been called for, especially as it would probably be that it was the lowest tender received and had to be accepted.

Item (*g*).—This excess was principally due to an inadequate provision for lead sheeting in the original estimate, and an additional quantity amounting to 574 square feet had therefore to be purchased at a cost of Rs. 718. It may be accepted.

2. The work was done departmentally, contractors being employed for the supply of materials only. Higher rates than those provided in the estimate should not have been paid without prior sanction, and a revised estimate should have been submitted as soon as the necessity for it was foreseen. The attention of the Superintending Engineer will be called to this fact, and to the carelessness displayed in the preparation of the original estimate. It is hoped that, with a more accurate and up-to-date schedule of rates, such mistakes will be avoided in future.

(Signed) W. B. deWINTON,
Chief Engineer.

7th July 1898.

ORDER—No. 1776 W., dated 13th July 1898.

The Examiner of Public Works Accounts is authorized to admit the outlay of Rs. 6,802 incurred in excess of the sanctioned estimate for constructing a first-class taluk office at Gopichettipalayam in the Coimbatore district.

2. Special attention is drawn to paragraph 2 of the Chief Engineer's note read above.

(True Extract.)

Under Secretary to Government.

To the Superintending Engineer, IV Circle.
„ Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd. T. K. Narayana Aiyangar.

Public Works **DEPARTMENT.**

Papers to be read in Proceedings :—

B & I
Civil Works

Again G.O., dated 189 , No.

Again G.O., dated 189 , No.

Current No. 2696 of 1898, dated 23rd March, from S. E. IV Circle

" " 3895 " 1898, " 4th May, " Exnr. P. W. Accounts.

" " 5342 " 1898, " 27 June " S. E. IV Circle

Note by the Chief Engineer, P.W.D., dated 7th July 1898.

8 P

ORDER. No. 1776 W. 13.7.98

A. G. H.
8.7.98

The Examiner of P. W. Accounts is authorised to admit the outlay of Rs 6802 incurred in excess of the sanctioned estimate for constructing a first class taluk office at Gopichettipalayam in the Coimbatore District.

2. Special Attention is drawn to para 2 of the Chief Engineer's note read above.

13.7.98

~~?7.98~~ 10

seen by DW

The Supdg. Engineer IV Circle, with enclosures retd
" Examiner, P. W. Accounts

[P. W. 4.
500—24-3-98.]

H.E. 7.7.98 R 11.7.98

Flag B

Public Works Department.

Note by the Chief Engineer.

In G.O.No.3380 W dated 9th December 1892, an estimate of Rs.29,550 for constructing a first class taluk office at Gopichettipalayam in the Coimbatore -- District was sanctioned. The actual -- outlay on the work amounted to Rs.36,352 or Rs.6,802 in excess of the sanctioned estimate. The excess is made up as --- follows:-

	Rs
Deviation in materials.	
(a) Rough stone in chunam for brick in chunam in basement........	777
(b) Flooring with Cuddapah slabs for square bricks-----------	1,382
	2,159
Increase in rates	
(c) Brickwork in superstructure	2,457
(d) Teakwood work----------------	365
(e) Junglewood work--------------	1,276
(f) Tiled roofing----------------	1,962
(g) Subheads costing Rs.500 and under---	952
	7,012
Total Rs.	9,171
Deduct savings on other items ,,	2,369
Net excess ,,	6,802

Item (a). The excess on this item is due to the fact that the ground was so uneven that the height of basement and the depth, of the foundations of the compound wall had to be -- greatly increased and 12076 C.ft. were executed instead of 5807 C.ft. provided

provided in the estimate. But for the substitution of rough stone for brick the excess would have been much -- greater. It is more than half covered by a saving on concrete for -- which rough stone was substituted. The excess may be passed.

Item (b). Cuddapah slabs were used for the flooring in lieu of square tiles provided for in the estimate, because ~~as~~ the latter wear~~s~~ out soon and the stone floor is much cheaper in the long run. This deviation was sanctioned by the Superintending Engineer and may be accepted.

Item (c). There has been excess both in quantity and in rate. The excess in the former is due to one course of levelling brick having been placed over the rough stone basement. The increase in rate is attributed to the importation of men from Tanjore for preparing bricks and to the cartage of brick earth from a distance of 4 miles from the work site. Great difficulty was experienced, as the undersigned knows personally, in getting bricks made at all in this out of the way place. The excess was unavoidable and may be passed.

Item (d). The excess is due to a slight increase in the quantity, and to the cost of fixing which had to be paid for separately, the estimated rate

rate having been allowed to the contractor for the supply alone. The -- rate provided in the estimate was -- too low for work so far away from the railway, and greater care should have been taken in framing the -- estimate. The excess may be passed.

Item(e). The excess occurred both in -- quantity and rate. The increase in quantity is due to the fixing of ceiling planks to jail wards, and the excess in rate is attributed to the charges incurred in fixing the -- planks, as the estimated rate was -- paid to the contractor for the --- supply of scantlings alone. It is -- believed that the ceiling of jail wards with planks was only adopted after the preparation of this estimate. The above remark about insufficiency of rate applies to this --- item also. The excess was unavoidable.

Item (f). The increase in this item is mostly due to the high rate paid -- for teak reepers, which it was --- found difficult to get at a cheaper rate. Here also the estimate rate was too low, but the excess would not have been so great if an abnormally high rate (Rs.8 instead of Rs:5.6 per 100 R.ft.) had not been paid for teak reepers. The undersigned has endeavoured to discover who was responsible for this, and the

the Subdivision officer reports that Rs. 8 was the tendered rate and was received by the Executive Engineer (Mr. Lewis) and forwarded for acceptance to his Superintending Engineer (Mr. Hannan) who accepted it. The responsibility therefore rests with the latter officer. As there has been so much delay in this matter already, his explanation has not been called for, especially as it would probably be that it was the lowest tender received and had to be accepted.

Item(g). This excess was principally due to an inadequate provision for lead sheeting in the original estimate and an additional quantity amounting to 574 sq. feet had therefore to be purchased at a cost of Rs. 718. It may be accepted.

2. The work was done departmentally, contractors being employed for the supply of materials only. Higher rates than those provided in the estimate should not have been paid without prior sanction, and a revised estimate should have been submitted as soon as the necessity for it was foreseen. The attention of the Superintending Engineer will be called to this fact, and to the carelessness displayed in the preparation of the original estimate. It is hoped that with a more accurate and up-to-date schedule of rates, such mistakes will be avoided in future.

Seen. A.H.

7.7.98

E.W.

Cir. 5342

Ord

Issue orders. Note reference to notes on the Technical sheet flagged D.

C.
1/7/98

S.A.W. Cir.
Refer to W
Ast. 7.

P. W. Department

Note by the Chief Engineer

In G.O. No. 3380 W dated 9th December 1892, an estimate of
29,550 for constructing a first
lass taluk office at Gopichetti-
alayam in the Coimbatore
District was sanctioned. The
ctual outlay on the work amount
d to Rs 36,352 or Rs 6,802 in
xcess of the sanctioned estimate.
e excess is made up as follows:—

Deviation in materials.

) Rough stone in chunam for brick in chunam in basement.	Rs 777
) Flooring with Cuddapah slabs for square bricks	1,382
	2,159

Increase in rates

) Brickwork in superstructure	2,457
) Teakwood work	365
) Junglewood work	1,276
) Tiled roofing	1,962
) Subheads costing Rs 500 and under	952
	7,012

7.012

Total Rs 9.171

Deduct savings on other items .. 2369

Net excess .. 6.802

Item (a). The excess on this item is due to the substitution of rough stone in chunam for brick in chunam for the basement of the main building and outhouses and to increase in the depth of foundations of the compound wall. The rate at which this work was executed is not high when compared with the schedule rate of the Division.

Item (b). Cuddapah slabs were used for the flooring in lieu of square ~~brick~~ tiles provided for in the estimate, because as the ~~brick floor~~ latter wears out soon and the stone floor is much cheaper in the long run. ↗

Item (c). There has been excess both in quantity and in rate. The excess in the former is due to one course of levelling brick having been placed over the rough stone basement. The increase in rate is attributed to the importation of men from Tanjore for preparing bricks and to the cartage of brick earth from a distance of 4 miles from the work site.

Item (d). The excess is due to a slight increase in the quantity and to the cost of fixing which had to be paid for separately, the estimated rate having been allowed to the contractor for the supply alone. ^

Item (e). The excess occurred both in quantity and rate. The increase in quantity is due to the fixing of ceiling planks to jail wards, and the excess in rate is attributed to the charges incurred in fixing the planks, as the estimated rate was paid to the contractor for the supply of scantlings alone. ×

Item (f). The increase in this item is mostly due to the high rate paid for teak rafters, which it was found difficult to get at a cheaper rate. ⁙

2. The work was done departmentally, contractors being employed for the supply of materials only. Higher rates than those provided in the estimate should not have been paid without prior sanction, and a revised estimate should have been submitted as soon as the necessity for it was foreseen.

In the fact that the ground was so uneven that the height of basement and the depth of the compound foundations wall had to be greatly increased - & 12076 cft were executed instead of 5807 cft provided in the estimate. But for the substitution of rough stone for brick the excess would have been much greater. This was more than half covered by a saving in concrete in which rough stone was substituted - the excess may be passed.

This deviation was sanctioned by the S.E. & may be accepted

Great difficulty was experienced as there was no one locally in getting bricks made at all in this out of the way place. The excess was unavoidable & may be passed

^ The rate provided in the estimate was too low for work so far away from the railway, & greater care should have been taken in framing the estimate. The ~~ext~~ excess may be passed.

× It is believed that the ceiling of jail wards with planks was adopted after the preparation of this estimate. The above remark about insufficiency of rate applies to this item also. The excess was unavoidable.

⁙ Here also the estimate rate was too low but the excess would not have been so great if an abnormally high rate (Rs 8 instead of Rs 5.6 per 100 Rft) had not been paid for teak

Mas

The attention of the S.E. will be called to this fact, & to the carelessness displayed in the preparation of the original estimate. It is hoped that with a more accurate & up-to-date schedule of rates, such mistakes will be avoided in future.

6.7.98

rupees. The S.E. has endeavoured to discover who was responsible for this, & the Exec. Officer reports that the rate was the tendered rate & was ~~accepted~~ recd by the late Ex. Engr (Mr Lewis) & forwarded for acceptance to the S.E. (Mr Hannan) who accepted it. The responsibility rests with the accepting officer. As there has been so much delay in this matter already, his explanation has not been called for, especially as it was probably the fact that it was the lowest tender recd & had to be accepted.

~~The~~ Item (9) This excess was principally due to an under-estimate provision for lead sheeting in the original estimate & then additional quantity amounting to 574 lbs had therefore to be purchased at a cost of Rs 718. It may

Public Works (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 5342

From The Supdg. Engineer 4th Circle

Order

W.

Conductor Smith is not responsible for paying the higher rate. Is explanation to be called for from Messrs Hannan & Lewis why tender was accepted?

A.H.K.
1.7.98.

No. it is of little use pursuing this matter further. Send up the C.R. for sanction.

2.7.98

No. 337/W

Dated 24th June 1898

Received
Registered } 27.6.98

Enclosures ✓

Spare copies ✓

SUBJECT.

Taluk Office at Gobichettipalayam.

Submits explanation from Conductor C. Smith for the high rate paid for wood work of the —

0

O.L.S.207

R.13/7

L.O. 94

Public Works (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 3895

Order

From The Examiner, P.W.
Accts, Madras

No 1412 B/A

Dated 29th April 1898

Received 4.5.98

Enclosures 5

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

First class Taluq Cutcherry at Gobichettipalium — Returns duly checked the outlay on the Completion Report of —

Await receipt of reply to his 2175 C d/18-4-98

9-5-98

045207
R13/7

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
22778C	26-10-98
2175C	18-10-98
3502W	15-12-97
3633C	24-8-97
2013C	7-4-97
167-8W	20-6-97
2897W	11-11-93
3380W	9-12-92

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

Letter from Conductor C Smith R.E.
Sub Divisional Officer Light House Division
to the Executive Engineer Coimbatore Dn.
thro the Superintendent of Works Light-
House Division dated 20th May 1898.

With reference to your endorsement No. 1838/4-5-98 on P.W.D. Memorandum No. 2175/C calling on me to show cause why I should not pay the difference in cost of reepers for the Golichettipolium Taluq Office, I beg to state that I had nothing to do with the fixing of the rates to be paid for the reepers or any other wood work for this Taluq office. Tenders were called for and submitted direct to the Executive Engineer Coimbatore. The first information I received on the subject was to the effect that the Executive Engineer had accepted the tender of one Coopooswamy Naidu and forwarding me a copy of the rates to be paid for the several items. It then became my duty to measure all timbers received, and to prepare and submit bills according to the rates accepted

by

BACK PAPERS.

L.Q. 94

Public Works (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 3895

Order

From The Examiner, P.W. Accts. Madras

No 1412 B/A

Dated 29' April 1898

Received 4.5.98

Enclosures 5

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

First class Taluq Cutcherry at Gabrichettipaliem — Returns duly checked the outlay on the Completion Report of —

Await receipt [illegible] 2175C dt 18-4-98

[illegible] 9.5.98

43207

R13/7

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

No 171/W

Superintending Engineer's Office 4th Circle
Coimbatore 20th March 1898

EXAMINER,
P. W. ACCOUNTS.
28 APR. 1898
No.......................

From

A.H. Garrett Esq
Superintending Engineer
4th Circle

To.

The Chief Engineer
P.W. Department.

Enclosures 4
1 Completion Report
1 Comparative Statement
2 Data sheets.

Sir,

With reference to G.O No. 167 W dated 20th January 1897, I have the honor to submit herewith a completion report for constructing a First class Taluk Cutcherry at Gobichettipoliem the estimate for which was sanctioned in G.O No. 3380 W dated 9th December 1892, and to request you will be good enough to obtain sanction of Government for the excess outlay amounting to Rs 6,802.

2. A comparative statement obtained from the Executive Engineer, explaining the cause of excess or savings in each item, is also submitted for your information.

3. A completion report for the work was first received in this office on the 18th March 1897, and was returned to the Executive Engineer on 25th idem for

for further explanation with regard to rates, and certain discrepancies in the totals entered in the comparative statement, and completion Report. After the lapse of a year the Completion report and comparative statement have been returned by the Executive Engineer, who attributes the long delay to the scarcity of Subordinates in his Division since April 1897.

4 The excess and savings under various items are as follows:-

Excess.

Roughstone in chunam	Rs 777
Brick in chunam	" 2,457
Teak wood work	" 365
Jungle wood work	" 1,276
Roofing with flat & pan tiles	" 1,962
Flooring with Cuddapah Slabs over 4" Concrete	" 1,382
Petty excess in various items	" 952
Total Excess	9,171

Savings.

Concrete in chunam	Rs 492
Teak windows	" 219
Plastering	" 109
Record racks	" 24
Contingencies & Petty Supervision	" 1,525
Total Savings	2,369

Total

Total Excess Rs:(9171 - 2369) = 6802.

5 This excess is chiefly due to high rates charged in almost all the items.

6 The work was done departmentally, contractors being employed for the supply of materials. Apparently there was no proper authority for paying higher rates than those originally sanctioned, and it is not clear why a revised estimate was not submitted, as the necessity for one must have become apparent at an early stage of the work.

7 There has however been so much delay in obtaining the completion Report and comparative statement that I refrain from keeping them back any longer in order to obtain the information for a more complete report than I am now in a position to make.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt. Servant

Superintending Engineer
4th Circle

NP 18/3/98.

Rep 28/4 13 a/2

Public Works (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. ~~2696~~ 3855

EXAMINER,
P. W. ACCOUNTS.
28 APR. 1898
No.........................

From The Supg. Engineer 4th Circle

No. 171/W

Dated 20th March 1898

Received
Registered } 23.3.98

Enclosures 4

Spare copies ✓

SUBJECT.

First Class Talug Cutcherry at Gobichettipoliam.

Submits Comp: Report for Constg. a —— with a request to obtain sanction of Govt. to the excess outlay amtg. to Rs. 6,802.

No 2278C dated 26.4.98

To C

~~Order~~

For verification of the outlay shown in the completion report.

ACK 22.4.

D. W 58

No. 1412 $\frac{B}{A}$ dy 29th April 1898

To W

Outlay checked and found correct

T/B.

W 29.4.98

C

28th

28.4.98

28.4.98

3.5.98.

28/4/98

Pw.S. 3855/98

0.L.S 7 R 18/4

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
35021W	15-12-97
3633C	24-8-97
2013C	7-4-97
167-8W	20-1-97
2897W	11-11-93
3380W	9-12-92

13th May 98. No-1776.W

Regd & Card in C[illegible]

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.