P.W.

~~Marine~~ (1898) Department.

✓

G.O., No. 1620 W

DATED 29 June 98

[Despatch Abstract.]

B. Paparazu Pantulu, Sub overseer, Kistna Northern Dn: Passing pay to — at Rs. 40 per mensem, for the period he actually performed the duties of Sub overseer, in supersession of G.O. No. 408 W of 18 Febt 98.

[M. 6. 500—23-8-97.]

Indexed. 8/7

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	D

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

A.E / B

Papers to be read in Proceedings :—

Again G.O., dated 189 , No.

Again G.O., dated 189 , No.

Current No. 3167 of 1898, dated 6th April 98, from the Examiner, P.W. Acc

" " 3985 " 1898, " 4th May 98, " S. E. II Circle.

" " 4505 " 1898, " 23rd May 98, " the Examiner, P.W. Accts

" " 4883 " 1898, " 4th June 98, " S. E. II Circle.

ORDER.

No 1620 W D/ 29.6.98

+ Flagged C

In G.O. No. 408 W dated 18th February 1898, the proposal to grant B. Paparazu Pantulu pay at Rs 45 per mensem, the maximum pay of a Sub-Overseer, 2nd grade, was sanctioned on the Superintending Engineer's representation that,— previous to his appointment as Sub-Overseer, "he was drawing as Boat Superintendent, a salary of Rs 50." It would now appear, that he never joined the appointment of Boat Superintendent at all, and that he did not draw pay at Rs 50 per mensem. The grant to him of the maximum pay of a Sub Overseer 2nd grade is thus inadmissible under Article 171 (a) Civil Service Regulations, and G.O. No. 408 W, dated (18th

[P. W. 4. / 500—24-3-98.]

H.E. 18.6.98 R 22.6.98

18th February 98 will be modified so far as to allow him pay at Rs. 40 per mensem, the rate he was drawing previously as Delta Superintendent.

2. In letter No. 1702 K.N.+ dated 4th June 1898, the Superintending Engineer reports that Paparazu Pantulu has since been retransferred to the Conservancy Establishment. The rate of pay, Rs 40 per mensem, above sanctioned, will therefore be passed to him for the period he actually performed the duties of Sub. Overseer.

ASK
16.6.98.

18.6.98

+ Flag B

ASK
20.6.98

The Superintending Engineer
II Circle
" Examiner, P. W. Accounts.

30/6/98

Note. Paparoyu Pantulu was formerly a Delta Supt drawing Rs 40 per mensem. In Octr 1897, he was promoted to Boat Supt. Kistna northern division, on Rs 50 per mensem, but he was kept in his old place, & never joined the post of Boat Supt. The local officers claimed pay for him at Rs 50, on the ground that the Circle list was one unit, & that promotion could therefore be made irrespective of the actual duties performed by individuals, so long as the total scale was not exceeded – The Examiner however did not admit this argument, & refused to pass pay to Paparoyu Pantulu at more than Rs 40 per m., so long as he did not actually enter on the duties of Boat Supt.

The appointment of Boat Supt on Rs 50 was abolished, and

Meanwhile P. Pantulu was appointed Sub overseer 2d grade, pay Rs 35-45 per mensem. Application was then made to grant him the maximum pay of the post, Rs 45 per mensem, on the ground that he was previously drawing Rs 50 per m. This was sanctioned in G.O. No 408 W of 18.2.98 –

It now turns out that the sanction was given on a misapprehension of facts. As Sub overseer, the man cannot begin at more than Rs 40 per mensem, his previous actual pay. The Supdg Engr reports that he has again been appointed to the conservancy estab., so that the order giving him pay at Rs 40 as Sub overseer, will have effect for about 2 months only –

ASK
14.6.98.

14.6.98

Seen.
A.S.H

Public Works (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 4883

From The Superintending Engineer
II Circle

Order

No. 1702 K.W.

Dated 4.6.98
Received
Registered 10.6.98
Enclosures
Spare copies

SUBJECT.

B. Paparaju Pantulu Delta Supdt —; reporting that — has been appointed as Delta Supdt 3rd grade, and requesting to pass the maximum salary of Sub Overseer 2nd grade to him till he rejoins the Conservancy Estt.

o.L.S. 176
R 24/6

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
2530C	16-5-98
2238C	23-4-98
2216C	22-4-98
408W	18-2-98
372C	20-1-98
2517W	9-9-97

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

---

o 1702 K.W.

Superintending Engineer's Office
II circle
Bezwada, dated 4-6-98

From,

J. Hannan Esquire,
Superintending Engineer II circle.

To,

The Chief Engineer
Public Works Department

Sir,

In continuation of my letter no 1336 K.E. dated 4th May 98, I have the honor to inform you that a vacancy having occurred in the Conservancy Establishment, I have appointed B. Paparazu to the vacancy. I beg that the maximum salary of sub overseer 2nd Grade may be passed to B. Paparazu till the date he rejoined the Conservancy Establishment, a period of a couple of months.

I

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

H Hannan
Superintending Engineer
II Circle.

Public Works (1898) Department.

Curr. 3167
3985 +
4505.

CURRENT No. 4505

Memo.

The Examiner's office note No. 631 A/E of 23. May 1898, will be communicated to the Supdg. Engineer II Circle.

2. In G.O. No. 408 W. of 18.2.98 the proposal to grant B. Paparazu Pantulu pay at Rs. 45 per mensem as Sub Overseer 2nd grade was sanctioned on the Supdg. Engr's

Order

representation that "he was drawing as Boat Superintendent a salary of Rs. 50." It would now appear that he never joined that appointment at all and that he did not draw pay at Rs 50 per mensem. G.O. No. 408 W. of 18.2.98 ~~should~~ must now be modified, so that the Sub Overseer may not draw more than the pay he was drawing as Delta Superintendent viz Rs. 40 per mensem. Before however applying to Govt. for sanction to such modification the S.E. will be requested to call on the Sub Overseer to elect x between remaining in his present post on Rs. 40 per mensem and reverting to his original post of Delta Supdt. on the same pay. The result should be duly reported.

To The S.E. II Circle.
" Examiner P.W. Acct.

This is rendered unnecessary by further letter from the S.E. Curr 4883

From The Examiner, P.W. Accts, Madras

No. 631 A/E

Dated 23 May 1898
Received } 25.5.98
Registered }
Enclosures 9
Spare copies

x. The S.E. says that the man will not care to accept the Sub Overseer's post on Rs. 40 he having better chances of promotion as Delta Supdt.

SUBJECT.

B. Baparazulu Pantulu Delta Supdt — states with remarks that — is not entitled to the pay of a Sub overseer

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.

FURTHER PAPE

No.	Date.

EXAMINER,
P. W. ACCOUNTS.
8 - MAR. 1898
No. ....

B

EXAMINER,
P. W. ACCOUNTS.
18 MAY. 1898
No. ....

No 645.K.
5.3.98

The following appointment is made by the Superintending Engineer, 2nd Circle:-

B. Paparazu Pantulu Delta Superintendent on Rs 40/- in the Kistna Western division to be Subover-seer 2nd grade ~~on~~ Rs 45/- per mensem in the Kistna ~~Northern~~ Eastern division

4/3/98
Executive Engr.
for Supg. Engineer
" Circle

J.M.

C 3/3

To
The Examiner, Public Works Accounts

Noted in Kistna Eastern Salary Audit Register page 7 & also in K.S.W. on page 8

P.V.C.
8/3/98

D/S

Owing to the abolition of a Boat Supdt's place on Rs 50, R Baparazulu was appointed as Sub overseer 2nd grade in an existing vacancy in K.S. Dn on the understanding that he will be appointed to Kistna N Dn. Sanctioned G.O. No 408 W in K.S.W. Dn, but S.E.'s letter states that he is appd to K.S.E. Dn. So long as the Circle Scale is not exceeded, he may be appointed to K.S.E. Dn. I have accordingly now noted G.O. No 408 W & the S.E.'s letter in the K.S.E.W. Dn. The previous papers are herewith put up for reference.

Take this up - when we agreed to give him 45 Rs it was on the understanding that he was drawing Rs 50/- but S.E. says he was drawing only Rs 40/-. Write again

SEB 9.3.98

E. No. 27.
1,000—8-9 97.

Keep-with | Current No. | Govt. of Madras. } 1898 { P. W. Dept.

dated 189 .

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS. 18 MAY 1898 No ....

Madras, 4th April 1898

Office Note No. 448 A/E

To
Dr.

With reference to G.O. No 408 W dated 18th February 1898 sanctioning pay at Rs 45 being granted to R. Baparajulu Puntulu as Sub overseer 2nd grade, I would point out that my Office Note No 310 B/E dated 2nd February 1898 and on which the G.O was apparently based, was written on the assumption that Baparajulu had, at the time, (Vide para 2 of Superintending Engineer's letter No 137 K. N dated 16th January 1898 embodied in the G.O. above quoted) joined his appointment as Boat Superintendent which carried a Salary of Rs 50 per mensem.

408 W
18.2.98

2. From Superintending

Engineer's

Engineer's No. 645 K dated 5th March 1898 however (herewith sent for perusal and return) it would appear that the man did not join his new appointment, and as his salary, as Delta Superintendent, was Rs. 40 only, he cannot, under article 171 (a) C. S. R be allowed to draw more than that rate of pay viz Rs. 40 per mensem as a sub overseer 2nd grade (Rs. 35 – Rs. 5 – Rs. 45), and it is necessary therefore that the G. O. in question should be modified accordingly.

W 6.4.98

C

H 6.4.98

Overseer 2
~~3985~~ 4505/98

Public Works (1898) Department.

SUPG. ENGINEER'S OFFICE,
No. 1336
27 APR. 93
P. W. D.
SECOND CIRCLE.

CURRENT No. ~~3167~~ 853
~~3985~~ 4505/98

EXAMINER,
P. W. ACCOUNTS.
18 MAY. 1898
No ....

No. 2238 C dated 23rd April 1898

~~**Order**~~ Endt

Referred to the Supdg. Engineer II Circle for favour of explanation.

2. In para 3 of the Supdg. Engineer's letter No. 137 K. W. dated 16th January 1898, it was stated ~~that~~ it was proposed to appoint B. Baparazu Pantulu to the vacancy of Sub overseer 2nd grade, & to give him a salary of Rs. 45 per mensem, "as he was drawing as Boat Supdt, a salary of Rs. 50". It should be reported whether the man actually drew Rs 50 per mensem prior to his appt. as Sub overseer.

Ag. Chief ~~Engr~~ PWD.

From The Examiner, P. W. Accts, Madras

No. 448 A E

Dated 6th April 1898.

Received 7.4.98

Enclosures 1

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

B. Baparajulu Pantulu, Sub Overseer — Stating that — cannot be allowed to draw more than Rs 40 p. m under the circumstances.

4084/98

reply H/27.4

O.L.S. 33 p 23/4

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	408 W	P.W.	18-2-98
	372 C	-	20-1-98
	2517 W	.	9-9-97

FURTHER PAPERS

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

SUPG. No. 1743 P.W.
7 JAN 9
P.W.D.
SECOND

No. 1115 P.W.
CONS

TESTIMONIALS

of

Budhirazu, Paparaju

With list of Examinations pased.

And

Of Appointments held

By him

Up to end of ~~September 1892.~~ December 1897

Printed at the

Tripura Sundari Press.

ELLORE.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	408	W PW.	18-2-98
	372	C.	20-1-98
	2517	W.	9-9-97

FURTHER PAPERS

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

List of Examinations passed.

Matriculation Examination of	1880.
Hand writing Test of	1881.
VII B. Translation Lower grade of	1883.
VIII A. Precis writing Higher grade of	1883.
An Examination in Public Works Pratice and Proceedure of	1885.

COPIES OF TESTIMONIALS &c.

This young man Budhirazu Paparaju, has been my student in the Rajahmundry, School and College for more than four years. He has read with me in the Upper IV 5th and 6th classes, and has passed the Matriculation examination held in December 1880. He has always displayed much diligence and application. He is very systematic in the execution of his work He comes of a respectable family and would have prosecuted his studies further but for the untimely death of his father the sheristader of the Ellore Taluq, who has left a large family behind. For these few months he has been assistant master in the Hindu A V School at Ellore. He now seeks for an employment in any other Department. I have much pleasure in certifying that he will work to the entire satisfaction of his superiors by the speedy despatch of any work that he may be entrusted with.

30-10-81 (Sd) Vavilala Vasudevasastry B.A.
Asst master Rajahmundry College.

Extract from Service Register.

Has ability: but his writing is bad, knows a little levelling.

12-11-83 (Sd) G . F . Handcock
Assistant Engineer

Has worked satisfactorily.

13-6-86 (Sd) S. C. Clarke Major R. E.
Superitending Engineer

Clerk B. Paparaju served in this Division in the capacity of Head clerk and did hiswork fairly for the time he was so employed about one year

Rushikulya Dn. Office. (Sd) J.Hannan.
6-4-87 Executive Engineer.

Endorsement on a petition for 2nd clerk's post in the III Circle Superintending Engineer's office dated 15-4-89.

I consider the applicant thoroughly well-fitted for the appointment sought for.

(Sd) C. Bramariah.
Accountant.

No. 10.

Forwarded to the Superintending Engineer III Circle. The applicant is a careful hardworking man and well up in the routine of the Department And I can thoroughly recommend him.

(Sd) W .C. Lewis
15-4-89 Executive Engineer.
Godavari Central Division.

This is to certify that clerk B. Paparaju has worked for a short time under my orders as Delta Superintendent. I found him intelligent, trustworthy, and hardworking, and am strongly of opinion that he will very soon turn

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	408W	Pd.	18-2-98
	372C.		20-1-98
	2517W.		9-9-97

FURTHER PAPERS

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Delta Superintendent's post, I will not stand in his way to better himself.

(Sd) B. H. Young
Executive Engineer.

Extract from Service Register

Worked well during the year he served as a 2nd clerk in Godavari Western Division. Is intelligent and so will get on in every appointment.

11-3-91

(Sd) B. H. Young
Executive Engineer

Remarks in the qualification statement of Western Sub Division for the half year ending 30-6-91

Is energetic and may prove equal to his work.

21-7-91 (Sd) S. Gopalakristna Aiyer
Sub Divisional officer.

Remarks in the qualification statement of Western Sub Division for the half-year ending 30th December 1891

satisfactory.

(Sd) S. Gopalakristna Iyer.
13-1-92. Sub Divisional officer.

Remarks in the Qualification statement of Western Subdivision for the half-year ending 30-6-92. Satisfactory

(Sd.) S. Gopalkristna Aiyer.
Sub Divisional officer.

No. 1017
KISTNA NORTHERN DIVISION.

EXAMINER,
P. W. ACCOUNTS.
18 MAY. 1898.
No.

To

The Executive Engineer
Kristna Northern Division

Most honoured Sir,

Having learnt that the present Asst. Anicut Supdt. will be absorbed in the vacancy of Sub overseer 2nd Grade in your Division on the New Boat Supdt. joining the Division, I Respectfully beg to Submit that I Stand by far Senior to him having had a total Service of 15 years in the Deptt. of which 7 years as Delta Supdt. in Kristna Wn. Dn. - Being Senior among the 3rd Grade Delta Supdts. I have been lately promoted to Boat Supdt. Sub pro tem on Rs 50 from which I shall have to revert to Rs 40 as permanent Delta Supdt. 3rd Grade on the New Boat Supdt. joining the Division and as the present vacancy of Sub-overseer Carries a Salary of Rs 35 to 50 I beg that my Case may be Considered and I may be favoured with the place on my present pay of Rs 50.

45

2. I have visit represented my Case personally and in accordance with your orders I now submit this application direct for favourable Consideration.

3 I also beg to enclose herein for kind perusal Copy of my printed Copy of my Testimonials &c which will shew the Examinations passed & appointments held & how I worked - It is from 13th March 1891 I am holding the present post of Delta Supdt 3rd Grade in Krishtna Western Dn.

I beg to remain

Most honoured Sir

Your most obedient Servant

B. Paforow

Delta Supdt.
in ch:
Middle Section
Commamur Canal
Krishtna Western Dn

3rd January 1898.

2/43 K
7

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER'S OFFICE
No. 2/849 8
JAN. 1898
GUNTUR.
KISTNA WESTERN DIVISION.

D.P.G.
No. 10/116

EXAMINER,
P. W. ACCOUNTS.
18 MAY. 1898
No. ……………

Letter from the Executive Engineer Kistna Northern Division to the Superintending Engineer, Circle No. 626 [illegible]

—

I have the honor to forward for your orders the application of the Delta Superintendent, Middle Section, Commamur Canal, for appointment as Sub-Overseer, Kistna Northern division which post was sanctioned for charge of the Nagulvayapuram quarries and is now vacant.

The state of affairs is that in proposing the appointment of a mechanic on Rs 150/- for Boat Superintendent it was reported that the Assistant Anicut Superintendent's post could be abolished and the extra man then becoming available absorbed as quarry Superintendent. The proposal made was accepted by Government. The pay of the present assistant Anicut Supdt. is Rs 40/- the same as a 3rd grade Delta Superintendent

and

and he cannot be recommended for anything in the shape of promotion. His work has been most unsatisfactory and he is a most incompetent person in my opinion. I therefore recommend that the vacant post be granted to the 3rd grade Delta Superintendent who is considered as having best claims to promotion and who wishes for it. This is presumably the applicant who has received the temporary step as Boat Supdt and reverts on the joining of the new Boat Supdt to Delta Supdt 3rd Grade. Early orders solicited.

(True copy)

Venkataraman

ag. Manager

Subordinate Engr. Offices

& Circle

No R
2/5/98

AH.

Cos. No. 6
3/4683

No. 1336 K.E. Superintending Engr's Office

Bezwada. dated 4.5.98

EXAMINER,
W. ACCOUNTS.
18 MAY. 1898
No.........

From

J. Hannan Esquire,

Superintending Engineer,

2nd Circle

To

The Chief Engineer,

Public Works Department

Endo: 5

Sir,

With reference to your endorsement No. 2238 C, dated 23rd April 1898, (herewith returned,) I have the honor to forward in original the application of B. Paparaza with copy of Executive Engineer's letter No. 6258 E., dated 7.1.98 and to explain that this Delta Superintendent was promoted to Boat Superintendent from the 30th Octr 97 in my No. 2346 K of 24th November 97.

2. In his No. 384 S, dated 15th Feby 98 the Executive Engineer, Kistna Western Division first brought to notice that the Examiner had raised an objection

to

to the Delta Superintendent drawing the higher salary of the Boat Superintendent from the 30th October '97, as he had not joined this latter post. To this objection the following rejoinder was given:

"The Conservancy establishment of "this Circle is on one 'Circle list' for "purposes of promotion and so long "as the Sanctioned scale of the "Circle is not exceeded, promotions "are made irrespective of the posts "the men hold, as these latter "are regulated in the best interests "of the public service and not of "the individuals concerned"; and this matter is still under reference with the Examiner.

3. From Paparazu's original application it will be seen that he is the Senior Delta Superintendent on Rs 40/- and applied for the post of Sub-Overseer with the hope of drawing Rs 50/- which he thought was the maximum pay of the post. It is not likely therefore that the man will care to accept the Sub-Overseer's post on Rs 40/- as his chances of promotion as Sub-Overseer are considerably less than as Delta Superintendent.

No. 2

4. The temporary Upper subordinate (B. Pasupati Iyer) who was appointed Delta Superintendent vice Paparaza vide my memo No. 986 R.W. dated 4-4-98 is also not likely to accept the Sub-Overseer's post as it will only give him Rs 30/- pay the salary he drew as temporary upper subordinate.

x 2216C
22/4/98

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obdt Servt,

Superintending Engineer
[illegible] Circle.

AH

Sec. 4715

Op-C

B

G.B

Previous papers

18/5/98

L.R.

19/5

File Sec 133 with D/1

BR 19-5-98

P. W. Current No: 3985

4505/98

EXAMINER,
P. W. ACCOUNTS.
18 MAY. 1898
No...........................

No. 2580 C. d/16-5-98.

From

The Superintending Engineer III Circle

No 1336 K-E

Dated 4.5.98
Read: 6.5.98

Enclosures:

To C

In favour of any further remarks you may wish to offer.

2. The point is whether B. Paparaju Pantulu actually drew pay at Rs 50 per mensem as Boat Supt. prior to his appointment as Sub Overseer. The Supdg Engineer has not made this clear.

APK
14.5.98.

DW.

B. Paparaju Pantulu Delta Superintendent. Explains the circumst[ances] under which — was given the Sub Overseer post and requests that the Examiner's objection may be removed.

Reply put up for approval

20/5/98

11/5/98

2580
4505/98

1/6/5

No. 2238 C d/ 23-4-98
2216 C d/ 22-4-98
408 W d/ 18-2-98
372 C d/ 20-1-98
2517 W d/ 9-9-97

Copy of letter from E.A.Dennys Esquire, Examiner, P.W.Accounts Madras to the Superintending Engineer, II. Circle No. I20I B/E dated 6th. April I898.

With reference to your letter No.502 K.N. dated 2Ist. February I898 forwarding an extract from the Disallowance List on the Salary Bill of the Kistna Northern Division for November I897 with your reply thereto, I have the honor to inform you that although "The conservancy establishment of the circle is in one circle List for purposes of promotion" yet the pay of the appointment for each individual post is fixed with reference to the nature of the duties etc required for that particular post, and I do not, therefore, see how a man actually doing the duties of a Boat Superintendent in one Division can be allowed to draw the pay of a Delta Superintendentship vacant in another Division, the functions of one appointment being totally different from those of the other.

2. I would in this connection invite your attention to article 64 (a) C.S.R. and P.W.D.Code Volume I Chapter IV.para 77.

(True Copy)

Mahomed Yacoob 23/5/98
Superintendent, General Branch.

23/5/98.

85/5257
5230

E. No. 27.
1,000—5-9-97.

Keep-with Current No.

dated 189 .

GOVT. OF MADRAS. 189~~7~~8 P. W. DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 23rd May 189~~7~~8

Office Note No. 631 A/E

To

W.

Your Office Note No.2530 C dated 16th.May 1898.

No. Pay was claimed for Baparazulu Puntulu as Boat Superintendent on Rs.50 in the Salary Bill of the Kistna Western Division where he was working as a Delta Superintendent on Rs.40/, but the claim was disallowed by this office under article 64 (a) C.S.R. and Code I.IV.77, as he had not joined his appointment as Boat Superintendent, in the Kistna Northern Division, in which Division the vacancy existed and where the ~~share~~ sphere of his duties therefore lay. Under these circumstances the modification of G.O.No.408 W dated 18th. February 1898 as pointed out in my Office Note No.448[×] A/E of 6th.April 1898 is, in my opinion, still necessary.

× 22380 / 23·4·98

2. The Superintending Engineer has apparently made a mistake in para 2 of his letter No.1336[φ] K.S. of 4th.instant to your address, as the rejoinder which he

φ 25306 / 16·5·98

he therein gives, in extenso, as purporting to be a reply to the Disallowance by this office on the salary bill of the Kistna Western Division in connection with Bapparajulu Puntulu was really not so, but was in reply to an objection by this office in connection with the salary claimed in the Kistna Northern Division for G. Suryanarayana Puntulu as Delta Superintendent on Rs.55 in a vacancy in the Kistna Western Division but who was really doing the duties of the Boat Superintendent in the Northern Division.

3. I notice that the Superintending Engineer has not sent you a copy of my reply (No.1201 B/E of 6th. April 1898) to that rejoinder or made any reference to its terms, I therefore send you a copy of it for information.

W
23.5.98

C.

23/5/98.