

Public Works (1898) Department.

G.O., No. 1731 W

DATED 8 July 98

[Despatch Abstract.]
By Tahndars' Office. Mrs. Rev Dept
agreeing with the Board of Rev. in considering that
the additional accommodation for the required
in the — at Tiruchuli. & Munda Kullathur
in the Madureddi should be provided by extending
the existing building, communicated to the
Sd. Officer for the preparation of the necessary
plans & estimates.

Indexed. ✓

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

5

necessary plans regarding
 7 Reminders on 10/7/51 2 10/11/51 10/11/51
 2 21/10/58 21/11/58

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

Copy of Communication No 137 Mo dated
30th April 1898 from the Executive Engineer
Madura Division to the Collector of
Madura

With reference to your ROC No. ³²²_{Genl}
dated 14th April 1898, I have the honor
to inform you that the objections to
adding a room of sufficient area to
the present Court room are the same
as I have already explained in my
letter No. 4, dated 17th April 1898,
regarding the proposed Extension of
the Deputy Tahsildar's Cutcherry at
Tirushuli

2. The Extension of the Main building
will cost not less than Rs 2000-0-0.

3. The file of Enclosures received with your letter under reply is herewith returned

Submitted

Cons No 322 of 98 Re 16

Submitted with enclosures to the
Secretary to the Commissioners of Land
Revenue, Madras with reference to
Board's reference No 397 dated 5th April
1898, in continuation of this office Roc
No 128 of 98 dated 9th May 1898.

Madras Collector's Office
9th May 1898

Enclava Samudra
for the Collector
9.5.98

65.12/55
55/55

Copy of Communication No 49 M dated
17th April 1898 from the Executive Engineer
Madras Division, to the Collector of Madras.

With reference to your R.O.C. 128 of 1898
dated 25th March 1898, I have the
honour to inform you that the several
objections to the construction of a new
room in continuation of the Court room
as reported by the Sub Divisional
officer Tirumangalam are as follows.

- (a) This new room will prevent the
sitting of the man who pulls the
punkah over Deputy Tahildar's seat.
This man sits in near verandah.
 - (b) The noise and bustle of the Court room
which is unavoidable, will affect
the clerks' work in the adjacent room.
Sometimes the clerks themselves will
neglect their work by listening to the
proceedings of the suits in the Court room.
 - (c) The clerk's room is getting farther from the Treasury room.
2. The proposed extension will cost at
about.

about Rs 2½ per square foot, at the level
of the plinth including verandahs.

Ref. on. Cur. No. 128 of 1898
generab.

Submitted to the Secretary to the
Commissioners of Land Revenue, Madras,
with reference to Board's reference

No. 303 dated 17th March 1898, the
enclosures to which are herewith returned

Madura Collector's office }

Dated Madura 9th May 1898 }

G. S. S. S. S.

For Acting Collector

9.5.98

B. S. S. S. S.
23.

Reference on No. of 1898.

In replying the above should be quoted.

(LAND REVENUE.)



Read — Government Order, Revenue Department
No 1252 Misc. dated 11th March 1898 and the following
reference thereon No 303 dated 17th March 1898.

Forwarded to the Collector of Madura for early
report.

— also Government Order, Revenue Department
No. 1498 Misc. dated 29th March 1898 and the following
reference thereon No 394 dated 5th April 1898.

Forwarded to the Collector of Madura for early
report in continuation of Board's Reference No
303 dated 17th March 1898.

— also endorsement of J. G. D. Partridge Esq.
As Collector of Madura Ron C. No $\frac{322}{97}$ of 98 dated
9th May 1898.

— also Endorsement of the same officer dated 9th
May 1898, Refon Cur No $\frac{128}{97}$ of 98.

Reference

063/13
557/98

1898

REVENUE DEPARTMENT

2

4/63

Reference No 617 dated 6th June 1898.

Submitted to Government with reference to its orders 1252 Mis. dated 11th March 1898 and 498 Mis. dated 29th March 1898.

With his letters No. $\frac{128}{96}$ dated 21st January 1898 and No. $\frac{322}{96}$ dated 8th February 1898 the Collector of Madura forwarded plans and estimates for the provision of separate buildings for the accommodation of the clerks in the Deputy Tahsildar's offices at Tunchuli and Mudukula-hur. The Collector's proposals were submitted for the sanction of Government with Board's Reference No. 125 dated 5th February 1898 and 254 dated 8th March 1898.

3. It appeared from a communication from the Superintending Engineer to the Collector dated 10th January 1898 that the former officer had suggested to the Executive Engineer that the additional accommodation could be provided at less cost ⁱⁿ the shape of an extension of the present buildings but that the Executive Engineer had reported that there were several objections to

to the extensions suggested. The Government thereupon enquired in its Endorsements No. 1252 Mis. dated 11th March 1898 and 1498 Mis. dated 29th March 1898 what the objections referred to by the Executive Engineer were and what the extensions suggested by the Superintending Engineer would cost.

4. It would seem from the copy of the Executive Engineer's letter dated 17th April 1898, submitted by the Collector of Madura with his endorsement dated 9th May 1898, that the Executive Engineer accepted as valid the following objections which were raised by his Sub-Divisional officer.

- i. that the proposed extension would oust the Deputy Tahsildar's ^{from} ~~from~~ Kappuller from the place which he now occupies.
- ii. that the noise and bustle of the Sub-Magistrate's Court would affect the clerks' work in the adjacent rooms; and
- iii. that the clerks would be removed farther from the Treasury rooms.

5. The Collector has offered no remarks on the validity of these objections, but the Board is of opinion that they do not merit any serious consideration. The cost of extending the buildings in the manner suggested by Government has been estimated by the Executive Engineer at "not less than Rs 2,000" in the case of the Deputy Tahsildar's office at Mudukulattur and at Rs $2\frac{1}{2}$ per sq. feet for the Deputy Tahsildar's office Tiruchuli. As the additional superficial area required must be about the same in either case it may be presumed that the total cost will also be nearly identical. The cost of separate buildings has been estimated at Rs 3070 for Tiruchuli and Rs 3290 for Mudukulattur; consequently the extensions first suggested by the Superintending Engineer would result in a saving of over Rs 2000 for the two buildings.

7. In these circumstances the Board recommends that orders may issue in the Public Works Department for the preparation of fresh plans and estimates for the extension of the existing buildings in such a way as to provide the

the necessary accommodation for the Deputy Tahsildar's office establishments.

Colonel Davidson
As Secretary

To

The Secretary to Govt R.D.
with Collector's letters &
Enclos. to be ret'd

2nd



PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following paper—

G. O. No. 1252 dated 16th March 1898 stating that it is desirable that the clerks of the Deputy Tahsildar's office at Tiruchuli, Madura District should be in the same building as the Deputy Tahsildar and requesting the Board of Revenue (L.R.) to report what objections there are to an extension being made in continuation of the court room.

O. No. 1498 dated 29th March 1898 requesting the Board of Revenue to report what objections there are to providing the additional accommodation required for the clerks of the Dy. Tahsildar's office, Mudukulattur, Madura District by building a room in continuation of the court room.

Read also Reference from the Board of Revenue, Land Revenue, No. 617 dated 6th June 1898.

Order thereon, Misc. No. 2702 d/ 27th June 1898.

The Government agrees with the Board of Revenue that the additional accommodation required for the clerks should be provided by extending the existing buildings. Orders will issue in the Public Works Department for the preparation of the necessary plans and estimates. In planning the extensions care should be taken to keep the clerks' room as far as possible within view from the Deputy Tahsildar's seat.

(True Extract.)

R. Marjoribanks
Secy to Govt.

To the P. W. Dept. with encls.
Board of Revenue, Land Revenue.

T.P.K.
28.6.98

005.14
33/0555
21.5.98