Public Works (1898) Department.

✓

G.O., No. 1395 W

DATED 3rd June '98

[Despatch Abstract.]

Charge allowance. Cancelling G.O. No: 814 W of 25th March '98 granting — to Mr J. H. M. Parker, Asstt Engr. 2nd Grade, and observing that this is the second occasion, recently, of a G.O. being cancelled on account of inaccurate information furnished by the Examiner.

Indexed.

[P. W. 25. / 1,000—2-3-98]

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

AVL

[40/500]

Public Works DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in proceedings :—

Again G.O., dated 189 , No.
Again G.O., dated 189 , No.
Current No. 3542 of 1898, dated 22nd April, from the Examiner P. W. Acts
" " 4482 " 1898, " 12th May, " —— Do ——
" " " 189 , " , "

ORDER. No. 1395 W. d/ 3.6.98.

A.S.H 21.5.98

E.D.

When Mr. J. M. M. Parker, Assistant Engineer 2nd grade, was posted to the charge of the Godavari Eastern Division in December 1897, it was not intended to grant him a charge allowance, as there was no vacancy to admit of it. Owing, however, to the ~~The~~ Examiner, ~~however~~, having subsequently reported that there did exist a temporary vacancy in the Executive Engineer Class, against which Mr. Parker might draw charge allowance not exceeding Rs 85 per mensem, the allowance was sanctioned in G.O. No: 814 W a/25th March 1898.

Flag D

2. As the Examiner now reports that Mr. Lacey has been officiating as Executive Engineer against the vacancy above referred to,

E 20.5.98 R 23/5/98

and that the charge allowance of Rs 85 granted to Mr. Parker from the 12th January to 11th February 1898 is therefore inadmissible, G. O. No: 814 W a/ 25th March 1898 will be cancelled.

3. It is observed that this is the second occasion recently in which an order of Govt. has had to be cancelled owing to inaccurate information furnished by the Examiner of P. W. Accounts.

Flag D

ACR
20.5.98

20. 5. 98

[illegible]

To
The S. E. I Circle
- Exm. P. W. Accts

Note Please see explanatory note attached.

Public Works Department.

Note.

Mr. P. R. Allen, Executive Engineer 3rd grade, Vizagapatam Division, having accepted employment as Chief Engineer for Irrigation under His Highness the Nizam's Government, Mr. Handcock was transferred from the Godavari Eastern to the Vizagapatam Division, and Mr. J. M. M. Parker, Assistant Engineer, 2nd grade, was posted to the charge of the Godavari Eastern Division as a temporary measure, vide No. 5422 C., dated 14th December 1897.

Flag- Z.

2. With reference to the notification placing Mr. Parker in charge of the Godavari Eastern Division, the Examiner inquired whether it was intended to give Mr. Parker any charge allowance for holding charge of the Division in addition to his own ~~duti~~ duties. The reply was that there was no such intention, as there was no vacancy in the Executive Engineer class, vide No. 1249 C., dated 2nd March 1898. In his office note No. 326 A/E, dated 12th March 1898, the Examiner again referred the matter and pointed out that there was an officiating vacancy in the Executive Engineer class owing to Mr. Allen's services having been temporarily lent to Hyderabad and that a charge allowance

Flag. E.

not

not exceeding Rs.85 per mensem was admissible to Mr.Parker from the 12th January to 11th February 1898. ~~The~~ As the Examiner ~~being~~ is the officer to advise Government on matters of this kind, his opinion was accepted and the grant of the allowance was sanctioned in G.O.No.814 W., dated 25th March 1898. Flag D.

In para 3 of his office note No. 503 A/E., dated 21st April 1898, the Examiner now brings to notice that the charge allowance of Rs.85 granted to Mr.Parker is inadmissible, as originally held by this office. It is therefore proposed to cancel G.O.No.814 W., dated 25th March 1898, and to point out to the Examiner that this is the second occasion recently in which an order of Government has had to be cancelled owing to inaccurate information supplied by him, the first case being that of Mr.R.Kalyanarama Aiyar, whose promotion from Assistant Engineer 3rd grade to 2nd grade sub pro tem was notified on report of the Examiner that this step was admissible, and whose reversion ~~hxd~~ had to be subsequently ordered as the Examiner reported that there was no vacancy to admit of the promotion.

Flag C.

Flag D.

A.S.K.
21.5.98

ASK
20.5.98

20.5.98

W

Public Works (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 4482

Order

From The Examiner, P.W. Accts.
Madras

No 580 A/E

Dated 10 May 1898

Received 12th May 1898

Enclosures Accts. 25-5-98

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Charge allowance to Mr. Parker and Offg. allowance to Mr. Lacey — Furnishes further remarks regarding the grant or otherwise of the —

OS
25

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
153	D	29-4-98	

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

6

E. No. 27.
1,000—6-9-97.

KEEP-WITH   CURRENT No.

dated   189 .

GOVT. OF MADRAS. } 189~~7~~8. { P. W. DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 10 May 189~~7~~8.

OFFICE NOTE No. 580 A/E

To

W.

Your Office Note No.I53 D dated
29th.April I898.

Para I, this is admissible; but it is pointed out that Mr. Lacey has been officiating as Executive Engineer Ganjam division since the I2th. November I897 Vide Gazette Notification No.87 dated 9th. November I897 against an existing vacancy, and if Mr. Parker is allowed to draw charge allowance from I2th. January I898 to IIth. February I898 both days inclusive, Mr. Lacey must forego his officiating allowance for this period, but may draw it from I2th. November I897 to IIth. January I898, and again from I2th. February I898.

2. There would, however, be an audit objection to Mr. Lacey drawing officiating allowance from 3rd. February I898 in the privilege leave vacancy as the first 30 days of the vacancy caused by the deputation of Mr. Russell in place of Mr. Jones on privilege leave must count from the date of Mr. Lacey's

acting

acting appointment, i-e 30 days from 12th. January 1898, or from 11th. February 1898, and not 30 days after the privilege leave vacancy Vide C.S.R. 120.

W/10.5.98

C.

No. 1538

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Ootacamund ~~Madras,~~ 29 April 1898.

OFFICE NOTE.

From

W

To

C

Your office note 503 A/E dated 21st April 1898

—

The actuals on the temporary list of Executive Engineers were 35 as ~~stated~~ in para 3 of your office note, on the 14th December 1897, but only 34 on the 1st January 1898 in consequence of Mr. Allen's transfer to Hyderabad, thus leaving a vacancy on that list ~~to~~ admit of the charge allowance from the 12th January to the 11th February 1898 grant~~ed~~ to Mr Parker in G.O. No. 814 W. dated 25th March 1898. Against the same vacancy

(48—1,000—17-12-95.)

vacancy Mr. Lacey may draw officiating allowance from the 12th February 1898, so that it seems unnecessary to reserve for him the vacancy vice Mr. Norfor proceeded on furlough from the 4th March 1898. Please say whether you concur in these views.

2. Please state also whether against the vacancy caused by the appointment of Mr. Russell ~~to~~ officiate as Sanitary Engineer during Mr. Jones's absence on three months' privilege leave, Mr Lacey may not be given acting allowance with effect from the 3rd February 1898, that is, on the expiry of the first 30 days of Mr Russell's deputation. This seems admissible under the provisions of para 5, clause 2 of the Govt. of India Resolution No. 2355-G, dated 19th August 1895 (G.O. No. 2301 W dated 16 September 1895)

(Sd) W B de W
29-6-98

D
29/6

---

(1) Flagged 'A'

Examiner's Office Note No. 580 A/E (1) d/ 30.5.98

D.W.

In his Office Note No. 503 A/E (2) d/ 21st April 98, the Examiner stated

(2) Flagged 'C'

(A) that the temporary vacancy in the Exec. Engr. class vice Mr. Norfor proceeded on furlough from 4th Mar. 98, might be reserved to admit of offg. allowance being drawn from that date by Mr. J. M. Lacey, Asst. Engr. 2nd grade in charge of the Ganjam Dn,

8/28

(B) that there was no vacancy to enable Mr. Lacey to draw offg. allowance from 14th Decr. 97 to 3rd March 1898, and

(C) that there was also no vacancy against which the charge allowance granted to Mr. Parker in G.O. No. 814 W (3) d/ 25.3.98 might be admitted.

(3) Flagged 'D'

With reference to these points, the Examiner's attention was drawn in our (4) office note No. 153 D d/29.4.98 to two vacancies which he had overlooked.

(4) Flagged 'B'

The result is that

the

the Examiner now admits(4a)

(As regards point A): that the vacancy vice Mr. Norfor need not be reserved for Mr. Lacey, for the latter can draw acting allowance from the 12th Feby. 98 against the vacancy mentioned in para 1 of our office Note(5) quoted above;

(As regards point B): that acting allowance may be drawn by Mr. Lacey for the period in question, and

(As regards point C) that the Charge allowance granted to Mr. Parker from 12th Jany. 98 to 11th Feby. 98 is admissible provided Mr. Lacey is made to forego his acting allowance for that period.

The question for decision is whether the G.O.(6) granting Charge allowance to Mr. Parker should be cancelled in view to enable Mr. Lacey to draw acting allowance without interruption from 12th Nov. 97 to 31st March 1898.

If the G.O. be cancelled Mr. Parker would lose Rs: 85.(7)

If the G.O. be not cancelled

(4a) vide Document flagged 'A'

(5) Flagged 'B'

(6) Flagged 'D'

(7) This is the allowance sanctioned in the G.O.

cancelled, Mr. Lacey would lose Rs: 100.(8)

The G.O. was based on the report of the Examiner(9) who maintained that there was a vacancy to admit of the Charge allowance in question although this office held(10) that there was no such vacancy.

For orders

N
14.5.98

G.O (Flag D) having been based on inaccurate information supplied by C shd be cancelled

ASR
14.5.98

Yes - It shd be pointed out to C. that this is the second occasion recently in which a G.O. has had to be cancelled owing to inaccurate information supplied by him

16.5.98

(8) This is the amount admissible under para 5, clause 2 of the Govt. of India Resolution recorded in G.O. 2301W dt 16-9-95, flagged 'K'

(9) vide Examiner's office Note No. 326 A/E dt 12.3.98, flagged 'G'

(10) vide Office Note No. 1249C dt 22.3.98, flagged 'E'

Urgent

Public Works (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 3542

Order

From The Examiner, P.W. Accts, Madras

No 503 A/E.

Dated 21st April 1898

Received 22-4-98

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Engineer Establishment — Intimates certain changes in the personnel of the — owing to the transfer of Mr. Allen to Nizam's Govt.

o.L.S. 59.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	814 W	P.W.	25-3-98
	1249 C	-	2-3-98
	77 C	-	6-1-98
	42 C	-	6-1-98
	3582 W	-	22-12-97
	5423 C	-	14-12-97
	5422 C	-	14-12-97
	718	-	29-4-96
	1371 C	-	13-3-90
	1642 C	-	23-3-96
	2301 W	-	16-9-95
	827 W	-	13-3-90
	5792 C	-	10-12-89

FURTHER PAPERS

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Urgent

E. No .27.
1,000—6-9-97.

K[illegible]-WITH CURRENT No.

dated 189 .

GOVT. OF MADRAS. } ~~1897~~ 1898. { P. W. DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 21st April ~~1897~~ 1898.

OFFICE NOTE No. 503 A/E.

To

W.

× N. 2086C 5.4.98. flagged 'K'

[illegible] await reply from India
9-4-98

In continuation of my Office Note No.417 A/E× dated 31st. March 1898, I beg to state that, if Mr. P. R. Allen, Executive Engineer 3rd. grade, has accepted service for 5 years under the Nizam's Government from 1st. January 1898 and this event is taken into account from that date, his name, together with that of Mr. P. Hawkins Assistant Engineer 3rd. grade lent to the Hyderabad-Godaveri Valley Railway from 9th. December 1897, will have to be removed from the time limit and actual scale of the Engineer Establishment with reference to P.W.D. Code I, I, 59 (4).

2. The number of Executive and Assistant Engineers will thus be reduced from 72 to 70, and the limit up to which temporary promotions may be made from 36 to 35.

3. Consequently, as the actuals on the temporary list on 14th. December 1897 ~~was~~ were 35, the charge allowance of Rs. 85 per mensem from 12th. January 1898 to

to IIth. February I898 granted to Mr. J.M.M. Parker, Assistant Engineer 2nd. grade in G.O. No. 8I4 Wa dated 25th. March I898 will be inadmissible, as also the acting allowance to Mr. J.M. Lacey, Assistant Engineer 2nd. grade officiating Executive Engineer Ganjam Division from the I4th. December I897 to 3Ist. March I898 afternoon the date prior to that on which Mr. F.W. Cornwell was appointed as Assistant Engineer 3rd. grade from which date the number of Executive and Assistant Engineers is again raised to 72.x

on flagged 'M'

x

as shewn in para 2	70
add S. Bhaskara Iyer appointed Assistant Engineer III. grade from 26th. January I898	I
add Mr. Cornwell	I
	72

W
21.4.98

C.

APK
21/4/98

P.S.
If however Government are pleased to reserve a temporary vacancy vice Mr. Norfor proceeded on furlough from 4th. March I898, Mr. Lacey's officiating allowance may be continued from that date, and orders on this point may issue.

F.

APK
22/4/98

Ch. 5058 X

Cu. 3542 6/98

G. 1395 W

E.

The reason for cancelling G.O. no 874 W of 25-3-98. hinges on whether Mr Allen has accepted service under the Hyderabad - If the answer be in the affirmative, the G.O. must be cancelled & regret expressed that as there was no vacancy, the allce of Rs 83 granted in the G.O. is not admissible - Better note accompanying the draft G.O. ~~&~~ it should be brought to H.E.'s ~~of~~ notice that this Office did not consider this ~~[illegible]~~ allce probationary period a vacancy, but the Secy was of opinion that it was, & the grant of the allce was recommended accordingly –

Orders must be taken at once as to whether the vacancy *vice* Mr Norfor may be reserved for Mr Lacey from 4.3.98. Prior to that date, & back to 14.12.97 Mr Lacey must lose his allce.

25.4.98

D.O.

Submitted.

It seems unnecessary either to cancel G.O. 814 W of 25.3.98 (Flagged M) or to reserve the vacancy *vice* Mr Norfor on furlough, as proposed by the Examiner.

Please see the draft office note to the Examiner.

Submitted, however, that pending the Examiner's reply, the draft minute (linked file) flagged 'Wa' proposing certain temporary promotions may be held back.

Yes
ASR
29.4.

29.4.98