Public Works
~~Marine~~ (1898) Department.

G.O., No. 135 I

DATED 18 February 1898

[Despatch Abstract.]

Salar Anicut System: Revised Estimate of Rs 1500 for Compensation for land taken up for cutting the Reddi Valan branch channel, sanctioned, with remarks, ~~in [illegible]~~

100/4

Regd 18/2/98

4.E.I
18-2-98

[M. S. 600—28-6-97.]

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

IRRIGATION.
ACCOUNT.

Government (1898) of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Recd.	1898.	*Enclosures*
Regd.		*Spare copies*

G.O., No. 135 I., 18th February 1898.

FEBRUARY.

Palar Anicut System

Revised estimate of Rs. 1,500 for compensation for land taken up for cutting the Reddivalam branch channel, —, sanctioned, with remarks.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Irrigation.
Account.

READ—the following papers :—

From J. HANNAN, Esq., Superintending Engineer, IV Circle, to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, dated Coimbatore, 12th April 1897, No. 67/I.

I have the honour to submit herewith, for sanction of Government, a second revised estimate of Rs. 2,100 for compensation for land taken up for cutting the Reddivalam branch channel. The reason for revising the estimate is explained in the comparative statement.

The first revised estimate of Rs. 1,100 sanctioned in G.O., No. 751 I., dated 14th September 1895, is entered against item No. 6 on page 6 of the G.O., No. 147 I., dated 12th February 1897, approving the schedule of works, Pálár anicut system.

Memorandum to the Superintending Engineer, IV Circle, dated 28th April 1897, No. IV./24 N.A.

With reference to letter, No. 67, dated 12th instant, from the Superintending Engineer, IV Circle, the undersigned has the honour to enquire whether the estimate sanctioned in G.O., No. 751 I., dated 14th September 1895, was based on information received from the Revenue Department, and if so, to request that the Superintending Engineer will be so good as to submit some explanation from that department for the excess.

2. It should also be explained why the excess was not foreseen and fully provided for in the schedule of incomplete works embodied in Proceedings of Madras Government, No. 147 I., dated 12th February 1897.

3. It should be definitely ascertained from the Collector whether all land acquired for the branch channel has been paid for.

(Signed) W. HUGHES,
Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

From the Executive Engineer, North Arcot division, to the Superintending Engineer, IV Circle, dated 23rd November 1897, No. 621.

With reference to Chief Engineer's memo. No. IV./24 N.A., dated 28th April 1897, communicated with your endorsement No. 214/N.A., dated 5th May 1897, I have the honour to return, herewith, the second revised estimate for compensation for la taken up for cutting the Reddivalam branch channel reduced to Rs. 1,500, and to ex in as follows :—

(1) From a note left in the office by Mr. G. B. Lambert, I understand that an av d statement, amounting to Rs. 706-7-11, which was at the first instance charged ag st the estimate under reference, should now be eliminated as that item belongs to a fferent work in the project, *i.e.*, for the branch channel from Tennal to Nelvoy ta sanctioned in G.O., No. 303 I., dated 31st March 1896.

(2) The reason for revising the estimate a second time is due to the fact that se additional lands not contemplated in the original estimate had to be acquired for r ons given in the inspection notes of Mr. H. E. Clerk, Executive Engineer, dated 2 June 1894, an extract from which is herewith sent for information.

(3) It has now been ascertained from the subordinates of this department that la required for this portion of the project will not exceed the amount now estimated.

4. The Revenue Department is in no way responsible in the matter.

No. 244/I.

Copy submitted to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation with reference to his memo., N 24 N.A., dated 28th April 1897.

(Signed) J. HANNAN,
5th November 1897. Suptg. Engineer, IV Circle.

To the Superintending Engineer, IV Circle, dated 14th December 1897, No. IV./67 N.A.

From S. D. PEARS, Esq., Executive Engineer, North Arcot division, to the Superintending Engineer, IV Circle, dated 16th January 1898, No. 16/S.E.

With reference to your endorsement, No. 620/N.A., dated 20th December 1897, re sting to report the source from which the excess of the revised estimate for c ensation for Reddivalam channel can be met, I have the honour to state that it c e met from the savings to be effected in item 16 of the schedule printed with G , No. 917 I. of 15th October 1897, for compensation for land taken up for Kukundi b h channel, estimate Rs. 5,150. I learn from the Sub-Collector that the actual a nt required for this item will be only Rs. 3,310.

No. 18/I.

Copy forwarded to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, with reference to his m o., No. IV./67 N.A., dated 14th December 1897.

COIMBATORE, (Signed) A. H. GARRETT,
th January 1898. Suptg. Engineer, IV Circle.

No. 42 I.

Note by the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

The Superintending Engineer, IV Circle, submits, for sanction, a revised estimate fo mpensation for land required for the Reddivalam branch channel, Pálár anicut sy n. The revised estimate amounts to Rs. 1,500, or Rs. 191 over the amount al y sanctioned. The excess is reported to be due to an improved line having be selected for the channel, involving a larger area of land being taken up. The ori l line was defective as explained in the inspection notes of the Executive Engine f the North Arcot division. The revised estimate may be sanctioned, the excess be met from the anticipated saving of Rs. 1,840 in the provision made for item 16 of schedule sanctioned in Proceedings of Madras Government, No. 917 I., dated 15 October 1897.

(Signed) W. HUGHES,
7 February 1898. Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

ORDER—No. 135 I., dated 18th February 1898.

No. 751 I., dated 14th September 1895.
„ 917 I., „ 15th October 1897.

The following revised estimate of Rs. 1,500 is sanctioned in supersession the sanctions amounting to Rs. 1,309 acded in the Government Orders margilly noted, the excess of Rs. 191 beinget from the source indicated in the foregoing note by the Chief Engineer for Irrigatio—

Irrigation.
43. Minor Works and Navigation.
Minor Works for which Capital and Revenue Accounts are kept.
Pálár Anicut System.
Northern Section.
Open Capital Account.
North Arcot Division.
I. Works.
Kaveripauk Main Surplus Channel.
3. Distributaries.
2. Land.
Compensation for Land taken up for cutting the Reddivalam Branch Channel.

	RS.
Estimate	1,500

2. This sanction is registered as No. 169 I. of 1897–98, and will expire one 31st March 1899.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUGHES,
Joint Secy. to Government,
Irrigation Bran

To the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
„ Superintending Engineer, IV Circle, with five enclosures.
„ Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd. T. K. Narayana Aiyangar.

Public Works (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. ~~42 I~~ 1476

From The Chief Engineer for Irrgn

Order

No. 42 I

Dated 7-2-98
Received }16-2-98
Registered }
Enclosures 10.
Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Reddivalam Branch channel—Revised estimate for compensation for land taken up for—Note recom-mending that the—may be sanction

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

H.E.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,

IRRIGATION BRANCH.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Revised estimate for compensation for land taken up for Reddivalam Branch Channel. Note recommending that the may be sanctioned.

6 P

UNDER date the

READ the following

From the Superg. Engineer, Fourth Circle, (H.E. No. 67/3 dated 12 April 1897).
To do do (H.E. No. IV/24-na. do 28 do)
From do do. (H.E. No. 244/3 do 25 Novr. 1897).
To do. do. (H.E. No. IV/67-na. do 14 Decr. 1897).
From do do. (H.E. No. 18/3 do 24 Jany. 1898)

No. H2-1 dt 7-2-58

Note by the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

x flagged A

The Superg. Engineer, Fourth Circle, submits for sanction a revised estimate for compensation for land required for the Reddivalam branch Channel, Palar Anicut system. The revised estimate amounts to Rs. 1,500 or Rs. 191 over the amount already sanctioned. The excess is reported to be due to an improved line having been selected for the channel, involving a larger area of land being taken up. The original line was defective as explained in the inspection notes ⊗ of the Executive Engineer of the North Arcot division. The revised estimate may be sanctioned, the

J S Eve

H.E.

D

15/3/58.

1 Cll
17/3/58

⊗ flagged H.

the excess being met from the antici-pated saving of Rs. 1840- in the pro-vision made for item 16 of the schedule sanctioned in P. M. G. No. 917-I dated 15th October 1897.

Order thereon. No. 135 I, 18.2.98

The following revised estimate of Rs. 1,500- is sanctioned in supersession of the sanctions amounting to Rs. 1309. accorded in the G.O.s × marginally noted, the excess of Rs. 191. being met from the source indicated in ~~[illegible]~~ ~~of~~ the foregoing note by the Chief Engineer for Irrigation:—

Irrigation.
43, Minor Works & Navigation.
Minor Works for which Capital & Revenue Accounts are kept.
Palar Anicut system.
Northern Section.
Open Capital Account.
North Arcot division.
I- Works.
Kaveripauk Main Surplus Channel.
3, Distributaries.
2 Land.
Compensation for land taken up for cutting the Reddivalam branch channel.
Estimate ——— Rs. 1,500.

E. I. Form No. 1—1,000—2-2-97.

Flagged F × No. 751-I. dated 14th Sept. 1895.
Flagged G " 917-I —"— 15th Oct. 1897.

A.S.H.
11.2.98

2. This sanction is registered as No. 169 I. of 1897-98 and will expire on the 31st March 1899.

W.H.
7.2.98

F.E.I.
17.2.98.

To The Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
" Supg. Engineer, Fourth Circle. with 5 Enclos.
" Examiner, P.W. Accounts.

12/3/98

11/3/98.

E. I. Form No. 1 (a)—250—1-11-97.

AE 8.2.98

Public Works (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 5 Na.

Order

From The Supg. Engineer
4th Circle

No. 18/2

Dated 24th January 1898
Received 27 — Do
Registered
Enclosures ✓
Spare copies ✓

SUBJECT.

Reddivalam Branch Channel.

Reports the source from which the excess outlay can be met with for compensation for —

F. E. I.

lae
27/1/98

28-1-98

BACK PAPERS.		FURTHER PAPERS.	
No.	Date.	No.	Date.
967 N.a.	d/ 14.12.97		
R 47 N.a,	20-11-97		
I 24 N.a	28-9-97		

PCM (37)

Letter from S D Pears Esq Executive Engineer North Arcot Division to the Supg: Engineer IV Circle dated 16th January 1898 No. 16/S.E.

With reference to your endorsement No. 620/N.a dated 20th December 1897, requesting to report the source from which the excess of the revised estimate for compensation for Reddivalam channel, can be met. I have the honor to state that it can be met from the savings to be effected in item 16 of the schedule printed with G.O. No. 917 I of 15th October 1897 for compensation for land taken up for Kukundi Branch channel estimate Rs 5150. I learn from the Sub Collector that the actual amount required for this item will be only Rs: 3310.

No. 18/I

Copy forwarded to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation with reference to his Memo No. IV/967 N.a dated 14th December 1897.

Coimbatore
24th Jany/98

A Russell.
Superintending Engineer
4th Circle.

AP

CHIEF ENGINEER
FOR IRRIGATION.
27 JAN. 1898
No. 5NA

9

Public Works (1897) Department.

IRRIGATION BRANCH.

1351

ISSUE No. $\frac{IV}{67 NA}$

To

The Supdg Engr IV Circle

(Reply to letter No. , dated)

Dated 15th December 1897
Despatched 16th – do
Enclosures *

* Particulars of Enclosures.

SUBJECT.

Reddivalam Branch Channel
Requesting to report what
works of the Schedule sancd
in G.O. $\frac{917 I}{15.10.97}$ have been completed
and the savings realised on them
to meet the excess of Rs 191 over
the 2 revd este. for compensation
for land taken up for –

E. I. Form No. 13—2,000—1-11-97.

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
R 47 W A	25-11-97

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.
10 R A	24-1-98

Sent to Government with No. I.,
dated 1897.

Printed with G.O., No. I.,
dated 189

REMINDERS ISSUED.

No. 1, dated 189
" 2 "
" 3 "
" 4 "
" 5 "

KEEP-WITHS.

[illegible] 1897

[illegible] (4)

20 IV / 67 W A

Memo.

With reference to endorsement, No. 244/91 dated 25 November 1897 of ~~from~~ the Superg. Engineer, Fourth Circle, the undersigned has the honour

observe that the revised estimate therewith forwarded involves an

to ~~state~~ ~~that the~~ excess of Rs 191 ~~is~~ over the existing sanction for ~~owned on~~ the item "Compensation for land required for cutting the Reddivalem branch channel, Palar Anicut system," ~~should be met from any realised savings that may be effected on any of the ~~ ~~reads~~ ~~other items comprised in~~ of the schedule ~~and~~ sanctioned in G.O. No 917-I, dated 15 Octr. 1897.

The Supg. Engineer is requested to be so good as to report what works have been completed and the amount of the savings realised on them, in view to the excess of Rs 191 being met from this source.

To
The Supg. Engineer,
Fourth Circle.

14/12
97.

13/12/97

R47 N.A/97

Public Works (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 47 N.A.

Order

Draft memo to SE for approval.

13/12/97

From The Superintending Engineer Fourth Circle

No. 244/9

Dated 25th November 1897

Received 29 — Do —

Enclosures (5) Five

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Reddivalam Branch Channel. Submits second revised estimate for compensation for land taken up for —

FEJ

29/11/97

29/11/97

30-11-97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
I	24	N a	d/ 28 4/97

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Issue	67	N A	14 12 97
R	5-Na		d/ 24-1-98

Letter from the Executive Engineer North Arcot Division to the Superintending Engineer, 4th Circle dated 23d November 97. No 621.

With reference to Chief Engineer's Memo No $\frac{11}{24\ N.A}$ dated 28th April 1897 communicated with your endorsement No $\frac{214}{N.A}$ dated 5 May 97 I have the honor to return herewith the 2d revised estimate for compensation for land taken up for cutting the Reddivalam branch channel reduced to Rs 1500 and to explain as follows:-

1. From a note left in the Office by Mr G. B. Lambert I understand that an award statement amounting to Rs 706-7-11 which was at the first instance charged against the estimate under reference should now be eliminated as that item belongs to a different work in the Project i.e. for the branch channel from Tennal to Nelvoy Tank sanctioned in G.O. No 303 I. dated 31st March 1896.

2. The reason for revising the estimate a second time is due to the fact that some additional lands not contemplated in the

in the original estimate had to be acquired for reasons given in the Inspection notes of Mr H. E. Clerk Executive Engineer dated 24th June 1894, an Extract from which is herewith sent for information.

3. It has now been ascertained from the Subordinates of this Department that land required for this portion of the project will not exceed the amount now estimated.

4. The Revenue Department is in no way responsible in the matter.

No. 244/9 dated 25th November 1897

Copy submitted to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation with reference to his Memorandum No. 24 N.a. dated 28th April 97

Enc: 5
2. Rd Estimate
2 Comparative Statement
1 Extract.

W Hamman

Supg: Engr. 4th Circle

CHIEF ENGINEER
FOR IRRIGATION
29 NOV. 1897
No. 47 N.A.

Public Works (1897) Department.

[illegible]

~~CURRENT~~ No. R 13. N.A.

No. $\frac{\text{IV}}{\text{24. N.A.}}$ Dt 28-4-97

Order Memorandum.

With reference to letter, No. 67, of 12 inst, from the S.E. IV Circle, the undersigned has the honour to enquire whether the estimate sanctioned in G.O. 751 I. dt 14 Sept/95, was based on information received from the Rev Dept, & if so, to request that the S.E. will be so good as to submit some explanation from that Dept for the excess.

2. It should also be [illegible] explained why the excess was not foreseen & fully provided for in the schedule of incomplete works embodied in P.M.G. No. 147 I dt 12 Feb/97.

3. It should be definitely ascertained from the Collector whether all land acquired for the branch channel has been paid for.

[illegible] 27/4/97

To S.E. IV

W.H. 28.4.97

ICS 22/4/97

From The Supg. Engineer
4th Circle.

No. $\frac{67}{I}$

Dated 12th April 1897

Received 15-4-97

Enclosures (2) Two

Spare copies ~

SUBJECT.

Reddivalam Branch Channel.

Submits for sanction of Government a 2nd revised estc. of Rs. 2100 for compensation for land taken up for cutting the ——

To F.E.I

ICS 15/4/97

24/4/97

BACK PAPERS.

~~G.O.~~	No.	~~Department.~~	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

~~G.O.~~	No.	~~Department.~~	Date.
I	11/24.N.A	872	8-4-97

I.C.S.:1
4.26/58

No. 67/I

8

Office of the Superintending
Engineer 4th Circle.
Dated 12th April 1897.

Pron

From,

J. Harman Esq:
Superintending Engineer
Fourth Circle.
Coimbatore

To,

The Chief Engineer
for Irrigation
Madras.

Enclosures 2.
1 Estimate
1 Comparative
Statement.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit herewith for sanction of Government a Second revised estimate of Rs 2,100 for Compensation for land taken up for cutting the Reddivalam branch Channel. The reason for revising the estimate is explained in the Comparative Statement.

The first revised estimate of Rs 1,100 sanctioned in G.O. No 751 I dated 14th September 1895 is entered against item No 6 on

page

8

para 6 of the G.O. No. 147 I dated 12th February 1897 approving the Schedule of works Palar Anicut System.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedt. Servt.

Supg: Engineer 4th Circle.

CHIEF ENGINEER
FOR IRRIGATION.
14 APR. 1897
No. 13 I.A.

Oct. 2
1428/58